# Free Speech Monitor

P.O. BOX 332, STN. B, ETOBICOKE, ONTARIO M9W 5L3
The Free Speech Monitor is published ten times a year by the Canadian Association for Free Expression, Inc.
Subscriptions to the Free Speech Monitor are \$15.00 per year.

e-mail: cafe@canadafirst.net

TELEPHONE: (905) 274-3868 FAX: (905) 278-2431 Webpage: http://cafe.canadafirst.net

#### Number 153

#### November, 2007

You Shut Up! Tribunal Won't Specify What is "Hate" One of the most wicked penalties that the Canadian Human Rights Tribunal may impose and ALWAYS does in Sec. 13.1 cases is a life sentence, a lifetime cease and desist order. This is a severe gag on the victim in terms of future Internet postings. In his October 26 ruling in Warman v. Jessica Beaumont, Canadian Human Rights Tribunal Member (Judge) Athanasios Hadjis ruled: "I find that in most of the impugned messages, Ms Beaumont engaged in the communication of matter that was likely to expose persons identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination (namely, race, religion national or ethnic origin, and sexual orientation) to hatred or contempt." (para. 74) There were 30 messages in total. If "most" or the messages violated Sec. 13.1, still some did not. Which ones? Hadjis won't tell

Now comes the hammer held over this young woman's head: "Ms. Beaumont is ordered to cease and desist from communicating or causing to be communicated ...any matter of the type contained in the messages at issue in this case that is likely to expose a person or persons to hatred or contempt by reason of the fact that the person or persons are identifiable on the basis of a prohibited ground of discrimination." (para 80) Should Miss Beaumont violate this order, she faces contempt of court charges and jail. However, as Hadjis hasn't specified which messages are problematic -- other than "most" -- she has scant guidelines, except to avoid discussions of immigration, the homosexual agenda and multiculturalism. In other words, YOU SHUT UP!

### Paul Fromm Stripped of His Teaching Certificate by Ontario College of Teachers

It was a Soviet-style politically correct kangaroo court, plain and simple. The hostility toward me from the panel of three Discipline Committee members was palpable and remarked on by supporters who, from time to time, attended at the hearings which were held listlessly from January, 2005 to June, 2007. In the end, the decision was harsh, the punishment brutal and the decision meant as a message to other dissidents who might be teachers.

First, a bit of history:

1991. Fellow teacher, conservative Christian Malcolm Ross is removed from the classroom in New Brunswick after Canadian Jewish Congress complaints about his writings and letters-to-the-editor which are highly critical of Zionism.

1991. Now, it's my turn. The **Canadian Jewish Congress** starts agitation to have me fired from my position as an English teacher for my immigration and pro-free speech stands, taken on my own time, off school property. **Bernie Farber** says: "The next day, we got on to our friends in the press and told them who **Paul Fromm** was." The **CJC** made my profession an issue.

1992. The CJC buys a videotape of a private Heritage Front meeting and shops it around to the press. The <u>Toronto Sun</u> - yes, that's correct, the "right-wing" <u>Toronto Sun</u>, does a front page expose, "Teacher Addresses Neo-Nazi Rally." There's a huge media frenzy with the CJC leading the pack howling for my job. No one denies that I'm a first rate teacher. Robert Lee, then Director of Education for the Peel Board, tells the press I'm "an exemplary teacher." My evaluations are first rate and I'm in demand for summer school and night school teaching assignments, because the proper

authorities know I'm a capable and competent instructor in English and writing.

1993. After a 7-month investigation, lawyer Jeff Cowan concludes that I have never discriminated in the classroom. A long and confusing report is the result of what my union, the Ontario Secondary School Teachers' Federation, says is the most intensive investigation ever of a teacher in this Province, in a non-criminal matter. The NDP (socialist) Minister of Education receives this report and, although he has the power to suspend or revoke my teaching certificate, he does nothing. The Canadian Jewish Congress complains to the Ontario Teachers' Federation. They have the power to hold hearings if someone complains about a teacher. They hold no hearings, as my outside activities clearly do not constitute professional misconduct.

1993. I am transferred into Adult Education with a stern warning that repetition of these or similar incidents - my crimes include having stood up for historian **David Irving's** right to speak and having called multiculturalism a threat to free speech - may lead to my firing. My union lawyer phones and writes the Peel Board for clarification asking just what it is I can do or say. They refuse to answer.

1996. The Supreme Court scoffs at Malcolm Ross's defence of freedom of religion and belief and upholds his removal from the classroom. Now, it's my turn again. The Peel Board of Education hires anti-racist professional Alan Dutton of the Canadian Anti-Racism Education Research Society in Vancouver to conduct a secret investigation of me. I am told nothing about this.

1997. January opens with a Happy New Year from the Board's Bardadian Director of Education Howard Brathwaite. I am to be terminated after a 24 year career as an English instructor. My sins? "On November 19, 1994, the Member participated in the 1994 Revilo P. Oliver Memorial Symposium in Urbana, Illinois, organized by the National Alliance, a white supremacist organization run by William Pierce, a former member of the American Nazi party, [THIS IS A LIE AND A SMEAR. SAM DICKSON, NOT THE NATIONAL ALLIANCE, ORGANIZED THIS MEMORIAL.] at which symposium Mr. Oliver, a recognized racist, was honoured. At this event, the Member: shared the stage with David Duke, a former head of the Ku Klux Klan in the United States of America; publicly described Mr. Oliver as a 'giant in his field' and as a 'man greatly admired" My second sin: "The Member organized the March 1996 British Columbia Second Canadian Free Speech Symposium in Surrey, British Columbia, and at that symposium, consorted publicly with known racists, speaking publicly about their right to make racist statements." So, going to a memorial service and organizing a free speech conference were proof to the Peel Board of my disrespect "for multiculturalism and ethnocultural equity" which, they said, are "core values" (not reading, writing, math, or computer literacy) of the education system.

1998-2002. A long grievance slowly works its way through over 20 days of hearings. The hearings open April Fools Day, 1998 and a decision arrives March 8, 2002 by a 2-1 vote upholding the firing. 2003. The Peel Board in a final act of spite files a complaint of professional misconduct against me with the **Ontario College of Teachers**.

2005. Hearings begin. There will be 12 days of hearings in all.

2007. April 19, a **Jewish Defence League** protest is held outside the hearings. A **JDL** member attempts to choke me and is arrested. June 13 hearings conclude. Samhain (Halloween) the Discipline Committee issues its decision that I lose my teaching certificate and that this decision and my name be published as a warning to others who dare think for themselves outside the politically correct box and dare express those thoughts.

The Committee's decision did not dismiss a single accusation against me or find a single incident complained of to be a legitimate exercise of free speech. I was found guilty of professional misconduct - a very subjective term, but one usually associated with incompetence or mistreatment of students - for opposing massive Third World immigration, criticizing multiculturalism and defending free speech. "The Committee finds the Member guilty of professional misconduct under the Ontario College of Teachers Act and regulations made under the Teaching Profession Act in effect before the establishment of the Ontario College of Teachers (1996) because the Member's activities commenced prior to the College's existence."It's a huge relief to learn that the case is not about freedom of speech. "This case is not about the Member's right to hold political views that are unpopular, or to participate in legal political activities. It is about whether a teacher who publicly expresses views which are contrary to the values of the profession and the education system, and which have a negative impact on the education system, is entitled to be a member of this College." So, immigration reform and free speech are against "the values of the profession and the education system." This devious two-step goes back to a 1989 book When Freedoms Collide by Allan Borovoy of the Canadian Civil Liberties Union who dreamed up the notion that teachers should be free to advocate their political views, but having advocated controversial or, at least politically incorrect views, should not be allowed to teach. [Such free expression also exists in Cuba, Burma and North Korea.] Among my crimes were the following:

- \* "In 1979, the Member co-founded and was research director for Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform Inc. (C-FAR), an organization which espouses beliefs and values contrary to the principles of multiculturalism and tolerance." A Canada first, much reduced foreign aid budget and immigration reform are contrary to the principles of "tolerance"?
- \* "In 1981, the Member co-founded the Canadian Association for Free Expression (CAFE), an organization which espouses beliefs and values contrary to the principles of multiculturalism and tolerance." So, free speech is contrary to "tolerance." Umm, and the earth is flat.
- \* "On March 11, 1989, the Member addressed a crowd of about 60 persons at a speaking engagement sponsored by **C-FAR.** The Member's speech discussed the 'threat to our rights and freedoms from multiculturalism.'" I'm living proof that multiculturalism has seriously curtailed freedom of speech for the Majority.

The Discipline Committee concluded: "When the Member chose to engage in political activities of an intensely public nature - writing articles, delivering speeches, attending provocative rallies - he opened the door to creating an effect on the school community that jeopardizes it as a viable learning environment, by its affect on the students, teachers and staff, and the public more broadly." Yet, I received a glowing evaluation of my teaching from my principal in 1992 during the media frenzy caused, not by my trying to be a publicity hound but by the **Canadian Jewish Congress.** There was no evidence of students being unable to learn in my class or, indeed, of any significant student complaints about my political views.

"There is no doubt that the Member's conduct is itself in conflict with the values of the education system. His expressed views that deny the basic equality and dignity of every person go to the very heart of the public education system." On the contrary, I treated all students fairly and equally and with dignity and there was no evidence to the contrary. The punishment provisions of this harsh decision made it quite clear that I was being punished for my political views. "The Registrar of the Ontario College of Teachers is directed to revoke the Certificate of Qualification and Registration of the Member, which Certificate the Member is to surrender immediately to the Registrar. ... The Member's participation in meetings and rallies, where racist and anti-multicultural views were expressed, brought significant media coverage to him and to the school. "The decision goes on the make a sweeping claim: "The Member expressed his views, antithetical to the policies and values of the society, of the community, of the Board and of the profession, in public forums that included known racists and racist organizations. The Member's pattern of conduct, which persisted over many years." What society? Freedom of speech and immigration reform are supported by vast numbers of Canadians.

Of course, because I wouldn't cringe and grovel like the average teacher at a discipline hearing who is there for sexual misconduct, the Committee noted with resentment my bad attitude: "The Committee saw no evidence of any remorse by the Member." Henceforth, teachers are to be mere propagandists for some vague state ideology: "A penalty other than revocation would send a message to other educators and to the public at large that the College is unwilling or unable to ensure that its members will work to implement legislated policies based on shared public values." There is apparently no right to dissent from government policy on one's own time as a teacher or to attempt to change of modify legislation.

Like a Soviet "people's court," the public humiliation of the dissenter is to serve as a grim warning to other would be free thinkers: "The Committee has determined in this case, that due to the nature of the Member's misconduct and the revocation of the Member's certificate, publication of the Member's name is warranted. Publication of the nature of the misconduct in which the Member engaged will deter members of the profession from similar off duty conduct. Publication of this Member's name gives additional impact to the message that if any member of the teaching profession behaves in a manner such as this Member, he or she will be identified to their peers and the public." Utter a dissent from political correctness and we, too, can do a Fromm on you. Teachers, be warned. -- Paul Fromm (to be continued in the December issue of The Free Speech Monitor)

## **Rights Commission Investigates Christian Party**

The Christian Heritage Party of Canada (CHP) and its Leader Ron Gray are being investigated by the Canadian Human Rights Commission after a homosexual activist complained of material published on the Party's website he claims is offensive to homosexuals. Homosexual activist Rob Wells of Edmonton, has previously launched human rights complaints against Christian activist Craig Chandler in Alberta and has now made formal complaints against the CHP and Gray. Wells took issue with a 2002 WorldNetDaily news story republished on the CHP webpage as well as three Christian Heritage Party communiqués written by Gray. The World Net Daily article in question concerned a study which common 'gays'".' among "Pedophilia more (LifeSiteNews.comr, November 27, 2007)