

ter inadvertently to mislead parliament and the people over the home office and immigration, why is it not a resignation matter inadvertently to mislead parliament and the people over weapons of mass destruction and Iraq?"

A: Leader of the Commons Peter Hain replied: "Quite simply because there was no misleading involved on the question of Iraq."

No indeed, was *Private Eye* on comment.

The Gulag

A review of Anne Applebaum's *Gulag: A History of the Soviet Camps* appears in *Right Now*, of which the following are excerpts:

ALTHOUGH KGB archival statistics are inconsistent, one calculation suggests that nearly three million perished inside the main Gulag system during the Stalin years alone. Prisoners collected for immediate extermination, from Trotskyite dissidents to Polish officers, were caged separately.

Millions of men and women worked, starved or froze to death across a supposedly civilized northern hemisphere country — yet all readers in England get is just one book eight decades after the camps opened and some 20 years after they were finally closed. Why has this particular hecatomb barely qualified even as a mere detail of history?

Considerable information, of course, was always available from different sources, subject to the verification tests that eyewitness memoirs and smuggled documents properly require. But why did it make so little durable impact, either on Western opinion-formers or in the general public (even if sated with atrocity images from elsewhere)? Flurries of interest — pre-war show trials, post-war descriptions of the "dark side of the moon", Solzhenitsyn ... — soon subsided. Our "intellectuals" preferred to focus their delicate sensitivities and sustain their grand outrage against *non-communist* regimes, past and present.

Freedom for all

IT is ironical that those who call themselves liberal, and who probably think of themselves as liberal, are so often at the forefront of attempts to curtail civil liberties and delimit freedom of speech and association ... Their view of liberty is distinctly distorted — and incidentally unsustainable.

Freedom is for everyone or for no one.

Healthy societies need fuglemen who are prepared to break the cosy consensus and to say the unsayable, however much this may grate on contemporary sensibilities. After all, who is to say who is right? Until we can tell this for certain, it is as usual up to the despised 'Far Right' to defend the indefensible — and

for the supposedly 'intolerant' to defend tolerance, and fight for freedom for now and forever.

Excerpts from an editorial in *Right Now*, England

"THE Tories are actively committing suicide in the inner cities by their support for large-scale regeneration."

JOHN BULL in *Right Now*, England

Cowardice

It must be recognized that cowardice is not just a state of mind; it's also a state of body. It was once written, "The first reason for personal cowardice lies in physical weaknesses."

Those who do not know how to defend enough, be afraid to do certain activities, and will come up with excuses, either consciously or unconsciously, not to do right.

Courage must come not only from one's mental re-education, but from one's physical re-education as well.

MICHAEL MEDEIROS in *National Alliance Bulletin*, USA

As Viankin sees things

***SECRECY** is power's chief tool.

***THERE** is a frustrating feeling of disconnection to modern American life.

***PARANOIA**, in regulated doses, is healthy in these times.

JONATHAN VANKIN in *Conspiracies, Cover-Ups and Crimes*

Radical Solution

A woman wrote that her son and his wife cannot have children and none are available for adoption in Australia due to mass murder of the unborn. So they looked overseas. "They tried Russia, but there's a lot of paper work and it costs US\$25,000. So they went to the Philippines. There it cost only US\$15,000 cash, in return for not killing their baby. In return they would be required to furnish proof of pedigree, good health, etc." We asked how the Russians could charge US\$10,000 more for white babies than the Philippines did for Asians. "Higher demand," she was told.

Why has no one thought of an international White Adoption Agency to meet the needs of increasing numbers of sterile white couples?

Storm (Australian National Action), Australia

Man part of Nature

***MAN** is part of Nature, and he must govern himself according to Nature's laws, which are the same for him as for other living creatures. The fact that Man thinks he has exceptional intelligence does not exempt him from the rules that bind other creatures. If Man pollutes his environment, he poisons other creatures and himself. Just ask the canary in the

mineshaft. In the domestic world, Man controls the quality and quantity of his livestock, and since Man is also a domestic, social animal, he must control his own quality and numbers. If Man is remiss in his duties, Nature is not.

Man can vanish, along with the dinosaurs, if he offends Nature's laws sufficiently.

***THE** white man is like a lion taking off his own mane and lioness when non-whites invade his living space. We have become decadent savages, lacking the virility and stamina of the savage and having lost the cultural refinements of civilisation.

***CIVILIZATION** and decadence are the outgrowths of surplus production. It is without the other.

In good times and in bad, a survival-oriented ethos must be maintained to preserve the group, be it a family, clan, tribe or race. The whites have erred in thinking that such an ethos is out-dated and irrelevant. Not so! The Chechens are the fierce warrior-survivors we can study to see the ethos of the future, if there is to be a future. Chechen men are being killed in huge numbers, so Chechen women are having as many babies as they can sustain in conditions of war and hardship. Whites can only gape in awe at such fierce national loyalty or recoil in horror with the thought: "Why do these people exert themselves so much to live, when it is so much easier to die without a fight?"

ORION, USA
(E.T.)

Ambassadors & priests

AN article in the *Encyclopedia Britannica* on "Propaganda" says that in ancient Hindu times, circa 600 BC, priests were thought to be the most suitable candidates for employment as diplomats as "their venerable status enabled them to lie with impunity ..."

A British ambassador of the 16th Century, Sir Henry Wotton, said: "An ambassador is a man of honour who is sent abroad to lie for his country."

ELEPHANTS separated from the herd at an early age, never benefiting from group culture, become rogues.

50/50 TV nature series



IMPACT OCTOBER/NOVEMBER 2004

Political Centre of Gravity Shifts As Identity Crisis Deepens

A thought-provoking article by ANTONY MILNE argues that multi-racial Britain is fast becoming dysfunctional. On another level, his predictions about the collapse of civilized standards in Britain could apply equally to other white countries invaded by swarms of asylum-seekers and immigrants, legal or illegal . . .

CONTINUED immigration into Britain is now taking on revolutionary dimensions which bode ill for the future, since it will completely and utterly alter the character of this country and will have a negative impact upon intellectual life for decades to come.

Forget about political correctness: all of the medical, moral, and economic problems the media now worry about will, in about 20 years' time, be replaced largely with questions of anthropology, nationalism and identity, urban violence and crime.

Cultural civil war

Present-day headlines about the 'Tale of Two Countries' won't be about the north-south economic divide but about the ethnic/racial one. Diseases and social problems will come and go, but the word 'racism' will never seem to vanish from the journalists' lexicon. The courts will increasingly be dealing with 'race-hate' show trials.

This last fact alone means that future debates about this country's destiny won't be placid, seminar-like occasions either: they could become extremely rancorous because cultural civil war between the indigenous British and the ever-growing *diaspora* communities is already breaking out.

To say that Britain has been transformed in the last 30 years would be a gross understatement. According to figures from the Office for National Statistics (ONS), the proportion of the population now living in this country born overseas has almost doubled in 50 years to a record one-in-twelve. The largest increase occurred between 1991 and 2001 — just ten years — during which four million foreigners came to Britain to live permanently, a population about equivalent to that of Ireland, and which in total is larger than the combined populations of Slovenia, Estonia and Cyprus. This is a fact that everyone in London has seen with their own eyes. Some areas of the city's outer suburbs have been transformed into versions of New Delhi or Lagos.

To these figures must be added the four to five million immigrants that were already here — so this makes a total of, say, nine million people of foreign origin (about comparable to the entire populations of Portugal or Sweden) living here in Britain.

Ethnic minorities, from my experience, are too often regarded as virtual colonisers, people having no historical, cultural or racial links with this country, or in most cases even with other EU countries.

We aren't talking here of ancestral peoples who have lived in one region for centuries and are disputing how much cultural or political power they are entitled to: we aren't, for example, alluding to Sunnis, Shi'ites, Turkomans, Tadjiks, Chechens or Pashtuns, or any of the hundreds of other tribes living *in situ*; we are talking about a motley crew of foreign invaders, speaking more than a hundred different languages, who have nothing in common either with each other or with the host community among whom they have chosen to live, who will have only the faintest idea of the history of this land, and hardly any geographical knowledge of the townscapes or landscape. Every street and town they live in will reflect the identity of another race of people. Every single brick from which their dwellings are built will have been made by us, the British. Every bus and train they travel on are *our* buses and trains.

Many of these people struggle desperately to drag us white British into their existential crisis. When the foreign-born Yasmin Alibhai-Brown wrote *Who Do We Think We Are?* she was hoping that we would all be jointly worrying about this question, when she was really only referring to the dilemma of the *diaspora* communities themselves, with many of the cultures of whom she herself, ironically, would be personally unfamiliar.

Dependence on Liberal Establishment

If the immigrant communities, to justify their presence here, come up with the other argument that they were legally

allowed to live here, they then have to associate themselves, in virtual perpetuity, with the liberal political establishment who they trust will guarantee their safety and not deport them. But if the politicised reasons they give for their being here are not the same as ours, the potential for prolonged enmity is very real indeed. On top of the absence of a common identity or culture, there will be these added political cleavages. The minorities will be seen only as an alien manifestation of left-liberalism, propping up an increasingly unpopular and shop-worn treacherous political establishment.

If I were a black man, I would be extremely worried about the number of English flags adorning English windows, which were kept up long after England were knocked out of the Euro 2004 championship. I would also be worried about the phenomenal vote for UKIP in the recent Euro elections, and the continued firming up of the BNP vote. As Roger Scruton wrote recently, "We, the English, have in recent years been denied a political identity, have been told to repudiate our national history and culture, and are being herded into a multicultural future that we never wanted and were never asked to choose."

Bedrock norms shattered

As the civic moral order is in danger of collapsing, the politicians have not grasped that the centre of political gravity has also shifted.

The increase in yobbish behaviour and the blatant licentiousness revealed in our street life, drinking habits and clothing fashions, and the brutalised look of many of our young men, are manifestations of an underlying anxiety about the threat to our national identity. This in turn means that the traditional moral values that have long underpinned this nation, including the maintenance of high educational standards — in other words, the civilised standards upon which the ethnic minorities rely for the maintenance of neighbourliness and tolerance — are also undermined. In other words, left-liberalism has already shattered our bedrock norms of respectability and responsibility. The churches, police, lawyers, civil servants and universities have actively promoted this moral slide, with drastic effects on the social order and national character.

The ethnic minorities should ask themselves whether they have contributed to this new seedy moral order. Communities, after all, depend upon a degree of social cohesion, and that in turn rests on a critical mass of familiarity, shared lan-

guage and traditions. The first generation of migrants should have seen that continued foreign settlement into this country would destroy this cohesion upon which they, like the rest of us, depend, and should have joined in campaigns to stop further immigration instead of abusing the white British for doing just that. The fact that they have connived in further immigration proves that they have colonising instincts along the lines of 'there is safety in numbers'.

Let me give a specific instance: Scotland Yard is to launch a specialist unit to tackle an explosion in Asian crime: the murder rate for South Asians has almost quadrupled in the last decade. Lord Ahmed, a leading Labour Moslem peer, has said that many serious crimes are never solved because the police have little knowledge of the inner workings of Asian communities. More to the point, he complained that there is "a widespread problem of indiscipline among our young people ... faced with prevailing lax moral standards."

But these lax standards have been actively promoted by the liberal intelligentsia who, following World War II, adopted such a pathological hatred of Nazism that this turned into hostility towards all forms of authority structure. The support of mass immigration into Britain is a form of ideological fanaticism, and is a threat to democracy itself.

A small degree of diversity — as between tribal variations of the same racial

stock — might be good for a country, but not *extreme* diversity pushed through at such an alarming rate. British society is becoming dysfunctional, and that hints that Britain will sooner or later become a 'failed state'. Life in the big cities will become increasingly unpleasant for the ethnic citizens. Communities have been breaking down in inner cities because they are becoming overburdened with too many overlapping ethnic, economic, social and housing problems.

The ethnic community leaders and their political lackeys must be held at least partly responsible for this state of affairs.

ANTONY MILNE in *Spearhead*, England

A favourite argument of multi-racialists is that there have been large numbers of black people in Britain for centuries and that the present situation is nothing new. African soldiers in the Roman army, they say, were stationed on Hadrian's Wall, therefore the present inhabitants of the Scottish Borders must be partly black by descent.

Unfortunately for the multi-racialists, the Roman soldiers in question will have been Berbers from North Africa, and so not black [Negroid] at all. No matter! Your true multi-racialist will still think they must have been blacks from South Africa who joined the Roman army to escape from apartheid.

PETER SIMPLE in
London *Daily Telegraph*

ASPECTS OF RACE

IN the midst of all the myths about "freedom, equality and brotherhood", the fact of race differences remains. The basic right that people have is the right of preference for their own.

Editorial in *Die Afrikaner*, Pretoria

The Invisible Race Gap


A new absurd law in SA is to disallow not only the idea of racial superiority and inferiority, but also any suggestion that the races are *different*. This will mean that articles such as the following in a mainstream daily newspaper would be taboo!

FROM afar, racially mixed suburbs such as in Maplewood, New Jersey, appear to be the fulfilment of the Brown v. Board of Education desegregation ruling a half century ago. They seem to make the need for affirmative action passé.

But they are not what they appear to be, as Ronald Ferguson, a Harvard professor, knows from surveying 34,000 7th to 11th graders in 15 of these racially mixed suburbs across the USA. Everywhere, he finds the achievement gap,

with whites averaging B+ and blacks C+.

Whites and blacks taking similar level courses report that they spend the same time on homework. It is just that the results are different: 38% of whites who spend 2 hours on homework nightly get all their work done; only 20% of blacks spending 2 hours finish their homework.



It would be politically convenient for Prof Ferguson, a black man raising his two children plus a neph-

ew in a Boston suburb, if the Gap could be explained away by economics. It cannot.

When he controls for income, half the Gap persists. Among the richest families, blacks average B+, whites A-. How to explain it?

MICHAEL WINERIP, *New York Times*, USA

The Big Lie about Race

DR Karl Stefansson, chief executive officer of Iceland-based DeCODE genetics, goes even further than many other scientists dare to go when they discuss genes. He says, "I believe the majority of human destinies have a genetic component."

How do we know who is Us and who is Not Us? The answer is as plain as the noses on our faces. All we have to do is use the senses we have been given — which have been given to help us survive — to help us make the determination in most things in our lives. If something smells bad, we probably shouldn't eat it. If something doesn't feel right, it's probably harmful for us. If someone looks like us, they probably are like us.

Once one gets the nature of genes and race straight, the issue becomes whether one wants to further one's genes and race or not. It is up to us to decide whether we want our genotype to survive as it is or whether we want to extinguish it. We have enough free will to decide the right and wrong thing for us and our family lines. We can believe the "experts" of the moment or we can believe nature as we know it through our senses and as we process our knowledge of nature in our brains.

It is when we try to deny our nature and our senses, and believe the "experts" and crackpots who say that race doesn't exist, that we are threatened with extinction. And extinction is far easier than survival. All you have to do is stop struggling and blend in.

H. MILLARD in *The Nationalist Times*, USA

Song of the South

MICHAEL Andrew Grissom has written a book entitled *Can the South Survive?* (Rebel Press, 2001). A review in *American Renaissance* explains how the question posed by the title goes far beyond the sectional interests of the one-time rebel Southern States.

Grissom understands that the South's decline into irreligious, miscegenist vulgarity is part of a sickness that afflicts whites everywhere. The great strength of this book is that, although it ranges widely over history and culture, it never loses sight of the central question of race.

IMPACT OCTOBER/NOVEMBER 2004

He is that rare and refreshing Southerner who never apologises.

Grissom starts with four distinctively Southern traditions: a feudal theory of society; a code of chivalry; a firm concept of the gentleman; and a pervasive religiousness. Southerners thought seriously about what God, honour, and breeding required of them. Men avenged insults on the field of honour, and "falsehood, like an act of cowardice, was supposed to lose one his standing in society ... Every woman was a lady; ladies were to be protected; their virtue assured; their honour unquestioned." The total war Sherman and Sheridan waged against the South was an incomprehensible outrage against this deep sense of propriety ...

When outsiders began to interfere with race relations, delicacy became a disadvantage. Yankee-born Carleton Putnam wrote: "The truth is that responsible Southerners have deliberately weakened their own defence because of their unwillingness to raise the underlying problem. They talk of states' rights when they should be talking anthropology, and they do so out of instinctive kindness. There is a point at which kindness imposed upon ceases to be a virtue."

Among whites, "the moderate became the liberal's best friend," writes Grissom. "Always counselling moderation, inaction, passivity, the moderate never managed a stand on anything." The South was also betrayed by its newspapers, many of which were owned by Northerners. Church ministers were also quick to capitulate, and whites became so demoralised that "the presence of a single black is enough to intimidate a whole room full of whites."

The 1960s saw not only the overthrow of the racial order but virtually every other order as well. "We are always only one generation from apostasy; and one untaught, untrained, undisciplined generation is all it takes to break the chain of civilisation's perpetuity. That generation was the one the 60s gave us."

The white man, he writes, "doesn't even realize he is in a war. He fails to comprehend the seriousness of his own situation and probably won't until he is in the minority — and then it will be too late." ... Immigration and low birthrates are quickly eroding the majority and if the white man disappears, "he will take with him his sense of fair play, his unswerving commitment to the underdog, his belief in personal responsibility, his willingness to aid those in distress, his aversion to crime, his strong sense of family, and his ability to create and maintain stable gov-

ernments."


Can the South Survive? is available from www.michaelandrewgrissom.com

Realism about race

IN an interview with Jared Taylor, author and *American Renaissance* editor, the British monthly *Right Now* asked (among many other questions):

Q: CAN you tell us when and why *American Renaissance* was founded?

A: I started *AR* in 1990 for what many would consider radical or even dangerous purposes: to encourage whites to think of their interests in explicitly racial terms, to recognize what every



other race in the U.S. does so instinctively, and to understand that if whites fail to act as a group, they jeopardize their long-term survival as a distinct people with a distinct culture and way of life.

Needless to say, this is not a message welcomed by the establishment. However, ordinary Americans increasingly understand the crisis our country faces ... [Our] position is increasingly winning recognition despite ingrained and intense hostility to any form of racial consciousness on the part of whites.

Q: WHAT policies should be adopted to solve or at least mitigate some of America's current race problems?

A: I have always recommended only two policies: an end to mass immigration, and the abolition of anti-discrimination laws. The population of the United States is increasing like that of a Third World country, mostly because of immigration. 90% of immigrants are unassimilable minorities who will bring divisiveness and tension. Population increase will also strain environment and infrastructure. There were 125 million Americans in 1945, and no one thought

the country under-populated. There are now about 290 million of us, with about half a billion expected by 2070 or so. Ending immigration would stop this mad expansion.

As for anti-discrimination laws, private citizens should have the right to choose employees, school-mates, or neighbours for good reasons, bad reasons or no reason at all — just as they choose their spouses.

Views of ORION (E.T.)

*THE death of the largest portions of fit, white youth of breeding age in World Wars I and II were disasters, in view of the rapid growth of non-white populations under white colonial rule. In Southern Rhodesia [now Zimbabwe], for example, there were approximately 300,000 semi-nomadic blacks in the territory when the first white settlers arrived in 1890, but there were over 7 million in the territory when white rule ended in 1980. The same occurred throughout colonial Africa, both north and south. Now that black rule is back, we may see a reduction in the black population. The dearth of whites of breeding age caused by the world wars accelerated the coloured invasion of white living space, and deprived our race of a generation of leaders in each war of the 20th century.

Civilized warfare is dysgenic as well as genocidal, unlike barbaric warfare, which pits man against man in close combat. How strong must a man be to pull a trigger or drop a bomb from an aircraft? How much stamina and agility are required to operate a laptop? The white man's technology can be used to kill the fittest warriors on earth, while being operated by cripples. As our technology improves, our population quality gets worse. This is correlation, not causation, but the end will occur when sophisticated technology is used by semi-simians to achieve their monkey-business. This trend is also accelerating, and will assure greater disasters in the near future.

*QUALITY of populations is of utmost importance. The most obvious illustrations are Japan and any black African country, where crowding is relative. In Japan, crowding produces conformity and co-operation. In black Africa, it results in chaos and carnage. What we see in black Africa is the population reclaiming its pre-colonial optimum numbers within the blacks' means to exploit one another and their environment.

*AMERICAN jobs continue to go to

China, and the Chinese et al continue to pour into North America, rather as the air went out of the *Titanic* and the water flowed in. The inundation of North America is happening so fast that most people are numbed by the shock.

ORION, USA

CONTRARY to what the liberals keep telling us, contact with blacks does not reduce "prejudice". It teaches us there are real differences that cannot be ignored ... Each of us has to construct his own racial consciousness.

TOM HOLDEN in
American Renaissance, USA

Prejudice a normal feature of behaviour

IT is not surprising that systems which are sufficiently differentiated, such as biological organisms and societies, will tend to develop mechanisms that will enable them to exclude foreign bodies likely to menace their integrity.

At the biological level, such devices are known as rejection mechanisms. Experience with organ transplants has revealed that to suppress these mechanisms is to increase one hundredfold the patient's susceptibility to cancer, i.e. to the anarchic proliferation of cells.

[Rejection] mechanisms of this kind are essential at all levels of organisation. Of the 3,000 simple societies so far examined by anthropologists, all appear to have laws of exogamy and endogamy. Marriage is forbidden within a restricted family circle, but also outside the cultural group; the object being to avoid cultural hybridisation and hence the production of sub-systems that are differentiated parts of neither one system nor of another.

What is today regarded as prejudice against people of other ethnic groups is a normal and necessary feature of human cultural behaviour, and is absent only among members of a cultural system already far along the road to disintegration. The notion of the universal brotherhood of man is therefore totally incompatible with the nature of human cultural systems. It is as absurd as the notion that the cells making up a vast number of different organisms can be shuffled and still give rise to viable biological systems.

Economic growth is leading to increased mobility. Industrial countries tend to develop labour shortages and import foreign labour. In this way quite large ethnic minorities are being built up in many countries. In addition, economic

development is tending toward ever-larger political units which often embrace ethnic groups with little in common with each other. All this is creating a very unstable situation; one which can only lead to civil wars and the massacre of minorities singled out as scapegoats when inevitable economic and social crises occur.

EDWARD GOLDSMITH (one-time editor of *Ecology Magazine*), as quoted in an article entitled "Why Real Ecology & Political Correctness Don't Mix" by FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON in *Spearhead*, England

The New World Order promotes miscegenation, and the breakdown of racial and national integrity



This CHARD cartoon appeared in *Rivarol*, Paris

THE JIHAD

THE threat that Islam with its billion adherents poses to the white world is not religious, but ethnic and political, as are threats from other organised non-Europeans now living in white lands.

But Islam is a special case. Since its

A drawing depicting a scene from *The Battle of Poitiers*



very beginning in the 8th century A.D., Islamic armies have periodically invaded Europe, in what they call a Holy War, or *Jihad*.

It started when they first advanced on Constantinople. Leo stopped them there in 717 A.D.

Meanwhile in the West, Spain, Sardinia, Corsica, the Balearic Islands and Aquitaine fell to them. And it was only in 732 that Charles Martel was able to defeat Abd al-Rahman's Saracens decisively at Poitiers. But it took many centuries more before the invaders were finally pushed out of Gaul and Spain. Ferdinand and Isabella expelled them in 1492; and a combined Venetian, Spanish and Papal fleet defeated the Turkish fleet in 1571. A united Europe was pivotal in their expulsion. Even today we are witnessing the effects of the Islamic Turks having penetrated to the heart of Europe when they subjugated Albania, Bulgaria, Roumania, Hungary, Greece and the Balkans, and menaced Vienna many times between 1529 and 1683.

An article by Pierre Vial in *Identité* (Paris, March/April 1990) gives explicit details of Islamic conquests, holding ransom, pillaging and enslavement of European populations from the 9th Century AD: the Aghlabides, Sicily, Provence, Italy, Marseilles, Arles, Tarente. The arrival of the Normans was pivotal to the political and military alliance which defeated the Moslems.

The problem of Islam

A *Mail on Sunday* (London) article of 11/7/2004 reads:

SOON it will be illegal to say this, so I had better do it now. Islam — yes, even 'moderate' Islam — threatens our freedom and civilisation. If we do not work out soon how to counter it in open debate, we will find that its influence has gone too deep for us to challenge or resist.

The growing Moslem vote in Western Europe is already frightening many politicians into a submissive attitude. If Turkey joins the EU, the power of the

mosque will grow even more.

In Sudan, Pakistan, Nigeria and Indonesia, Christians face violent Moslem hostility. I don't know why David Blunkett wants to introduce a law protecting this fierce, militant faith from criticism. We already have perfectly good laws to punish anyone who persecutes Moslems or attacks their places of worship. We are allowing Islam

White politicians are already colluding in our destruction. For example, Tony Blair held secret talks with the leader of an 'illegal' mosque to help secure a key by-election victory in Leicester. He hoped Mohammed Bashir, a trustee of the city's Masjid-e-Bilal mosque, could talk local Moslems out of deserting New Labour.

to impose its intolerant opinions on us by banning criticism of it.

Terrified publishers

A distinguished British writer and academic says leading publishers turned down his latest book because it is too critical of Islam. David Selbourne, who



Moslems protesting the French law that bans Islamic schoolgirls from wearing veils to schools in France

has had more than a dozen books published, suspects that publishers are shunning *The Losing Battle With Islam* because it could provoke anger from Islamic extremists and other critics. His book suggests that Islam is not a religion of peace, balance and compassion, as many of its adherents claim. The book is a record of what has actually happened during the Islamic revival. It also discusses the *fatwa* that was issued against novelist Salman Rushdie after publication of *The Satanic Verses*, and the "cruel bounty repeatedly offered for Mr Rushdie's head."

"Many people," he says, "don't want to face up to the scale of the challenge that the non-Moslem world is facing."

ANDREW ALDERSON in the London *Sunday Telegraph* (25/7/2004)

CATHERINE the Great's famed General, Alexander Suvorov, had a military axiom: "More sweat during training, less blood in the battle."

This nobleman, who ate and slept alongside his men, told his troops before attacking Ismael, the Turkish fortress on the Danube: "Death runs away from the brave." The Russians won the Battle of Ismael.

cute. On closer examination, the list turned out to be a fake: the spelling mistakes were so numerous that only an Albanian could have written them.

Enter, therefore, Radomir Markovic, the secret police chief who knew more about what was going on in Yugoslavia than anyone else. But, in painstakingly detailed testimony lasting nearly three hours, he told the court that Milosevic had never ordered the expulsion of the Albanian population of Kosovo; that the former president had repeatedly issued instructions to the police and the army to respect the laws of war, and to protect the civilian population, even if it meant compromising the battle against Albanian terrorists; and that the mass exodus of Albanians during the NATO bombing was caused not by Serb forces but instead by the Kosovo Liberation Army itself, which needed a constant flow of refugees to maintain the support of Western public opinion for the NATO campaign.

Q: "Did you ever get any kind of report," Milosevic asked him, "or have you ever heard of an order, to expel Albanians from Kosovo?" A: "No, I never heard of such an order. Nobody ever ordered for Albanians from Kosovo to be expelled," Markovic replied.

Q: "Did you receive any information about any plan, suggestion or de facto influence that Albanians were to be expelled?" asked Milosevic. A: "No, I never heard of such a suggestion to expel Albanians from Kosovo."

Q: "At the meetings you attended, is it true that completely the opposite was said, namely that we always insisted that civilians be protected, and that they not be hurt in the process of anti-terrorist operations?" A: "Certainly. The task was not only to protect Serbs but also Albanian civilians."

Q: "Is it not true that we tried to persuade the flow of refugees to stay at home, and that the army and police would protect them?" the former president asked. A: "Yes, that was the instruction and those were the assignments."

Q: "Do you know that the Kosovo Liberation Army told people to leave, and to stage an exodus?" A: "Yes," said Markovic. "I am aware of that."

The media greeted this stunning evidence with complete silence. Indeed, it even failed to report the most extraordinary assertion of all made by Markovic, namely that he had effectively been tortured by the new pro-Western authorities in Belgrade, in order to make him testify against Milosevic. Markovic

THE MILOSEVIC TRIAL

If this man is a war criminal, where is all the evidence?

IN the great film with Marlene Dietrich and Charles Laughton, *The Witness for the Prosecution* appears in court and gives exactly the opposite testimony from what was expected. You would not know it from our media — which passed over the event in silence — but the same thing happened at The Hague [two years ago], in the most important war crimes trial since Nuremberg, that of former Yugoslav president, Slobodan Milosevic. One of the prosecution's star witnesses said precisely the opposite of what he was supposed to say, dealing what seemed like a fatal blow to a prosecution case which was already reeling from several previous blunders.

The star witness in question was **Rade Markovic**, the former head of the Yugoslav secret services. Before he appeared in the witness box, the media universally hailed him as the insider who would finally give the clinching testimony that Milosevic had personally ordered the persecution of the ethnic Albanian popu-

lation of Kosovo. This is the single issue which NATO used to justify its otherwise illegal attacks on Yugoslavia: without it, the moral justification for NATO's war in 1999 completely disappears.

The urge to hear Markovic's testimony was all the greater because the prosecution's last "star witness" had been a severe embarrassment. Radomir Tanic had presented himself as another "insider", and had claimed that he had actually been present when Milosevic gave the genocidal order. Under cross-examination, however, Tanic was shown to be an agent of the secret services of various Western countries, and to be so unfamiliar with the corridors of power that he could not even say what floor in the presidential palace Milosevic's office had been on.

The embarrassment over Tanic was equalled only by that caused when an Albanian witness produced a list of names which he alleged was of Albanians whom the Serb police were to exe-

claimed that the new Minister of the Interior in the Western-backed government in Belgrade had taken him out to dinner and offered him release from prison and a new identity in a country of his choice, if only he would agree to testify against his former boss at The Hague. Markovic also alleged that the Tribunal's own prosecutors had falsified and embellished the written statement he had given them.

These were amazing allegations. With them, the whole prosecution case seemed to crumble. But even more stunning was the reaction of the British presiding judge, Sir Richard May. A judge is supposed to be a neutral arbiter between the prosecution and the defence: May, by contrast, distinguished himself throughout by his belligerence towards Milosevic — who is conducting his own defence — and in particular for his habit of interrupting Milosevic, even sometimes switching off his microphone whenever the former Yugoslav leader's cross-examination showed up inconsistencies in a witness's evidence.

As May listened to Markovic, he tried desperately to stop him making allegations against the Prosecutors ... When Milosevic insisted that the Tribunal's own investigators had falsified Markovic's written evidence, May interrupted him tartly by saying, "That is not a comment which it is proper for you to make." In Judge May's book, therefore, it is irrelevant if the prosecution is lying, or if it an accomplice to torture ...

These events provide spectacular proof of what critics have always said — that the International Criminal Tribunal is a political kangaroo court in the hands of the West.

JOHN LAUGHLAND, *The Mail on Sunday* (London), 27/8/2002

Other aspects of the trial

THE Nuremberg-style court for so-called 'war crimes' ... means that a political or military leader anywhere in the world can be taken from his country and sentenced before an enemy tribunal that has no objectivity or any grounding in Western legal ethics.

Milosevic towers above his accusers and judges, and has shown that NATO forces committed carpet bombing of civilians. While the U.S. was backing the Taliban (in Afghanistan), Milosevic and the Serbs were standing as Europe's frontline against Islamic terrorism. Milosevic is condemned for doing exactly what George W. Bush demands now of all countries. The Serbian fight was for European interests.

The Kosovo Liberation Army, now

darlings of the globalists, were as recently as 1998 being described by U.S. special envoy to Bosnia, Gelbard, as "terrorists". The U.S. State Department had previously prepared a report detailing the methods of intimidation used by the KLA to press Kosovar Albanian ethnics into supporting them. Prior to Milosevic's intervention to restore order, in what is after all a province of Serbia, the KLA had the population of both Serb and Albanian ethnics in a state of terror. U.S. official sources were reporting that Albanian ethnics were fleeing from villages in their entirety from the KLA.

Also well known to both U.S. and European police agencies are the connections the KLA have with organised crime in Europe and Turkey and the funding they derive from heroin trafficking. The KLA — backed by various Islamic states and Albania — desires a state

that embraces all of Kosovo, large parts of Serbia and Macedonia, parts of Greece, and Montenegro.

One of the principal demands of NATO was that the Yugoslav Federation adopt a free market economy. Yugoslavia was racked by the same kind of IMF-imposed stringency economics that brought chaos to many other nations.

Kosovo is one of the most mineral-rich regions in the world. This is centred at the Trepica Mining Complex, worked 24 hours a day, which has the richest lead and zinc mines in Europe and is ranked third in the world. Lignite deposits are sufficient to last 13 centuries. Gold, silver and cadmium are also mined. The region was mined first by the Greeks 2000 years ago, followed by the Romans. It was a prize fought for in WWII and taken by the Germans.

Western Destiny, New Zealand

PROFILE

Russian-American journalist probed Russian 'business', murdered

IN Russia, investigative journalism can have serious, even fatal, consequences. None the less, Paul Klebnikov, the American journalist and editor of the business magazine *Forbes Russia*, was fearless. He was shot dead in Moscow at the age of 41 on July 9th this year.

He had gone to Moscow from the New York-based parent publication prior to the launch of the Russian-language edition in April this year, and it bore his personal stamp.

Energetic and hard-working, he believed that Russia's often chaotic, high-stakes business world had become calmer and more civilised, and he wanted to produce a serious publication capable of contributing to this improved environment.

In its first issue *Forbes Russia* did what no other magazine in that country had ever done: its journalists researched and reported on Russia's 100 richest people, estimating how much they owned and how they had acquired it. This authoritative list is often cited in local media, to the fury of wealthy Russian-business people who prefer to keep their affairs quiet. Klebnikov made himself no friends among them with his publication.

He was a dedicated digger, who moved easily from socialising with Russia's richest men to clandestine meetings with Chechen rebel commanders.

Though born in New York, the de-

scendant of émigrés who had fled after the 1917 revolution, he was motivated by a passionate identification with Russia and its culture.

He attended American and British universities. Fluent in Russian and French as well as English, he joined *Forbes* in 1989 and rose to become a senior editor, specialising in Russian and eastern European politics and economics, and investigating the criminal underworld's links to business and politics. Before assuming the editorship of *Forbes Russia*, he was best known for his research into the business and connections of oligarch Boris Berezovsky, whom he accused of corruption and excessive influence over the Kremlin during Yeltsin's presidency (1991-1999). His book *Godfather of the Kremlin, Boris Berezovsky and the Looting of Russia* was published in 2000, and appeared in paperback as *Godfather of the Kremlin: The Decline of Russia in the Age of Gangster Capitalism*. His second book, published in Russia last year as *Talking with a Barbarian*, was based on conversations with the Chechen rebel commander Khosh Akhmed Nukhayev, and dealt with the role of organised crime in Chechnya.





PAUL KLEBNIKOV, probed too deep

BESLAN

(Contd. from page 2)

ratists were able to finance their terror war, and now the connections become clearer. The oligarchs and corporate raiders are playing for high stakes.

In an ideal world, one would wish Russia to give Chechnya its independence, and in return expel every Chechen, mafia member or not, from Russian soil. But the consequences are so imponderable, the expanses so vast, the ethnic identities so complex, the entrenchment of the mafia so deep, that the best that Putin can do in the real world for the moment is clean up the Augean Stables bit by bit, handle the crime, assassinations and atrocities in as capable a manner as a chief of the former KGB would know how.



The money plane featured in the *New York Magazine* transported billions of freshly printed dollars from a New York bank to Moscow. The money-laundering scheme enabled the Red Mafiya to use U.S. currency to buy up at basement prices Russia's "privatized" assets

Readers Forum

SINCE Vladimir Putin took over the presidency, Russia's situation has improved steadily. From being one of the biggest debtor nations in the world, it has become just four years later a creditor nation. This could be dangerous for the country because once it is free of debt it becomes free to make its own decisions.

Streng Geheim - Top Secret, Austria

Some Sources used in this issue of 'Impact':



Adelaide Institute Newsletter, PO Box 3300, Adelaide 5067, Australia. Website: www.adelaideinstitute.org
American Renaissance, PO Box 527, Oakton VA 22124, USA. www.amren.com
C-Far Newsletter, PO Box 332, Stn.B, Etobicoke, Ont M9W 5L3, Canada
Criminal Politics, PO Box 37812, Cincinnati OH 45222, USA
Critical Factors, PO Box 3639 Gaithersburg MD 20885, USA
Die Afrikaner, Box 1888, Pretoria 0001
National Alliance Bulletin, PO Box 90 Hillsboro WV 24946 USA
Phoenix, Postfach 17, A-6922 Wolfurt, Austria, e-mail: phoenix.com@vol.at
Right Now, PO Box 2085, London W1A 5SX, England
Spearhead, PO Box 2471, Hove E.Sussex BN3 4DT, England
Storm (Australian National Action), Box 635 Strathpine Post Shop 4500, Qld, Australia. website: freespeech.org/nationalaction/
Streng Geheim - Top Secret, P.b.b. Verlagspostamt, A-6952 Hittisau Austria
The Nationalist Times, PO Box 426, Allison Park, PA 15101, USA. email: mail@anu.org
Western Destiny, PO Box 1627, Paraparaumu Beach, New Zealand

Judging by a recent demonstration, these youths are also capable of great discipline even in the face of Leftist provocations, and the police congratulated us on the restrained manner the NF supporters conducted themselves. — K B (New Zealand)

* * *

THE arrival of *Impact* is eagerly awaited every second month, and I only wish the interval could be shortened. We are bombarded with misinformation every day and welcome the type of news which offers some relief.

Your item by David Irving was appreciated. He is now ignored by the mainstream press.

Your Readers Forum is also a lively feature. Some time ago I was amused by a news item on Classic FM early in the morning. The announcer referred to a British journalist who had said Nelson Mandela was fulfilling the rôle of a "Fig Leaf", namely that of a cover for something regarded as "shameful". (The name of the British journalist was never disclosed.) I found the analogy very fitting, even better than that of "Recycled Terrorist". Needless to say, that snippet of news was removed from all subsequent news items, but at least we now know how to dub the various boulevards, bridges and squares. — B A (Johannesburg)

* * *

THERE was a huge debate about bringing desperate lions from the Iraqi Zoo to South Africa, because of the danger of "genetic pollution". What?!

Surely it would be *culturally enriching* for our local lions. Surely they would benefit immensely from "hybrid vigour" by crossing two varieties of lions. How could there be genetic pollution in lions that are the same in all respects, and which cannot be told apart by looking at them.

After all, there seems to be no hesitation about crossing various races of mankind — which are so different from each other that they are almost distinct species and which are easily categorized at a mere glance! — T G (Cape Town)

* * *

A radio programme was broadcast recently on African languages. The panelist was asked whether there were any written African languages before the missionaries "imposed" their version on the people. The answer was that there was one, in Kenya, but it was admitted reluctantly that it was in Arabic. This reminds me of Mapungubwe, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Zanzibar, Zambezi. — FM (Durban)



BOOKS BOOKS BOOKS BOOKS

Red Mafiya: How the Russian Mob has Invaded America, by Robert Friedman

PERHAPS the reason why the mainstream media has adopted a low profile towards this book in recent times is the sudden death — probably murder — of the author, Robert Friedman.

When it was first published in 2000, the *Washington Post* said the book was "first rate", and described the Red Mafiya as "one of Russia's most dangerous exports." *Associated Press* said it was "An under-world far more dangerous than its Italian counterpart"; the *Arizona Republic*: "The most savage and vicious bunch of thugs ever to reach American shores; and the *Houston Chronicle*: "The most violent, unpredictable and fastest-growing crime organization in America."

The book was praised also by the *Chicago Sun-Times*, *The Boston Globe*, *Publishers Weekly*, the *Columbus Dispatch*, the *St Petersburg Times* and the *San Diego Union-Tribune*.

These days, *Red Mafiya* is obtainable only with great difficulty, but now Thinkers Library have managed to secure a limited stock. The extraordinary aspect of the book is that in spite of most of the members of the Red Mafiya being Jewish, the author Robert Friedman was himself one of the brave Jewish truth-sayers.

During the detente days of the 1970s and later, Soviet leaders, bowing to Western pressure and eager to rid themselves of a troublesome minority, agreed to allow a steady emigration of Soviet Jews to Israel and the USA. Released from their Soviet gulags by the KGB, undesirables swarmed into America, not to participate in the American dream, but to steal it.

Flourishing in the gullible and naive U.S. economic climate, and with the reassuring fall-back position of Israel's open-arms policy should there be too much 'heat' (Israel of course has no extradition treaty with any other country), these unscrupulous criminals infiltrated American business, politics, finance, media and professional sports with chilling ease. They are now major players in the American economic landscape, employing two strategies to facilitate and hide their consummate criminality.

By buying the best PR that money can secure, they promote and palm themselves off as the embodiment of decent

business and charitable benignness. If this facade fails, there are always murderous enforcers, many of whom are ex-Israeli secret service members, permanently to silence snoopers, exposers and law enforcement agencies that become too zealous in their work. Shortly after the publication of this book, Robert Friedman had a \$100,000 contract put on his head. He is now dead.

While TV viewers are enchanted by the TV's "The Sopranos", the real Koshner Nostra is taking over America. Back in motherland Russia, *Fortune Magazine* estimated that 50% of Russia's raw wealth is still in the hands of robber barons, most of whom are Jewish.

This book gives a new insight into politics, foreign policy and news reporting. It reveals a termite problem that is chewing the beams of world order and existence. The book mentions the visit to South Africa of some members of the Red Mafiya in their flight from American law enforcement agencies, but does not say if they remained here.

Red Mafiya by Robert Friedman (Berkley ISBN 0-425-18687-3), p/b, 266 pp, 23 photos, index, price incl. p&p: R152,30. Available from:

The Manager,
PO Box 84422,
Greenside 2034,
South Africa.

E. THOMSON
P.O. Box 896
YAKIMA, WA 98907-0896
U. S. A.

www.FAEM.com
www.DOWZ.net

EAGLE NEWSLETTER

P O BOX 6303

Corpus Christi, TX 78466.

U. S. A.

Western Destiny

Subscription rates for 4 issues: NZ - \$15, elsewhere (except Australia): economy post: \$20, Air (incl. Australia) - \$30. US, British, Australian, Euro currencies accepted. Cheques payable to Renaissance Press, PO Box 1627 Paraparaumu Beach, New Zealand. Advertising: full page \$40, half page \$20, quarter \$10, less \$5.

Renewal

PO Box 4333

University of Melbourne
Victoria, 3052
Australia

Quotables . . .

THE West lost the Cold War, inasmuch as we have gradually and cumulatively more and more come to imitate what we were once purportedly fighting against — namely, the revolutionary strategic culture of historical and dialectical materialism.

Dr ROBERT HICKSON, USA

AN "identity" that does not include race is no identity at all.

MYTHBUSTERS, USA

POLITICS can be used as an excuse for legal property theft, and has been throughout history. **ORION**

WE look with our eyes, but we see with our mind. Likewise, we listen with our ears but we hear with our mind. **TONIFRITZI**

SUCH as are thy habitual thoughts, such also will be the character of thy mind, for the soul is dyed by the thoughts. **MARCUSAURELIUS**

FEMALES are a deeply precious commodity. **Unknown**

THE white liberal is Nature's most embarrassing creation.

Nationalist Times, USA

BLACK hoodlums need to stop stealing and start getting jobs. Black mothers need to stop having eight babies by seven different fathers.

Black entertainer **BILL COSBY**

IMPACT is an independent bi-monthly review. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure the accuracy and soundness of this publication, such is not warranted. Neither writers nor the publisher will bear responsibility for the consequences of any actions based on the information or recommendations contained within. Furthermore, they reserve the right to publish views contrary to their own, so long as the material is illuminating.

IMPACT

Box 2055, Noorsekloof,
Jeffreys Bay 6331, RSA

Annual subscription - 6 issues per year

SA	R96
SWA/Namibia surface:	R96 air: R115
USA	sea: \$30 air: \$45
Canada	sea: \$35 air: \$50
U.K.	sea: £15 air: £25
Europe	sea: €30 air: €45

Cash preferred. OR add \$8/£5/€8 for conversion of foreign cheques

Donations welcome.

IMPACT OCTOBER/NOVEMBER 2004