

# The Revisionist Observer

A Quarterly Newsletter Searching For Truth In History

**GREETINGS TO OUR READERS.** Yancy Ames fills us in on early Catholic opposition to Zionism. Tony Boland tells us that much of today's Christianity is nothing but "churchianity", followed by some thoughts by little-known Asatru author, A. Rud Mills. MacKenzie Paine is no longer with us but her words are as timely and powerful as ever. Plus material on several other matters. We give permission to reprint this issue so that you can share it with others. Or send \$1 for postage and we'll send you six free copies. Harrell Rhome, Ph.D., S.T.D. (cand.). Editor and Publisher.

## "WE SEE NO GOOD IN THIS SCHEME" A Review By Yancy Ames

*THE VATICAN AND ZIONISM: CONFLICT IN THE HOLY LAND – 1895-1925* by Sergio Minerbi is a most informative study of Catholic opposition to Zionist plans to create a Jewish state in Palestine. The Vatican opposition was two-fold: to the creation of the Zionist state itself and concern for the holy sites of Christendom if they were to fall into the hands of infidel Jews. The latter problem was to have been decided by an international commission as stipulated at the San Remo Conference in 1920. However, the international committee never came into existence for a variety of reasons. Rivalry between the various Catholic countries of Europe, particularly Italy and France, problems of conflicting authority between the proposed commission and the British Mandate authorities, Protestant-Orthodox-Catholic disputes and a host of other problems made it impossible to agree on such a commission. Ultimately the problem of protecting the holy shrines of Christianity devolved upon the British High Commissioner for Palestine.

By far the most interesting section of the book deals with the Vatican's profound opposition to Zionism as an ideology. The Church's position on the incipient Zionist theft of the Holy Land was first stated by the Vatican Secretary of State, Rafael Cardinal Merry del Val in his interview with Theodore Herzl on January 22, 1904. "The Cardinal: I do not quite see how we can take any initiative in this matter. As long as the Jews deny the divinity of Christ, we certainly cannot make a declaration in their favor. Not that we have any ill will toward them. On the contrary, the church has always protected them. To us they are the indispensable witnesses to the phenomenon of God's term on earth. But they deny the divine nature of Christ. How then can we, without abandoning our own highest principles, agree to their being given possession of the Holy Land again?"

The Vatican's position remained essentially the same until Nahum Sokolow's audience with Pope Benedict XV on May 4, 1917. Although Sokolow spoke nebulously, (as was then the habit) of a Jewish "national home", not a Jewish national state the Pope closed the interview with ambiguous words which the Zionists took as a stamp of approval of their political ambitions. "We shall be good neighbors." It is probably that the Pope was thinking of the 1916 Sykes-Picot treaty which originally envisaged Palestine divided into several regions, the central one of which was to be internationalized and in which the Vatican would surely have some influence. According to one Zionist author: "The Pope's assurances of good neighborliness to Sokolow must therefore be read not in the spiritual but in the geographical context." In any event, it soon became apparent that virtually every Catholic prelate in Palestine or with any knowledge of the developing situation was vehemently anti-Zionist. Filippo Cardinal Giustini, who visited Palestine in October 1919, held views very similar to those of the Custos [custodian] Frederico Diotallevi who: "(is) clearly opposed to Zionism, and stresses that the Christians are of one mind with the Moslems in this deep hostility, and are even prepared to slaughter the Jews. In his opinion, Zionism is only a pretext for establishing Jewish rule at the gates of Europe, for the absolute benefit of the Jews, who are already all-powerful in the old continent (Europe) and the new (America)."

Like the Italian Cardinal Giustini, the French Cardinal Dubois also saw great evil in Zionism. "The Jewish Home the British wish to found is a dangerous step and is full of threats for the future." Dubois warned of a civil war and the danger of Pan-Islamism. The French Catholic newspaper *Le Croix* was very prophetic on the danger facing the Arabs. Writes Minerbi: "According to this paper, non-Jewish natives of the Holy Land would be no more than strangers, more or less tolerated at first, but afterward oppressed, tortured, and robbed with each new wave of Jewish immigration."

The Englishman Francis Cardinal Bome visited Palestine between December 1918 and March 1919. He echoed the views of his compatriots. In January 1919 he sent a revealing telegram to the British Prime Minister and Foreign Secretary (Lloyd George and Balfour). "The Zionists here claim that the Jews are to have the domination of the Holy Land under a British protectorate; in other words, they are going to force their rule on an unwilling people of whom they form on 10%. When I first visited it (the Holy Land) in 1919, the situation was distinctly menacing. There was a tendency on the part of certain immigrant Jews to claim and assert a domination in no way in harmony with the Balfour Declaration. This naturally aroused a

fierce resistance on the part of the indigenous native population, both Moslem and Arab. ... Men of every class come to see me with a loud and emphatic protest against Mr. Balfour's promises and against the projects of the Zionists. ... These projects went far beyond the mere establishment of a home for nationless Jews...."

A claim repeated over and over again in the Catholic commentaries of the time is the charge that Zionists and communists were one and the same. For instance, Cardinal Gaspari the Vatican Secretary of State in December 1918 told the Belgian representative to the Holy See: "There is talk of a Jewish state. I do not believe that the big Jewish bankers of England and the United States will be so unaware of the opinions of many of their faith as to supports this plan. Do we not see the Jews at the head of a revolutionary movement in Russia and in Poland?"

Nor were such opinions those of the Vatican alone. Such views were also very common in the foreign offices of all European countries. An English diplomat, for example wrote: "This is only the sorrowful beginning of our troubles in Palestine, once we are with the mandate, and I share the Pope's anxiety regarding the most extreme intentions of the Zionists. He must be thinking of something more vague and frightening than merely earthly aspirations; he foresees a spiritual campaign against Christianity. Is the anti-Christ not a Jew according to Roman tradition? Judaism, under the cover of Bolshevism, has already destroyed the Orthodox Church; could Bolshevism not do the same thing to Rome?"

It is important to realize that all of these observers were writing in the same period as the appearance of the mysterious *Protocols of the Elders of Zion* which were receiving massive publicity in English newspapers of the time. In assessing the controversy *Osservatore Romano*, the newspaper of the Vatican, commented as follows. Although questioning the authenticity of the book, the paper concluded that the plan the book described was real and that the Jewish Bolshevik leaders in Russia were actually carrying out the plan. This analysis was essentially the same as that of Henry Ford and many other commentators on *The Protocols*. The Vatican's position on the dangers and probable development of Zionism was very perceptive and has been abundantly confirmed by subsequent events. An excellent summary of the essential case against Zionism was attributed to Cardinal Gaspari.

- (1). The Zionists are not religious and are even anti-religious, and therefore Zionism cannot be regarded as the fulfillment of prophecy. Zionism has no connection with the promised return of the Jews to the Holy Land.
- (2). Zionist immigration will sweep the Christians out of Palestine and destroy its Christian character.
- (3). The possibility that a Jewish government might be formed was intolerable.

The Vatican's position was further outlined on June 23, 1921 in an analysis by C. Chispolti in *Osservatore Romano*. Per Sergio Minerbi's summation. "In 1917, when the Balfour Declaration was issued, France and Italy were not concerned with the future of Palestine. The Latins did not then understand the danger that one enemy of Christianity would be replaced by another, more real and greater than the traditional enemy! Judaism. The British government, which was controlled by the Jewish international, altered the term 'national home' and consented to establish a Jewish national center... Crispolti went on to say that a minority should not rule the majority and that the riots (of 1920 and 1921) should be seen against this background... The Latin nations must insist on revisions in the draft submitted by Britain, for otherwise, the Holy Land would be lost to Christians, and an attempt would be made, with the Great Powers' consent, to erase two thousand years of (Christian) history and to place a new and heavier burden on the land of Jesus."

As many observers have noted, the arguments of the Catholic Church paralleled in many respects the arguments of the Palestinian Arabs (a significant minority of whom were Christians, both Greek Orthodox and Catholic). This was a simple recognition of the justice of the situation, not any residual preference for the Ottoman Turks transferred to the Palestinians. The Vatican saw no reason why an Arab population of many centuries standing should be dispossessed to please a swarm of Christ Killers with pronounced pro-communist sympathies. The Papal position also received a respectful hearing in the London *Times*, whose chief proprietor was Lord Northcliffe. The partiality of the *Times* to anti-Zionism was bitterly noted by Baron Alfredo Porcelli, a renegade Catholic who supported Zionism. In a letter to Chaim Weizmann, Porcelli warned: "Beware of *The Times*, and other Northcliffe papers. They have sent a special commissioner (one of their own staff) to Palestine, and are publishing a series of articles on 'The truth about Palestine'... You will find that Zionism will fare badly at his hands - for Rome has a finger in that pie, as in all Northcliffe papers."

The Vatican did not, of course, succeed in its opposition to Zionism. But until very recently, the Vatican refused to either recognize the Zionist state or to establish diplomatic relations with it. This principled position maintained for approximately nine decades contrasts very favorably with the craven surrender in 1948 by a U.S. president who, by his own admissions, had to answer far more Zionist Jews for his reelection prospects than he did to dispossessed Arabs in a far off little land.

**THE VATICAN AND ZIONISM: CONFLICT IN THE HOLY LAND - 1895-1925** by Sergio Minerbi. (Oxford University Press, Inc. 200 Madison Ave. New York NY 10016). ISBN 0-19-505892-5.

## WHAT LAND-GRABBING ZIONISTS REALLY WANT IS A "GREATER ISRAEL"



### Aryan Gnostic Papers: *CHILDREN OF THE SUN, BENIGHTED*, Part 2

By Tony Boland

[Part 1 appeared in the Sept.-Oct. "Eagle Newsletter".]

All these questions we have asked so far, all basically boil down to what we are told to believe by supposed authorities. One source of this diseased type of authoritarian mindset is the teaching of mainline, institutionalized, Judeo-Christianity, that Romans 13:1, "Everybody must submit himself to the governing authorities, for there is no authority that is except that which God has established." (New Intl. Version), means that government of man must always be obeyed and has some kind of divine authority that is never wrong. First of all, the word "god" itself can be used for anyone's concept or idea or idol. In other places in the New Testament it says "Satan is the god of this world", of course, he is not a real god. But it can be tricky when you use this word; what or whom exactly do you mean by it? Everybody can attribute the qualities they want to God in their imagination. Some people can even make themselves miserably unhappy with the ideas they get in their heads about God and religion, trying to act so strict that they never relax and let themselves enjoy life. On the other hand, some people's version of "God" allows them to do anything they want and justify it in their own mind.

But back to Romans 13:1, for a moment. Does this really mean what the established churches tell us it means or could they possibly be taking it out of context? After all, didn't the disciples and prophets such as John the Baptist confront wicked unjust rulers boldly and tell them to their face when they were wrong? It is the duty of a true man of God, as mentioned in other parts of the Bible, to stand up against corruption and wickedness in high places. That

is basically what Christ was crucified for, and yes, I do think there is enough evidence that Jesus of Nazareth really lived as an historical figure. He is mentioned by the Roman historian Tacitus and by others such as Josephus, who, despite the claim that information about Jesus in Josephus' works were interpolations, some historians note the style of writing are the same in these passages as among the other parts of his writings. The first one I know of to make the claim that Christ never existed was a Jewish historian named David Strauss in 19<sup>th</sup> century Germany. I am somewhat skeptical of Mr. Strauss' motives. Could it have anything to do with the revelations against the Jewish religion and its oral traditions that later became codified as the Talmud, that are attributed to Christ in his fulminations against the Pharisees and Sadducees and this "wicked generation" in general to borrow a phrase he is said to have used repeatedly? By the way, the Greek word translated as "generation" would more accurately be translated "offspring" or "progeny" meaning a certain lineage. The Jewish people have been known as a tricky and conniving people, always attempting to manipulate "the cattle" [goyim] as they call non-Jews. I suspect David Strauss was playing similar games as well.

The who argument going on among intelligent, thoughtful, racially aware, white individuals about the true nature of the native religious ideas of the Indo-European peoples and what is spiritually and philosophically advantageous to their well-being misses many points on all sides. On one hand we have the Nordic Pagan camp that says the Bible and Christianity are Semitic in origin, and on the other hand we have the very conservative white Christian Identity believers who say that whites are the true Israelites and covenant people of God, and that the Jews are descended from ancient wicked, heathen, idol-worshipping tribes such as the Canaanites, Hittites and Edomites to name a few, and that the Jewish people are a very mixed breed to anyone who has studied the subject. The non-bible believing individuals could be skeptical of the existence of the devil even if accepting the existence of evil. So then, who's right? Should these two camps within pro-white circles waste energy on fighting each other? Who benefits most from this infighting? I would imagine that enemies of the white race enjoy seeing this type of infighting among racially aware pro-white groups, and would even do their utmost to push it along with paid informants and agent provocateurs purposefully trying to create divisions and strife.

But is it really so simple as one side is absolutely right and the other absolutely wrong? Is there no possible common ground? No one has all the answers. There are many possibilities and ways of looking at things. Many people may be possessed of insight that others do not have and do not understand. To the idea that both Pagan and Identity camps of their ways of life being the answer, I say, on one side seems to be the idealization of the North and snow and ice as if in these things of themselves lies some kind of inherent qualities in and of themselves. On the other hand, there is the White Christian Patriot camp that idealizes Palestine and ancient Middle Eastern culture. Palestine is looked

at as the ancestral Homeland and the "Holy Land". This seems to be tied to the idea of the mysterious east as a place of spiritual enlightenment. Both the east and the North are but points on the compass, and snow and ice are but frozen water. All these things can be turned into some kind of false idol, but there is no inherent spiritual power to solve all our problems in these things. I am sure traces of inhabitation by Indo-European peoples can be found at all points of the compass and locations on the map, including the far North as well as migrations into and out of the Middle East. Some will say that this is a simplification of the problem. Maybe, to a certain extent -- but, don't get me wrong -- it is good to search for our true roots and origins and the thoughts of our most ancient ancestors. But we all wear blinders at times, and are prejudiced to our own pet theories, to some degree in our search for truth. An open minded and intelligent search for wisdom and insight and understanding are very much needed, however, if we are to see things as they really are and find a way out of the mess we are in. I personally thing there has to be a reason why we were put here as well as a meaning and purpose to life, including intelligent design in the cosmos. Now, are we to believe as the 18<sup>th</sup> century Deists are said to have believed, that there is a god who created everything, then withdrew and never gets involved, and could care less about his creation? That does not make any sense to me. It seems that an all-wise and powerful being would take an interest in his projects, as it were, and perhaps offer guidance to those who seek it.

Many have noticed that the universe operates by certain scientific principles called Natural Law, and many have deduced from this the existence of Divine Law. But, as the Identity camp proclaims the Law of Moses to be the Divine Law, is this really so? Are we automatically to believe that everything contained in our modern versions of the Bible to be absolutely infallible, with no chance that it has been tampered with? After all, the Law of Moses in the Torah says if a woman loses her virginity before she's married, she is to be executed. Is this really God's will? If that were so, and we should go back to the Law of Moses, most everyone could be found to have made some mistake for which death is prescribed. Quite frankly, I believe that is insane and doesn't reflect the true nature of the creator at all. Without a view of amore loving and merciful God, taking the Law of Moses seriously as a guide to the true nature of God would drive an honest and sincere person totally insane with worry and doubt. Of course, if you are a person who doesn't really believe what you claim to believe, you could overlook this inconvenient fact, and just use the Torah and supposed divine judgment to manipulate other others, trying to use their fear to control them in a theocratic society. However, this is mere priestcraft and tyranny, the very opposite of true freedom. Just ask the many talented and insightful scientists and philosophers who were either burned at the stake or threatened with other tortures during the Middle Ages to keep them from challenging the official worldview of the day. Why were they so persecuted for their views? Ultimately, it was about power and control. That is why I like to use the word, priestcraft, for

describing the abuse and manipulation of people's spirituality and superstition. I am not equating true spirituality with superstition.

They are and should be two different things.

#### Visit some great revisionist websites:

*The American Free Press* newspaper

[www.americanfreepress.net](http://www.americanfreepress.net) and

*The Barnes Review*

[www.barnesreview.org](http://www.barnesreview.org), the premier revisionist historical journal.

And don't forget [www.tbnews.org](http://www.tbnews.org) for additional news coverage.

Visit Harrell Rhome's homepage at

[www.russgranata.com/rhome.html](http://www.russgranata.com/rhome.html)

#### A. RUD MILLS ON RELIGION AND EQUALITY

[As seen in *The Call of Our Nordic Religion*. No date, no publisher given; now out of print.]

"Greek" Christianity has made the people Jew-following nations. Christian preachers teach Jewish history, they call the Jews God's chosen people, and by doing so depreciate the history and values of their own nation. Even Chinese and Negroes are taught that Israel is the "Holy Land". The Christian Bible and the Talmud come from various sources -- from Babylon, e.g. the "Ten Commandments" and much other law of Sumerian origin, much from ancient Egypt, e.g. many Psalms, and much from Greece, e.g. drama features. These facts are undeniable. The evidence is as irrefutable and available as are the proceedings of the Council of Trent. Their code is synthetic and man was forced to create it by and because of his initial error. The efforts of these religious writers have succeeded so far, that despite its remarkable conclusions, a large part of mankind found the edifice which was thus created so resilient to attack, that they have submitted to it. ...

The view of Reality which teaches that man is divided from God, which teaches Dualism and its extension, making it logically necessary from the premises to postulate a special visitation of God Almighty to Earth to join Heaven and Earth, and to save man from the equally logical Bottomless Pit (of John Bunyan), is at last deadly, and ends in a degree of error incompatible with the existence of human life of Earth. Man builds on his basic attitude to Reality. Then it drives him and compels him to its logical conclusions. Any error in it, soon or late, according to the measure of its untruth and its adoption, will destroy him. Once begun, the course is set. The force of the movement man has begun overwhelms him. The utmost



resolution is the least of what man must give to change and save himself and his people; and even that may mean death to many individuals.

### WAS LEON TROTSKY A MOVIE STAR?



Some sources say he played a small role in the 1914 (or 1916; actual date seems unclear) Vitagraph film, "My Official Wife", but others say not. It may not be him, but the photo does resemble Mr. Bronstein aka Trotsky. Supposedly, he was not in NYC until 1917, but we decided to share this rather unique item anyway.

### IGNORANCE IS CURABLE

Some thoughts by Harrell Rhome

Ignorance is a habit. It is not a part of our essential human condition, nor is high intelligence necessary to escape its trap. Ignorance is acceptance without questioning, choosing a seemingly easier path. But this is a cruel illusion, for ignorance places us on a road to ruin, denying basic human needs for reasons and explanations, needs that intrinsically lie at the heart of both metaphysics and science. Lack of knowledge and understanding (i.e., ignorance) puts us at the mercy of those more "enlightened" ones who are always around to provide convenient, often self-serving answers, appealing directly to ego and baser desires. So then -- if we never pose the questions to begin with, then there is little chance of finding any answers. And even if answers should somehow manifest themselves anyway, we would have difficulty recognizing and assimilating the data because of our overall condition of ignorance! As you see, ignorance weaves a vicious, entangling web.

Ignorance -- and its handmaiden, naiveté -- is self-imposed, self-limiting and self-imprisoning. Like all bad habits, it takes strength

and will and practice to overcome. And I have philosophically "tricked" you (I hope!), for now that you have read this brief discourse, and can identify this fatal condition, you no longer have an excuse to remain ignorant. Dare to seek, dare to know -- but most of all, dare to apply what you learn.

Would like to have a **FREE** copy of this issue (or any past issues) in Microsoft Word format? Just email us and we'll send it as an attachment. Then you can forward it to others on the Internet or print multiple copies. If you want it on a floppy disc, send \$2.00 for postage.

### ECHOES FROM THE SECOND WORLD WAR: A TRIP DOWN MEMORY LANE

News Notes and Commentary by Harrell Rhome

#### FILMMAKER LENI RIEFENSTAHL DIES

BERLIN (Reuters) September 9, 2003 - Adolf Hitler's filmmaker Leni Riefenstahl, the last of Germany's famous Nazi-era figures, has died weeks after turning 101, a journalist with links to her family says. "Frau Riefenstahl died without pain, she fell asleep in her bed on Monday night," said Celia Tremper, a journalist for Bunte magazine who said she has close links with Riefenstahl. There was no other verification of the report. Tremper said on Tuesday Riefenstahl's long-term friend Horst Kettner and her assistant Gisela Jahn had asked her to spread the news. No one answered the telephone at Riefenstahl's home near the Starnberger See lake south of Munich on Tuesday. Riefenstahl, whose films of a Nazi party rally and the 1936 Berlin Olympics brought her pre-war fame and postwar notoriety, had been too sick to give interviews or make media appearances in recent months. Riefenstahl won awards at the Venice and Paris film festivals in the 1930s for her "Triumph of the Will", a documentary highlighting the meticulously choreographed, eerie grandeur of the Nazi Party's 1934 Nuremberg Rally.



She was then commissioned to make the official film of the 1936 Olympics. "Olympia" pioneered techniques such as mounting the camera on electric cars on rails to follow races.

**"FATHER OF THE H-BOMB" DEAD AT 95**

Stanford CA Edward Teller, a "great" name among those who gave us the Atomic Age, has passed on to his "reward", whatever that might be. He unabashedly and enthusiastically supported the most terrible of all weapons, earning him his "Father..." title. Teller also will be remembered for his role in destroying the career of Robert Oppenheimer and the Strategic Defense Initiative, on grounds that critics said were sketchy or dubious. May he rest in peace – or whatever!

**"LORD HAW HAW" SENTENCED FOR THOUGHT CRIMES 58 YEARS AGO**

In September 1945, William Joyce, dubbed "Lord Haw Haw" by the Churchill regime, was sentenced by a British court of "justice" and later hung. He never killed anyone and advocated a peaceful settlement to the war. His crime, however, was speaking against the powerful British Jews who, then and now, controlled the government and the conduct of the war.

**"AXIS SALLY" SENTENCED 55 YEARS AGO THIS MONTH**

In 1948, American-born Mildred Gillars, accused of being Nazi wartime radio broadcaster "Axis Sally", was indicted in Washington DC, for treason. She was later convicted and served 12 years in prison for what was essentially a "thought crime". "Allied" broadcasters, many of whom lied throughout the whole war by parroting "Allied" propaganda, were greatly glorified and rewarded. And, while we're on the subject, we mustn't forget Eustace Mullin's mentor, the renowned poet and commentator Ezra Pound, who was imprisoned for several months in an iron cage and then committed to a mental hospital for a number of years until he was finally released to return to his beloved Rapallo, Italy.

**BOOK BY FORMER KGB AGENT PROVES THAT STALIN CAUSED WWII, NOT HITLER**

By Mark Franklin m.f@zoomtown.com

There's an interesting book out there that I had never heard of before until just recently. It was referenced in a 5-part documentary about WWII from Russia (in Russian, "Posledney Mif"). Apparently, Victor Suvorov (German spelling, "Viktor Suworow") was a prominent ex-KGB agent. In fact, at the time he defected to Geneva, he was the highest-ranking member of the Soviet Union who had ever defected. As a consequence at the time, he was given two death sentences, which was a first for the Soviet Union. One death sentence was for defecting, the other for writing a book exposing the KGB and the Soviet Union causing WWII. His book is called "The Icebreaker" (in German, "Der Eisbrecher: Hitler in Stalin's Kalkul"). Although the Soviet Union has been replaced with Russia again, Victor Suvorov still lives in exile, often wearing disguises in public, according to the documentary. And many in Russia's modern army still consider him a traitor for having defected. His book was not widely received at first. When it was first

published, Russia censored it. Now, however, it has become a best-seller, having sold 3-million copies in Russia alone. I know it has also been published in German, and I believe it has been published in England as well (but am uncertain if in English). Due to his former high-rank among the KGB, his photographic memory, and his interest in history, he was able to uncover and expose the truth about WWII: that it was Stalin's machinations that led to it, not Hitler's. He is impartial too, not one who favors Hitler or the ideology of National Socialism. Nor is he a lover of International Socialism. As to Hitler and Stalin, he seems to despise both. He says, "Just because Hitler was a cannibal doesn't necessarily make Stalin a vegetarian."

For those seeking to make sense of WWII in retrospect, the amount of work put into his work seems invaluable to the researcher.

***The Revisionist Observer, A Quarterly Search For Truth In History***

➔ **Subscribe (\$20.00 - \$25.00 by airmail) and... receive a FREE autographed copy of Dr. Rhome's book, *THE NEW JIHAD: EXPLORING THE GLOBAL RESURGENCE OF MILITANT ISLAM*, written a few weeks after 9-11-01, an historical and theological survey of Islam. If not subscribing, order for \$15.00 postpaid (\$20.00 if by airmail – or read it free on-line at <http://hometown.aol.com/eaglenewsdesk> Please pay by cash, check, or money order. No credit cards, please.**

***Truth Seeking Missile #14, Circling the Globe in Defense of Truth, Justice & Freedom*****A DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE By MacKenzie Paine**

[MacKenzie Paine (Audre Pique) was a Internet revisionist columnist who worked tirelessly to expose the Zionist manipulation of world governments and public opinion. Her career ended tragically in a car accident 12 March 2002. *Revisionist Observer* #10 was dedicated to her. If you would like a free copy, just write. The following essay appeared 04 July 2001, and is as timely and cogent today as it was then.]

Two hundred and twenty five years ago fifty-six men signed a document that would pit brother against brother, father against son, in the bloody battle for freedom from tyranny. This document, the Declaration of Independence, wasn't a gift certificate for liberty. It was a call to arms. On the Fourth of July, 1776, victory was far from certain and those who answered this call were labeled traitors to the Crown, but our forefathers armed themselves nonetheless, because to cower

would have lead to enslavement and death at the hands of a despotic Monarchy.

When the battles were won, when the British straggled from our shores in defeat and left our fledgling nation to heal its wounds and begin anew, those surviving traitors who had signed the Declaration of Independence became our Founding Fathers. They carved a new life and a new nation and safe guarded it with the Constitution. Our forefathers fought and died for a Federal Republic, governed by the people and for the people. No kings for Americans! No more bowing or curtsying to any aristocracy! Our courageous forefathers threw off their blue-blooded oppressors to become red-blooded Americans.

Red-blooded Americans. What does that phrase bring to mind? Defiance! A cocky, self determined defiance of oppression and bigotry. Self-confidence! Confidence in defending ones rights and properties from scallawags and kings. Equality! "All men are created equal. . ." meaning Europe's kings and princes and our own politicians still put their pants on one leg at a time just like every other mortal man. Freedom! Freedom to follow your dreams, worship in peace, express your opinions, defend your honor and live without fear of tyranny. To be a red-blooded American is to walk tall and know that you belong to a culture, a nation, which demands justice and freedom for all.

As I look out across the American landscape today I am hard pressed to find many red-blooded Americans. What has become of the defiant and brave English colonists who bloodied a king's nose and threw his army off of their land? Where are the descendants of the fighting Irish Croppies who refused to lie down at the feet of their British tormentors? What has become of the descendents of the fearless Highland Scots who defied the same British aggression and injustice for centuries? Where are the sons of the hard working and creative Germans who marched a thousand miles and fought a hundred battles against the Soviet despotism? Instead of red-blooded Americans I am ashamed to say that I see a nation of brown noses. Sorry. That is blunt, but that's what I see.

What else can be said of an entire nation that grovels before a tiny minority of self ordained kings and princes who rule with an iron fist? I am speaking, of course, of Jewish special interest groups, political action committees and terrorist organizations such as the Jewish Defense League. We have willingly, submissively, placed ourselves under their rule and follow their orders without hesitation or the slightest resistance. They, in turn, have put themselves above the law, creating a royal class on American soil. To not submit meekly or to openly question this royal authority, to not bow and scrape before the thrones of the Anti-Defamation League, the Simon Wiesenthal Center, the Jewish World Congress, the Jewish Defense League or the American-Israeli Political Action Committee, is to be censored at least and assassinated at worst. Public defamation, loss of employment, vandalism, familial scorn, bodily harm and political ruin fall in between.

We Americans have been conditioned to accept as final anything the Zionists tell us, and this blind acceptance has lead to our total, unconditional surrender of our rights to free speech and intellectual freedom. When the Zionists tell us something outrageous, such as that the 75-minute air and sea attack on the USS Liberty was an unfortunate mistake, we can't ask for proof, demand an investigation or even ask questions. Six million Jews were gassed in Nazi homicidal gas chambers-period. Cocky, defiant, courageous (red-blooded?) Palestinian boys who dare to throw stones at armored tanks are terrorists-period.

**ARE WE IGNORANT PEASANTS, BELIEVING THAT OUR "LEADERS" ARE DOING WHAT IS BEST AND RIGHT FOR US? – WAKE UP!**



The Holy Land belongs to the Jews-period. To ask for physical evidence of the gassing and cremation of six million human beings is to be "insensitive." Pointing out that the boys who throw stones are defending their land from invaders is anti-Semitic, which is a real hoot-after all the "Israelis" are Europeans and New Yorkers, and the Palestinians are the Semites. Remind Zionists that the Palestinians were in the Holy Land first and they'll retort that there was no such place as Palestine when they invaded. I've always found this last tidbit to be the most amusing. There was no such place as "Israel," either, before the Zionists "proclaimed" it in 1948, as they were killing and driving out the country's rightful inhabitants, the Palestinians. But as long as the Zionists and their cohorts in our government and media control the information that comes to our fellow Americans, they control Americans' perceptions, their attitudes, and ultimately their behavior. It's called propaganda, and the Zionists are masters of the game.



**Post Office Box 6303  
Corpus Christi TX USA 78466-6303**

23,  
ore  
17,  
nd  
re.  
ne  
er,  
y!  
ed  
al  
al  
id

Alex Linder, VNN  
POB 101  
Kirksville, MO 63501

Take a close look at our Declaration of Independence and you'll see that it could easily have been penned just yesterday by Palestinian freedom fighters. One phrase in particular stands out. "He (King George) is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to complete the works of death, desolation and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of cruelty and perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages. . ." Two hundred and twenty five years later, through our largesse and acquiescence to all things Zionist, we are betraying our own ancestors. We are perpetrating against the Palestinians the exact crimes and cruelty our forebears delivered us from. We are helping to decimate an entire people who share our own red-blooded desire for liberty and justice, and we are doing so without guilt, remorse or even a truthful understanding of the evil we sow.

Just as our forefathers declared their independence from King George III's brutal tyranny, it is up to Americans-red-blooded Americans-to join ranks and declare our independence from the Zionist tyranny. Our great American Revolution was nothing more than our first Intifada. It is time now for our second. I for one can feel the red blood of my ancestors coursing swift and strong through my veins. No man, NO ONE, is going to tell me what I am allowed to say, think or write. I will curtsy to no false king nor kiss the hand of a phony prince. Our forefathers would never have knelt before the Royal Zionist Bully and neither should we. Get off of your knees America! Join our fellow freedom fighters in Palestine to end the Zionist occupation of both of our countries, once and for all. **Arm yourselves with the truth, and peace and justice will follow. Cower, and you will be forever enslaved.**

[If you would like to see more of her other equally provocative and still timely essays, they are free by e-mail or send a small donation and we will print some and send them to you by regular mail. May she rest in peace, and may her message travel on until peace and justice come to Palestine.]

**This is our final issue of 2003. Issue Number 17 will come in January 2004. Yule, Christmas, and New Year's greetings to all our nationalist comrades, revisionist colleagues, and patriot friends the world over.  
Dr. Harrell Rhome, Editor and Publisher**



#### **"ZIONIST WAR CRIMES: THE CASE FOR THE PROSECUTION"**

This presentation is absolutely not for small children, the faint of heart or those easily offended by blood and gore. Over an hour of commentary and very rare film footage graphically portrays the atrocities perpetrated by our Israeli "friends" and "allies." Bush Jr. insists that Muslims hate us because "they hate freedom." What tripe! They hate us because of the things we make it possible for Zionist terrorists in uniform to perpetrate against the Palestinian people. We provide the weapons to kill and maim. We are the main enablers of these horrors! It happens day after day, and rarely merits even a brief mention on the carefully controlled and contrived "free" media, which as you know, is owned and staffed by a legion of Zionist sympathizers. If you have a friend or relative who just won't believe that the Jews could do such things, get them to watch this tape, and then have a frank discussion with them. This video is being sold for \$27.00, however, for a limited time we're offering it to all our loyal readers for \$22.00, postpaid. (Outside USA, \$26.00 for airmail shipping.)

**THIS PRICE IS FOR A LIMITED TIME, SO ORDER NOW. E-mail EagleNewsTips@aol.com for a short video clip. Or you may prefer to ORDER THE INTERACTIVE CDROM mentioned in the article above. End \$20 plus \$5 (\$10 outside USA) shipping and handling. Not available as a subscription gift.**