THE STANDARD EDITION OF THE COMPLETE PSYCHOLOGICAL WORKS OF

SIGMUND FREUD

Translated from the German under the General Editorship of

JAMES STRACHEY

In Collaboration with
ANNA FREUD

Assisted by
ALIX STRACHEY and ALAN TYSON

VOLUME XXIII (1937–1939)

Moses and Monotheism An Outline of Psycho-Analysis

and

Other Works

LONDON
THE HOGARTH PRESS
AND THE INSTITUTE OF PSYCHO-ANALYSIS

PUBLISHED BY THE HOGARTH PRESS LIMITED

CLARKE, IRWIN AND CO. LTD. TORONTO

This Edition first published in 1964
Reprinted 1968, 1971, 1973, 1975 and 1978

ISBN 0 7012 0067 7

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of The Hogarth Press Ltd.

TRANSLATION AND EDITORIAL MATTER

© THE INSTITUTE OF PSYCHO-ANALYSIS

AND ANGELA RICHARDS 1964

PRINTED AND BOUND IN GREAT BRITAIN BY BUTLER AND TANNER LTD., FROME

EDITOR'S NOTE

EIN WORT ZUM ANTISEMITISMUS

- (a) GERMAN EDITION:
- 1938 Die Zukunft: ein neues Deutschland ein neues Europa, No. 7, 2. (November 25.)
 - (b) English Translation:

'On Antisemitism'

1938 As above. (Tr. unspecified.)

The present translation is by James Strachey.

Some particulars of the periodical in which this appeared have been given by Arthur Koestler (1954, 406 ff.), who was editing it at the time we are concerned with. It was published in Paris, and he described it as 'a German émigré weekly'. It started publication in the autumn of 1938 and ceased some eighteen months later. Mr. Koestler was in charge of it for the first few months of its existence. The particular issue in which Freud's article appeared was an 'Anglo-German' one, printed in both languages, and Mr. Koestler relates that he came to London to persuade Freud to contribute to it. The periodical is now difficult to obtain, and we are much indebted to Dr. K. R. Eissler, of the Sigmund Freud Archives, for providing us with photostats of Freud's original manuscript, of the printed article and of the contemporary, anonymous, and very free, translation.

This article, it will be seen, consists almost wholly of a quotation from a source which Freud declares that he can no longer trace. It has been suggested, with some plausibility (cf. Ernest Jones, 1957, 256), that the quotation is in fact by Freud himself, who chose an indirect manner of expressing some rather uncongenial views. There is in any case a strong kinship between much of what is contained here and opinions put forward by Freud elsewhere, particularly in *Moses and Monotheism* (1939a), which

Jew Can't get a goy to tront

he had only recently completed. (See, for instance, the discussions of the Jewish character in Part I (D) and Part II (A) of the third essay.) And again, the plea, made so forcibly here, that protests against the persecution of the Jews should be made by non-Jews appears as well in Freud's letter to Time and Tide (1938c), published only a day later than the present article (p. 301 below).

cusfthe that e by Tide ticle

A COMMENT ON ANTI-SEMITISM

In the course of examining the remarks in the press and in literature provoked by the recent persecutions of the Jews, I came upon one essay which struck me as so unusual that I made a précis of it for my own use. What its author wrote was approximately as follows:

'By way of preface I must explain that I am not a Jew and therefore I am not driven into making these observations by any egoistic concern. Yet I have felt a lively interest in the antisemitic excesses of to-day and have directed my particular attention to the protests against them. These protests come from two directions—ecclesiastical and secular—the former in the name of religion, the latter appealing to the claims of humanity. The former were scanty and came late; but they did come in the end, and even His Holiness the Pope raised his voice. I confess that there was something I missed in the demonstrations coming from both sides—something at their beginning and something

else at their end. I will try now to supply it.

'All these protests, I think, might be preceded by a particular introduction, which would run: "Well, it's true, I don't like Jews either. In some sort of way they seem strange to me and antipathetic. They have many disagreeable qualities and great defects. I think, too, that the influence they have had on us and our affairs has been predominantly detrimental. Their race, compared with our own, is obviously an inferior one; all their activities argue in favour of that." And after this what these protests do in fact contain could follow without any discrepancy: "But we profess a religion of love. We ought to love even our enemies as ourselves. We know that the Son of God gave His life on earth to redeem all men from the burden of sin. He is our model, and it is therefore sinning against His intention and against the command of the Christian religion if we consent to Jews being insulted, ill-treated, robbed and plunged into misery. We ought to protest against this, irrespectively of how much or how little the Jews deserve such treatment." The

secular writers who believe in the gospel of humanity, protest in similar terms.

'I confess that I have not been satisfied by any of these demonstrations. Apart from the religion of love and humanity there is also a religion of truth, and it has come off badly in these protests. But the truth is that for long centuries we have treated the Jewish people unjustly and that we are continuing to do so by judging them unjustly. Any one of us who does not start by admitting our guilt has not done his duty in this. The Jews are not worse than we are; they have somewhat other characteristics and somewhat other faults, but on the whole we have no right to look down on them. In some respects, indeed, they are our superiors. They do not need so much alcohol as we do in order to make life tolerable; crimes of brutality, murder, robbery and sexual violence are great rarities among them; they have always set a high value on intellectual achievement and interests; their family life is more intimate; they take better care of the poor; charity is a sacred duty to them. Nor can we call them in any sense inferior. Since we have allowed them to co-operate in our cultural tasks, they have acquired merit by valuable contributions in all the spheres of science, art and technology, and they have richly repaid our tolerance. So let us cease at last to hand them out favours when they have a claim to justice.'

It was natural that such determined partisanship from someone who was not a Jew should have made a deep impression on me. But now I have a remarkable confession to make. I am a very old man and my memory is no more what it was. I can no longer recall where I read the essay of which I made the *précis* nor who it was who was its author. Perhaps one of the readers of this periodical will be able to come to my

help?

A whisper has just reached my ears that what I probably had in mind was Count Heinrich Coudenhove-Kalergi's book Das Wesen des Antisemitismus [The Essence of Anti-Semitism], which contains precisely what the author I am in search of missed in the recent protests, and more besides. I know that book. It appeared first in 1901 and was re-issued by his son [Count Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi] in 1929 with an admirable introduction. But it cannot be that. What I am thinking of is a shorter pronouncement and one of very recent date. Or am I

Induce out test in

these nanity dly in e have inuing es not s. The other ole we ideed, hol as tality, ımong hievey take or can them erit by 't and So let

ression make. hat it which erhaps to my

lave a

ly had k Das which sed in ok. It Count ole inof is a am I

altogether at fault? Does nothing of the kind exist? And has the work of the two Coudenhoves had no influence on our contemporaries?¹

Sigm. Freud

¹ [A later work by the younger Coudenhove in favour of the Pan-European movement appeared in an English translation in 1953 with a preface by Sir Winston Churchill.]