

Free Speech Monitor

P.O. BOX 332, STN. B, ETOBICOKE, ONTARIO M9W 5L3

The Free Speech Monitor is published ten times a year by the Canadian Association for Free Expression, Inc.
Subscriptions to the Free Speech Monitor are \$15.00 per year.

TELEPHONE: (905) 274-3868

FAX: (905) 278-2431

e-mail: cafe@canadafirst.net

Webpage: <http://cafe.canadafirst.net>

Number 170

July/August, 2009

Terry Tremaine's Contempt of Court Case Adjourned Indefinitely: No Jail for Internet Dissident

REGINA. July 23, 2009. They saw the quiet determination in Terry Tremaine's blue grey eyes and like certain soldiers seeing the cold steel glint of the bayonets, fled the field of battle. **Internet** dissident **Terry Tremaine** (*Mathdoktor99* on *Stormfront*) had given up his apartment, moved his goods into storage, eaten a hearty last breakfast and removed all but some cash and his health card and headed off to **Federal Court** this morning fully believing he might eat a supper of slops in a local jail.

We arrived at the hearing. I spoke to a brief press conference and, then, Mr. Tremaine, reporter **Barb Pacholik**, and I headed up to Room 1600. It was locked. We were amazed. We learned that the day before **Federal Court** had granted the **Canadian Human Rights Commission** an adjournment *sine die*; that is, an indefinite postponement.

Later in the day I contacted **Canadian Human Rights Commission** lawyer and prosecutor **Daniel Poulin**. He explained: "I truly do not want to put Mr. Tremaine in prison." However, he wants Mr. Tremaine to remove his **National Socialist Party of Canada** website. Contacted later in the day, lawyer **Douglas Christie** hailed the adjournment as a "real victory."

Terry Tremaine had made it quite clear in discussions over the past month with Mr. Poulin that he was not afraid to go to jail. He does not want to knuckle under to Canada's Internet censorship. He welcomed an open court hearing where he could defend what he had posted and legitimate political commentary about urgent social and political issues.

What was really happening? We suspect that, with media from coast to coast calling for the repeal of **Sec. 13** (the **Internet** censorship provisions of the **Canadian Human Rights Act**), the **CHRC** and its new public relations advisors may not have relished tossing a gentle, law-abiding academic into prison for the non-violent expression of his political views. **CAFE** is pleased with the role we've played, reminding the media and all who'll listen that a **CHRC** prepared to jail a dissident, places Canada in the sordid company of tyrannies like Red China and Burma that jail people solely for the non-violent expression of their political views. -- **Paul Fromm**, Director

Warman Can Seize Edmonton Seniors' House & Toss Them on the Street

You have to wonder about the Canadian "justice" system. **William Grosvenor** is a former forensic auditor and curmudgeonly Edmontonian, not unused to controversies and taking on the rich and powerful. He's former **Canadian Human Rights Commission** investigator and serial complainant **Richard Warman's** latest victim. In late 2007, Grosvenor was served with a notice of libel, as Warman critics so often are. At issue were some pungent comments online. Warman says Grosvenor wrote them; Grosvenor denies most of them. The matter was heard in Ontario. As often happens, Warman's targets are poor. Mr. Grosvenor didn't have the money to travel to Ontario or the money to hire a lawyer. He filed over 600 pages of response. He was duly found guilty in absentia by the **Ontario Superior Court** in a November 5, 2008 judgement. He received a severe tongue lashing and Warman walked off with a hefty award that is now in excess of

\$82,000. An appeal has failed and Warman now is trying to enforce the judgement, the \$82,176.44 pound of flesh, plus additional costs in Alberta against the impoverished Grosvenor who survives on a very meagre monthly pension.

At issue is Mr. Grosvenor's one tangible asset -- the family home, worth approximately \$250,000. He says it was purchased with his wife's retirement funds and was held in her name, until the couple decided to apply for an **Alberta Seniors Benefit** grant to replace the aged furnace and fix the roof. Apparently, both names were required on the deed of ownership. Mr. Grosvenor's name was added and then removed somewhat later. However, his name was removed after the notice of libel was received from Mr. Warman. Mr. Warman wants his money and sent his pricey legal team from **Heenan Blaikie** to the **Court of Queen's Bench** in Calgary. The case was heard July 10 by **Madam Justice K.M Horner**. In essence, Mr. Grosvenor is deemed to have fraudulently removed his name from the deed. He's back as co-owner and the house can now be sold out from under the old couple. Oh, yes, the judge also tacked on costs.

Fraser Gordon, writing for the court, explains: "Under the *Statute of Frauds*, transactions can be set aside in cases where it is shown that a debtor -- or someone with the possibility of becoming a debtor -- transferred assets with the intent of frustrating enforcement.. The Court so ruled in this case, noting (1) that the transfer from Mr. Grosvenor to Mrs. Grosvenor was done after Mr. Grosvenor was served with a Libel notice, and (2) that the transfer was between family members and for no consideration. (The Court had little interest in Mr. Grosvenor's argument that he was never the beneficial owner of the home,) ... I would expect the next steps taken by the judgement creditor will be enforcement of the debt, which may include sale of this house." Mr. Grosvenor has until August 7 to file an appeal and seek a stay of enforcement.

Dissent in politically correct Canada and criticism of **Richard Warman** are both dangerous and costly. Freedom is never free and, apparently, with Canada's politicized courts, never cheap!

Mother of Kidnapped Children Sends Cri du Coeur to Manitoba Court

The young Winnipeg mother, who, of course, under Canada's poxy laws, cannot be named, continues to fight for contact with and eventually to regain some form of custody of her two children, kidnapped by **Manitoba Child and Family Services** in March, 2008. The children were seized because the social work meddlers deemed that the parents' White nationalist views might inflict emotional harm on the youngsters, a girl, then 7, and a boy, then, 2. Hearings have stumbled along for nearly 18 months and resume in September. The following is an eloquent cry from the heart that the mother has sent to the court for the upcoming hearings:

"On March 25, 2008 [*the girl and boy*] were taken by **Manitoba Child and Family Services**, as a result of a 45 minute conversation about black people (re: Kim Rowan) and some drawings on [*the girl's*] arm. No investigation was to follow, they just took the kids based on an assumption that [*she and her husband*] were causing emotional harm to our children.

Our home was by no means perfect and [*the husband*] had a lot of personal problems that interfered with his ability to parent, but our children were never in danger. They were always clean, well dressed and fed. I understand that I worked a lot, probably too much but that has changed. My life has changed for the better. I live in a nice house

in a small town and am working from home doing medical transcriptions. We don't drink in the house. Nor do we use drugs of any sort, we don't associate with those who do. I have been asking **MCFS** workers to please send someone from the Quebec agency (*La Commission des droits de la personne et des droits de la jeunesse* or 'DPJ') to inspect the home and possibly get me in contact with the programmes that I have been asked to complete. The only thing we've heard from the **DPJ** is that a complaint was made by the **MCFS** office that the children here are now in danger because they are around me. They (The DPJ) have investigated and found that there is no problem in the home or with me. They will, at some point, report to the **MCFS** complainer that there is, in fact, no problem, I don't expect that information to be presented in the court however. The **DPJ** would be willing to help me if they thought there was a problem, however they do not feel there is an issue. Instead they have told me to contact the **CLSC** for information on getting a psychological evaluation done if I feel it is necessary. I will do so because **MCFS** feels it is important. ...

I love my children with all of my being. It has been seven months since I have been allowed to see them and seven months since they have been allowed to see me, which is just criminal. The emotional harm that this has caused my children is beyond comprehension. The agency has lied under oath, has created false testimony and encouraged our family to turn against one another. The testimony from Dr. Ducharme although maybe somewhat accurate was biased at best. He came to the conclusion that I am emotionally stuck at 14 because that's all we talked about. I'm sure that it was my fault for at least some of it because I failed to make it to a couple of appointments but I don't think his evaluation of me was fair as we had only spent a total of 5 hours together, one of those hours was me alone in a room completing a parenting assessment (written).

If things had not gone so horribly awry for me following the seizure of my children I would have been better able to prove my worth as a parent. My life was turned upside down, I was losing jobs left, right and centre I couldn't eat or sleep, I essentially began to self destruct. When my lawyer asked for \$10,000, that was it for me. I thought there is no way I will get my kids back, I couldn't get a lawyer I couldn't keep a job, my family had stopped talking to me, I was no longer able to see my kids, I didn't know what to do or where to turn. So, I left the province not to abandon my children but to try to fix the problems I was having, I have done that. I am in a secure stable environment and anyone can see that. I have sent pictures of my home to **Donna Pierce** and have requested her over and over again for some form of help from the agency which she continues to acknowledge and promises that she will put me in touch with the necessary people but five months later she has still done nothing. My biggest fear is that the agency is so dead-set on being victorious here that they have lost sight of the reason we're all involved in this, my children. They are the ones who are suffering. They are the ones who are feeling neglected and abandoned. **MCFS** has been lying to us they have done it over and over again. They have made promises they had no intention of following through with, they demanded a meeting with me because they said that the t-shirts I gave to the kids were inappropriate they were skate shirts from a well known skateboard magazine. I had mentioned the possibility of my kids appearing in the magazine but acknowledged and accepted when Donna Pierce had said no, for me that was the end of the discussion, but they took it further than that I'm not entirely sure why they felt it necessary to do such a thing but they came up with the idea that maybe I said something to Shannon in the bathroom... I never took Shannon to the bathroom, just Ian; Shannon is 8 years old and is perfectly capable of going by herself. Our visits were supervised, every minute of them. I never once said anything to Shannon that could confuse her, I asked

her if I could see her report card I don't see how that is an issue. After that they revoked our visits.

The agency knows they were wrong for seizing our children the way they did, I fear they are fabricating the truth to justify their actions. They intervened in our life based on our politics. They heard stories from a 7 year old girl who was probably terrified and who probably still is and they put horrific words in her mouth. I still to this day don't believe that *[the girl]* said such horrible things. *[Her ex-husband]* is not fit to parent on his own, but no matter what they and I have said about him, he still loves them, he never would intentionally put them in harm's way. He has done stupid things yes, as have I, but I don't see it as a reason to take our children. I question why the agency did not try to step in another way, like maybe talk to us, maybe come into our house, maybe use more of "preventive and supportive services directed to preserving the family unit" to which I understand is our right.

My children are being denied the opportunity to have a relationship with me. My children's needs were met on every parameter while in my custody, but as a result of the agency's actions their emotional well being is being greatly neglected.

[The boy] was 2 years old at the time the agency intervened. At his stage of development, the agency's actions have proven to be detrimental to his sense of security. He has been deprived of his strong bond with me and, in turn, has regressed in many stages of development both mentally and emotionally.

The agency's actions have caused more disruption than can even be put into the Queens English to ALL members of not only the immediate family but extended family as well.

The agency has had 18 months to come up with valid evidence to prove in any way shape or form that there is any risk in returning my children.

[The girl] needs and desire to be with me, have not been honoured. She is 8 years old now and does know what is going on, maybe someone should actually ask her what she wants. The delay of proceedings has clearly had an effect on both children and all family members. We come from a family with strong Scottish and English roots. Having *[the children]* attend any church that isn't Anglican is a refusal to acknowledge their religious and cultural rights. My family (esp maternal grandmother) is strongly opposed to the practice of Christian Science *[the faith of their current custodians]* as we feel it is unsafe to trust only the hand of God.

The agency has yet to provide any solid grounds for their case. All they have done is propagate rumours and innuendo. They took the testimony of people who had, up until now, paid no attention to my son, people who had never been inside my home. ... The agency has not done its job; the only thing it has done is back themselves so far into a corner that they had to make up stories to justify their wrongdoing. My children were never physically or emotionally abused, they were loved and cared for. They never went without anything. If *[she and her husband]* had to sacrifice ourselves or miss a meal, we did but our children never went without anything. I don't believe that any home can provide the perfection that the agency demands, but we can do our best which is what I intend to do. I have made mistakes and I have suffered a severe punishment for them, but it's my children who are suffering most of all. My daughter has made it very clear to everyone that her desire is to be with me and her brother." -- **Winnipeg Mom**