

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 22, NO. 10

SEPTEMBER 1997

The Victimization of a Majority Genius

Raymond Cattell is one of the giants of modern psychology. For his seminal books, for his world-renowned personality tests, for his efforts to remove Freudian voodoo from psychology and make it a genuine science, he was to be honored with its Lifetime Achievement Award by the American Psychological Assn. at its



Raymond B. Cattell

convention in Chicago in mid-August. Alas, at the last moment everything was postponed. Cattell, it was announced, was a suspected racist. One of his many alleged crimes: He had once said a few positive words about Wilmot Robertson's book, *The Ethnostate*.

This was such shocking news that the controversy was tossed to a special APA blue-ribbon panel, which will weigh, investigate and judge whether Cattell is worthy of the award, a laborious task that may take months. Meanwhile the 92-year-old British-born scholar had to return to his home in Hawaii empty-handed. One of the greatest living social scientists now has to undergo the indignity of having his lifetime work—a small library of books and literally hundreds of research papers—scrutinized line by line.

Most of the media ignored the Robertson connection, but not the New York Times, the "good, gray" Times, an oxymoron if there ever was one. The Times played the guilt by association card to the hilt. It mentioned Robertson by name, insinuating he was a baleful and contaminating influence on Cattell's work. The chief engineer of this repulsive, ignorant and inquisitional campaign is Barry Mehler, a Jewish history professor at something called Ferris State University, who has been hounding Cattell over the years with accusations of racism. Mehler has his own web site, which is currently devoted to slam-bang smears of both Cattell and Robertson.

Who is the genuine racist here, Cattell or Mehler? We know, but in this day and age what we know no longer counts. The Mehlers rule the roost.

The Safety Valve



In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

□ Indians claim the appearance of the white buffalo is a sign of the End Times. One has been born. Jews believe a red heifer, which recently appeared in Israel, has the same eschatological significance. Some whites think that a black president will mark the beginning of the End Times. It is my opinion that the End Times for Majority members have already arrived. *Sauve qui peut!*

223

□ Where I work at the Social Security Administration, workers' councils decide whether or not you get promoted. Managers no longer have the final say. Who said communism is finished?

201

□ Instead of demanding an apology and reparations (read more entitlements, i.e., handouts) from whites for slavery, African Americans should thank God that they are here rather than left behind in sub-Saharan countries where chaos, poverty, tribal warfare, genocide and, yes, even slavery still exists.

811

□ Investigations into why voters preferred Clinton discovered that many regard him as an entertaining villain like "J.R." in the old *Dallas* nighttime soap. Americans increasingly confuse reality with TV. When the Senate Campaign Finance hearings could not immediately come up with James Bond bedding Mata Hari, they were considered a ratings

flop. Unless pols can "cut to the chase" within two hours max, the average American can't be bothered.

333

□ Career advice for officers forced into early retirement for adultery: Run for President!

971

□ To retain his Beltway Insider standing and the lukewarm praise of Cokie and Sam, Dole has become a court jester, inferring that there really isn't any difference between draft dodger and war hero. Americans today only tolerate a Forrest Gump patriot. Someone so stupid he still cares.

992

□ Most of my friends and relatives know how to enjoy life in America. Floods of illegals? Not where I live. Chinese money for the White House? How does that affect me? White workers forced to take sensitivity training? I'm retired. Mexican border residents besieged in their homes by aliens and narcos? Why don't they move? Six-year-olds given gay-is-better primers? Our kids have finished school. Fed guns mowing 'em down at Waco and Ruby Ridge? Things like that happen to somebody else. If you have some money, keep your mouth shut and don't care what happens to your country, you can still live happily ever after in America!

100

□ Former Secretary of Transportation Hazel O'Leary could easily pass for white. At the least she is 7/8ths. So why is she considered black? Because if people like her are not considered black, the glaring lack of achievements of the colored folk would be even more evident.

912

□ PBS's *Oregon Field Guide* had a ten-minute report on, "The Kennewick Man," a fossilized 9,000-year-old skeleton. He apparently died a slow death from a spear point in his upper hip. The remains were studied briefly by an anthropologist, who took numerous pictures and x-rays and felt that the man was neither of Indian nor European origin, but may have been a variety of *Homo sapiens* as yet unknown. Wouldn't it be ironic if he belonged to a long lost people that were here before northeastern Asians came across the Bering land bridge, a people who had their land taken from them and

who were annihilated by the ancestors of our present-day Indians?

972

□ The media rarely call Jews "Jews," but rather "Jewish people." Poles, Czechs, Japanese or Chinese are called just that. A New Yorker told me that the word "Jew" by itself was anti-Semitic!

112

□ The Wall St. Journal, which enthusiastically favors immigration, recently had a long article, "How Mexicans Revived the Economy in a Corner of Brooklyn," showing how well Mexicans, Haitians, Somalians and the like are doing, how they are enriching us. The writer carefully avoided asking or answering the question—why couldn't they do it back home?

466

□ Some Jews claim that they serve the same function in society that canaries once served in coal mines. If they are happily chirping and making money, the country is in fine shape. As long as Chosenites are well-treated, everything is hunky-dory.

010

□ A back-page newspaper article tells me that the National Organization of Women is losing members fast. Its concern for the "trans-gendered" (to NOW sexual identity is a fiction imposed by a patriarchal society) hasn't caught fire. Nonetheless if a TV panel needs one per-

CONTENTS

Year of Decision in New Orleans.	4
Disney Calumniated.	9
Immigration Horror Story.	10
No More Big Nannyism.	11
Female Instaurationist Chimes In.	12
Activists Should Speak Softly. . . .	14
Unprofitable Conversation.	15
Their Culture—Your Culturecide.	15
Reason vs. Authority.	16
Backtalk.	17
Inklings.	19
Cultural Catacombs.	20
Primate Watch.	22
Talking Numbers.	23
Waspishly Yours.	24
Minority Monopoly.	25
Satcom Sam.	26
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	28
Report from the Darkening Tip. . .	29
Elsewhere.	30

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope


Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1997 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve



son to represent all American women, it will probably be NOW's bisexual president, Patricia Ireland.

950

□ If all those who read *Instauration* and right-wing publications adopted and practiced boycotting, it would not take long for those who worship the little green god who measures 15.6 x 6.6 cms. to arrive at the gates of bankruptcy. Many businesses have a two- or three-percent profit margin. The loss of 5% or 10% of their customers is all that is required to put them under.

432

□ One doesn't hear much about Israel's nuclear stockpile. I assure you that many ardent Zionists are eager to use it and will do so when a suitably disturbed period arrives.

Middle East subscriber

□ I've never seen a mention of Jed Harris, the infamous Jewish Broadway producer, in *Instauration*. He was one of the most despised people who ever lived. The hospital where he lay dying asked him to leave because he was so unpleasant.

200

□ Beware the bunker mentality! In "We Think the Unthinkable" (*Instauration*, June 1997) the possibility of violent revolution is tentatively explored. Why waste our ammunition? The federal government is hopelessly corrupt and will likely go bankrupt or simply crumble. Would you take a chain saw to a rotten, termite-ridden stump if you could simply kick it over?

752

□ Seventy years of Lysenkoist scorn of the value of the best Russian bloodlines resulted in the expulsion or killing off of the people who could have made Russia a prosperous and orderly country

741

□ Bush had unprecedented 90% plus popularity after Desert Storm. I always wondered why he dared defy the fundamental axiom of current American politics: Give Jews whatever they demand. They demanded a \$10-billion loan guarantee. Bush should have said, "Oh, please, take \$11 billion." Instead he stalled and put on conditions. His "lonely little guy" reference was one of the

very few times when truth intruded into American politics.

119

□ V.S. Stinger's satiric portrait of Der-showitz (June 1997) is okay. But I'm not sure he warrants all that attention. These pismires are all highly plausible, but lack content. I don't think I really want Alan's opinions anyhow.

786

□ The white women in my office have all taken up the cause of minorityism, mostly to advance their chances for promotion at the expense of better-qualified white males. The multi-generational impact of their treachery will not become clear for years. But it will emerge.

202

□ Sometime in the year 1999 the media will make a poll which will determine once and for all the "Greatest American of the 20th Century." Will it be Lindbergh? George Marshall? Teddy Roosevelt? Margaret Sanger? Gary Cooper? You can bet the mortgage that the giant of this century will be one of the following: FDR, Eleanor, Einstein or St. Martin.

728

□ Let me implore *Instauration* to please stop printing anti-Christian articles such as those in the March issue. This denigration accomplishes nothing except to turn off Christian *Instaurationists* and takes away from much of our moral underpinning. Christianity is the religion of Europe and Europeans everywhere. Print something positive about it or drop the subject!

782

□ Michael Jackson should have read Aesop's fable about the merchant who wanted to whitewash a Negro with all possible lotions. Trouble in vain! *Aethiops non candescit*—a Negro doesn't bleach!

781

□ The Lotts and Gingriches pretend to be conservative, but when the chips are down, the difference between them and Clinton and Fat Face is primarily semantic.

811

□ Steven Spielberg is a billionaire able to pay for whatever he chooses. Nonetheless Congress donated \$1 million to his new Holocaust foundation. That wasn't

enough for Microsoft billionaire Paul Allen, who chipped in \$2 million. Who dares say no when Spielberg rattles his cup?

980

□ As a straight white Christian male I have to be very careful to avoid sexist language. Otherwise it's mandatory sensitivity training. Now if I were an Orthodox Jew I could treat women like dirt. No one would dare object.

115

□ Whatever the evils of abortion, it is hardly the worst problem facing us, especially when you consider who is being aborted. By making abortion one of the top issues, instead of a subsidiary one, we lose allies. When the white population of America is a vanishing minority, the abortion controversy will be a distant memory.

717

□ During WWII there was a saying, "There'll always be a Britain as long as there's a USA. . .to pay for it." Today it's "There'll always be blacks so long as whites will pay the price."

320

□ Greenpeace, Public Citizen Naderites and like-minded ecofreaks solicit door to door. They scare easily and leave quickly when you shock them with: "I'm a pro-environment, anarcho-libertarian white patriot. So what is your politics?"

787

□ Angry demonstrators demand an end to animal experimentation to find cures for dread diseases. Yet liberals think nothing of experimenting with children by placing them with gay parents.

724

□ We are taught in school that the government is tripartite: Executive, Legislative, Judicial. In truth there are two other branches—the Media and the Bureaucracy—both immune to popular pressure and control.

349

□ Veep Gore insists this is the most ethical administration in history. Nothing at all to the Whitewater charges, the Clintons say. It's like believing in UFOs, Hillary scoffs. Old friend Susan MacDougal is in jail for refusing to talk to a grand jury about Whitewater. Why doesn't Bill say, "Susan, I feel your pain! Tell all you know. Since we're all innocent, what do we have to hide?"

124

1874: The Year of Decision in New Orleans

Establishmentarians who worry about militia groups would do well to study Reconstruction in Louisiana. In 1874 a momentous battle was fought in the heart of New Orleans. The combatants were the Metropolitans, a regional police authority, and the White League, a paramilitary group opposed to the oppressive Reconstruction policies of the Radical Republicans. The result was a coup, the successful overthrow of the state government.

But before going any further, let us set the stage:

New Orleans had the distinction (or rather the misfortune) of being occupied by Union forces early on in the Civil War. Louisiana voted to secede on January 26, 1861, logged a couple of months as a sovereign state (Mardi Gras was remembered as especially festive that year), then joined the Confederacy on March 21. On April 12 the rebels, led by Louisiana-born General Pierre Gustave Toutant de Beauregard, fired on Fort Sumter in South Carolina,




General Beauregard

and the shooting match had begun. A federal blockade of the Mississippi was initiated on May 26. Predictably the prices of imported items in New Orleans soared. Under Captain David Farragut, a New Orleans native, a U.S. naval convoy of 100 warships patrolled the Gulf Coast. On April 18, 1862 six days of skirmishes began between the warships and the Confederate troops manning Fort Jackson and Fort St. Phillip, which guarded the lower Mississippi River. In the early morning hours of April 24, in a four-hour battle, the Federal fleet fought its way past the fortifications and the way to New Orleans was open. The city's defense force of 3,000 soldiers (mostly raw recruits) withdrew. Commodities and goods that could be used by the Yankees were torched.

Now defenseless, the city could offer only verbal resistance to occupation troops. The loss of the South's largest and most cosmopolitan city (prewar population 168,000,¹

including 25,000 blacks, 14,000 of whom were *gens de couleur libres* or free men of color)² was a blow to Confederate morale. The strategic loss was also considerable. Union forces now had a base of operations to cut off the flow of supplies from the Southwest to the Southeast. The



Admiral (earlier Captain) Farragut

machine shops and foundries of New Orleans were lost to the Southern cause, as were two ironclad ships. As bleak as the future must have looked to Crescent City natives, when Union General Benjamin Butler arrived on May 1, 1862 and took formal possession, the locals could never have guessed how long their dispossession would last.



General Butler

Butler's reign was short-lived (7½ months) but sufficient to make a lasting impression. Perhaps the ugliest of all the Civil War generals, he was "corpulent, partially bald, and afflicted with a drooping eyelid that gave him the appearance of being cross-eyed."³ A former Democratic politician from Massachusetts,⁴ "Beast" Butler immediately aroused

the ire of the citizenry, who described him as “the best hated man in the South,”⁵ “the most hated man ever to set foot in the city”⁶ and “probably the most hated name in Louisiana history.”⁷ (David Duke’s detractors would doubtless disagree.) Butler even caused a minor international incident when he issued his infamous Order No. 28, designed to curb sharp-tongued Southern females (Butler called them “she-adders”):

As officers and soldiers of the U.S. have been subject to repeated insults from women, calling themselves ladies, of New Orleans, in return for the most scrupulous non-interference and courtesy on our part, it is ordered hereafter, when any female shall by mere gesture or movement, insult, or show contempt for any officers or soldiers of the U.S., she shall be regarded and held liable to be treated as a woman about town plying her avocation.⁸

The Order was condemned in the British House of Peers by Lord Palmerston. Confederate President Jefferson Davis put a price on Butler’s head. In those more chivalrous times, even some Yankees thought Butler had overstepped his authority. His image, according to local gossip, was a popular liner in the chamber pots of New Orleans’ ladies.

To be sure, commanders of occupying armies are not likely to win popularity contests and Butler was probably no worse than most, though his recall by President Lincoln after such a short tenure does not cast him in a favorable light. The General did keep the populace fed and his meticulous cleaning of the city to protect his troops from disease kept the population free of yellow fever and cholera for the duration of the war.⁹ Like many of the Radical Republicans who followed, he was adept at manipulating Negroes. One of his ploys was to “confiscate” runaway slaves as contraband and farm them out to work on abandoned sugar plantations. He transformed the freedmen of the Louisiana state militia into three regiments and two batteries of artillery, the first colored contingent in the Union army. His “open door” policy towards Negro servants assured him of “a spy behind the chair of the master of every household.”¹⁰ A Negro toastmaster at a banquet saluted Butler as having “a white face, but a black heart.”¹¹

The military occupation of the city continued under the less draconian General Nathaniel Banks, who wasn’t the only newcomer to the city. Each riverboat that docked disgorged a large cargo of adventurers, speculators and fortune hunters—popularly known as carpetbaggers.¹² Adding to the turmoil, some 10,000 slaves fled surrounding plantations to seek refuge in the city. Groups of runaways clashed with police on several occasions. Since New Orleans had been a predominantly white city before the war, the influx of unemployed, illiterate black, plus the exodus of white men to fight on the battlefields in Tennessee and Virginia, rapidly darkened the urban complexion.

After Appomattox, Louisianians found Reconstruction even more troublesome than did other Southern states:

Carl Schurz [who had undertaken a postwar tour of the South at the behest of Andrew Johnson] asserted in 1866 that treason was not yet odious in the South. On the streets of New Orleans Confederate flags were peddled openly. . . . In New Orleans the St. James Hotel restaurant featured “Stonewall Jackson soup” and “Confederate hash.”¹³

The coalition of Radical Republicans, carpetbaggers, scalawags and newly franchised blacks disenfranchised the former Confederates. The presence of ubiquitous federal troops, enjoined to keep the peace, also served to keep Confederate resentment smoldering.

It was only natural that Northerners in the South could wield political influence and exercise power far out of proportion to their numbers. They were the best prepared to step into the vacuum created by the disfranchisement of the former Confederates. They had training and experience in political and economic matters that neither Negroes nor loyal native Southerners had. They clearly knew what their interests were and how best they could be secured. Finally, they had the support of the powerful, victorious party that was in control of affairs in Washington.¹⁴

By the fall of 1866, more than 5,000 discharged Union soldiers had moved to Louisiana. But Yankees were hardly a rare species in New Orleans. Louisiana contained more natives of New York and Pennsylvania than any of its sister states in the South. Yankees had actually muted the French and Spanish accents of the residents. The result was a majority white city—with a thriving middle class—in a majority black state:

It was a matter of public record in antebellum New Orleans, commented on in the press, that most of the city’s leading banks, mercantile houses, insurance firms, transportation companies, and newspapers, not to mention the public schools and Protestant churches, had been either founded or taken over by migrants from the free states.¹⁵

A flashpoint occurred on July 30, 1866, when a group of Radical Republicans held a meeting to enfranchise all blacks in Louisiana. Despite the mayor’s pleas for people to stay away from the convention hall, crowds of blacks and whites congealed on Canal Street, the broad downtown thoroughfare which, even today, divides the French Quarter from the rest of the city. When shots were heard, the blacks sought refuge in the convention hall, where they fired on whites and the police, who responded in kind. When the smoke had cleared after a three-hour battle, the body count was 40 to 50 dead and 150 to 300 wounded. The vast majority of casualties were blacks, but among the victims was Michael Hahn, the Bavarian-born former governor of the Pelican State. Already hobbled by a clubfoot, Hahn was seriously wounded. The death toll included two white Protestant ministers and the unlamented A.P. Dostie, a Yankee dentist, state auditor and fiery

Radical Republican agitator and rabble-rouser.

The finger of blame pointed in all directions: at the police (and the police chief), at the Radical Republicans, at the mayor, at General Absalom Baird (the officer in charge) and at President Andrew Johnson. Louisiana whites obviously won the battle, but they lost the public relations war:

[Historians] recognized the ultimate irony of the New Orleans riot, the ultimate consequence of which was to bring the very changes that New Orleans whites had attempted to prevent by rioting. The riot expressed both the unwillingness of the men in power to be displaced by a small group of Unionists and the refusal of the great majority of whites to accept the enfranchisement of blacks. Paradoxically, the riot, more than any other factor, played a major role in producing these changes. . . . Within two years after the riot, Louisiana's government was in the hands of Republicans, under a new constitution which recognized the equality of blacks, granted them equal suffrage, opened to them the highest state, city and parish offices, and prohibited discrimination.¹⁶

After the Radical Republican Reconstruction Act was passed over President Johnson's veto in March 1867, a military district comprised of Louisiana and Texas was placed under the command of General Philip Sheridan, who ousted the New Orleans mayor, the state attorney general and the Governor. Sheridan guaranteed further



General Sheridan

strife by registering only "loyal" voters, 83,000 blacks and only 45,000 whites (no ex-Confederates need apply).¹⁷ As a result, the state constitutional convention in September, 1867 was the first major elective body in the South with a black majority:

Louisiana whites called their new constitution the "work of the lowest and most corrupt body of men ever assembled in the South. It was the work of ignorant Negroes cooperating with a gang of white adventurers, strangers to our interests and our sentiments."¹⁸

The "Congo Convention," so dubbed by the New Orleans Times, shocked local whites by mandating mixed-race schools. As unpleasant as the social ramifications were, they were accompanied by a serious economic downside. Then as now, the poor, black beneficiaries of public education would to a large extent be subsidized by white taxpayers. The growth of public education, as well as the creation and expansion of other state government functions, was a burden to Louisianians accustomed to low taxes. As an increasing number of farms and plantations were sold to pay taxes, tax resisters started to organize. By 1872 a strong statewide organization, the Tax Resisting Association, made it difficult for the state to finance Reconstruction social engineering projects.

During Reconstruction, Louisiana had three black lieutenant governors: Oscar J. Dunn, (1868-1871), P.B.S. Pinchback (1871-72) and C.C. Antoine (1872-1876). Pinchback, who could have passed for white, also served as governor for forty-three days after the ouster of Governor Henry Clay Warmoth in 1872. Other prominent Negroes included P.G. Deslonde, secretary of state from 1872 to 1876; Antoine Dubuclet, state treasurer from 1868 to 1869; and W.G. Brown, superintendent of public education from 1872 to 1876.

Military occupation of New Orleans officially ended in June 1868, when Louisiana was re-admitted to the Union. By now the Radical Republicans were well aware that their presence was not welcome. A host of clandestine paramilitary groups was forming. The local branch of the Ku Klux Klan was just one of many, which included the Swamp Fox Rangers, the Innocents, the Seymour Knights, the Hancock Guards, the Rifle Club and, probably the most powerful organization, the Knights of the White Camellia. Negroes were targeted for what today would likely be classified as hate crimes. As with many "terrorist" acts, however, the perpetrators were officially without power. Not only was the ex-Confederate disenfranchised at the ballot box, he discovered that the federal courts, enjoined with the protection of the Negro, had superseded the state courts.¹⁹ Consequently there was no lawful antidote to the plethora of black misbehavior that followed in the wake of emancipation and agitation.

Carpetbagging Governor Henry Clay Warmoth, though no believer in racial equality, created a regional army of enforcement, the predominantly black Metropolitan Police Force, to reign over Orleans, Jefferson and St. Bernard parishes. In addition to conventional police duties, the Metropolitan Police Force was administered by a board of five commissioners (three blacks) appointed by the unabashedly corrupt Warmoth, a former Union officer who had been dishonorably discharged by U.S. Grant. "I don't pretend to be honest," said Warmoth, "I only pretend to be as honest as *anybody in politics*."²⁰ "Why, damn it. Everybody is demoralized down here," he opined. "Corruption is the fashion."²¹

In 1872, Warmoth was impeached and removed from

office. The gubernatorial election that year was extraordinary, even by the serpentine traditions of Louisiana politics. No one knew how many votes were cast or who won. Two election boards proclaimed two winners: Henry Pitt Kellogg, the Republican carpetbagger, had the support of President Grant; Democrat John McEnery had the backing of the Democratic press and most of the state's white population. McEnery convened his own state legislature, issued proclamations and formed a state militia. The situation invited chaos. Violence was common in New Orleans and elsewhere in the state throughout 1873. The Easter Sunday battle at the Colfax courthouse claimed the lives of 105 Negroes and 3 whites—the bloodiest single day in Reconstruction history, not just in Louisiana but in the entire South.

This brings us to the pivotal year of 1874:

By 1874, Louisiana was bankrupt. Its debt was \$53 million. Under punitive federal and state laws, many white citizens were forced to pay exorbitant taxes. State funds were systematically plundered by Republican carpetbaggers, who kept power by manipulating the blacks and conniving with federal occupation forces. In New Orleans, the sheriff made 37,000 property seizures in two years. Thirty thousand people left the city. The presence of Federal troops inevitably provoked insurgence.²²


The rebels organized quickly. In March 1874, *The Caucasian*, an Alexandria newspaper devoted to white re-

nocturnally, though the group's existence was hardly a secret. The White League had one goal—driving Republican carpetbagger government out of Louisiana. Under the command of Brigadier General Fred N. Ogden, who had previously been in charge of John McEnery's militia, the White League succeeded in overturning or at least seriously weakening the Radical Republican governments in a number of parishes.

On September 12 the steamboat *Mississippi* arrived in New Orleans with a shipment of weapons and ammunition for the White League. Governor Kellogg entrusted the Metropolitan Police with the task of preventing the White Leaguers from accepting delivery. A battle royal was inevitable. On September 14 downtown stores closed early and thousands gathered in anticipation of a spectacle. They were not disappointed.

The White League demanded the resignation of Governor Kellogg. When it was not forthcoming, 8,000 White League troopers engaged and quickly routed the 600 Metropolitan Police and 3,000 hastily mustered black militia (commanded by former Confederate General James Longstreet). Altogether 1,000 rifles, gatling guns and two howitzers were seized. The Battle of Liberty Place or the Battle of Canal Street—call it what you will—was a decisive victory for the white race in the South. The overthrow of the state government sent shock waves not just throughout Louisiana but throughout the nation.

Governor Kellogg was out and Governor McEnery and the White Leaguers ruled the state for three days. Presi-




Canal Street battle

surge, began publication. Newspapers around the state called for a white man's political party. Officially born in Opelousas in April 1874, the White League grew rapidly. Formed into regiments, battalions and companies, including a cavalry unit, the paramilitary organization trained

dent Grant had no choice but to send in three warships and six regiments of federal troops. Once again, General Sheridan was placed in charge. But by now it was obvious a Radical Republican government could not stand without federal assistance:

The failure of the [Radical Republican] regime in New Orleans was less a failure of resources than a failure of will. The White League embodied an extraordinary consensus that white supremacy was worth fighting for; no such consensus sustained the Radicals.²³

The gubernatorial election of 1876 resulted in another dual governorship—but not for long. The corrupt Republican election board declared their man, Stephen Packard



General Longstreet

(a carpetbagger from Maine) the victor. Also claiming victory was Democrat Francis T. Nicholls, a one-armed, one-legged Confederate war hero. Nicholls appointed General Ogden head of the state militia (composed of White League troops renamed the Continental Guard) and seized the state arsenal, the police stations and the courts.

This time politics at the federal level favored the Democrats. The Presidential election of 1876 was also in dispute. According to the returns, Democrat Samuel J. Tilden had clearly defeated Republican Rutherford B. Hayes, who charged that returns from Oregon, Florida, South Carolina and Louisiana (the latter had sent two sets of returns to the electoral college) were fraudulent. On February 26, a meeting took place at the Wormley House, an upscale Washington hotel owned, ironically, by Negro businessman James Wormley. The participants, upper-level functionaries of the Democratic and Republican parties, unofficially agreed that the Democrats would accept the election of Hayes if federal troops were withdrawn from South Carolina, Florida and Louisiana and if Francis Nicholls was recognized as Governor of Louisiana. The impasse, unamenable to Constitutional interpretation, was officially settled behind closed doors by a bipartisan Election Commission composed of five Democrats from the House, five Republicans from the Senate and five Supreme Court Justices. On March 2, Hayes was declared the winner. President Grant, and then Hayes, after his inauguration in March, took no action against Nicholls, who declared his administration lawful on March 24, 1877.

On April 24, 1877, federal troops were withdrawn from Louisiana. Reconstruction officially ended in New Orleans, 15 years to the day after Farragut fought his way past the Mississippi River forts guarding the city. Louisiana, the first state to be reconstructed, was the last to cast it off.

The reality of Reconstruction in Louisiana was race war, corruption, bitterness, oppressive taxation and a quantum leap forward in statism. When all was said and done, the slaves were hardly any better off, despite their change in status. The native-born whites were worse off, and the free men of color, a fairly prosperous group before the war, were considerably worse off—and were frequently the target of antiblack sentiments engendered by Radical Republican policies. It is probably no accident that political mavericks like Huey Long (born in 1893) and David Duke have hailed from the state where white suffering at the hands of the federal government was most pronounced.

One cannot help but wonder: If the South does rise again, will Louisiana be the spark plug?

JUDSON HAMMOND

FOOTNOTES

1. The South's second-largest city at that time was Charleston (SC) with a mere 40,000 people.
2. The freemen owned property valued at \$15 million. Approximately 700 of the freemen owned slaves.
3. Hans L. Trefousse, *Andrew Johnson: A Biography* (W.W. Norton & Co., New York, NY, 1989), p. 177.
4. Following the war Butler became a Radical Republican, was elected to Congress and was a ringleader of the movement to impeach Andrew Johnson. When he wanted to convey the seriousness of anti-carpetbagger violence in the postwar South, he waved a nightshirt supposedly soiled with the blood of an Ohioan who had been assaulted in Mississippi—hence the genesis of the term, “waving the bloody shirt.”
5. John Rose Ficklen, *History of Reconstruction in Louisiana (Through 1868)* (reprinted by Peter Smith Publishing, Gloucester, MA, 1910), p. 33.
6. Mel Leavitt, *A Short History of New Orleans* (Lexikos, San Francisco, CA, 1982), p. 110.
7. Joe Gray Taylor, *Louisiana: A Bicentennial History* (W.W. Norton & Co., New York, NY, 1976), p. 91.
8. Quoted in Ficklen, p. 35.
9. If you have visited Jackson Square in New Orleans and noticed, “The Union Must and Shall Be Preserved” inscribed on the equestrian statue of Andrew Jackson, be advised that it does not date from 1830, when Jackson uttered the words, but was placed there at the order of General Butler.
10. Ficklen, p. 119.
11. *Ibid.*, p. 120.
12. The term, used to describe a suspicious stranger, actually dates back to 1846.
13. John Hope Franklin, *Reconstruction: After the Civil War* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1961), p. 53.
14. *Ibid.*, p. 98.
15. Ted Tunnell, *Crucible of Reconstruction: War, Radicalism and Race in Louisiana, 1862-1877* (Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge, LA, 1984), pp. 136-37.
16. Gilles Vandal, *The New Orleans Riot of 1866: Anatomy of a Tragedy* (Center for Louisiana Studies, University of Southwestern Louisiana, Lafayette, LA, 1983), p. 212.
17. Defining blackness was a thorny question in *café au lait* New Orleans, where a quadroon mistress was a status symbol for many dashing young Creoles. “Among the thousands of quadroons and octoroons were acknowledged kinsmen of some of the ‘best white families’ in the state,” writes Negro historian John Hope Franklin.
18. Franklin, p. 105.
19. It is difficult to account for the abolitionist/Radical Republican mentality that placed the welfare of the Negro at the top of the political agenda (with all other items tied for a distant second)—and damn the social or political consequences. Unlike subsequent civil rights agitation, Jewish input was minimal.
20. Ted Tunnell, *Crucible of Reconstruction: War, Radicalism and Race in Louisiana, 1862-1877* (Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge, LA, 1984), p. 174.
21. John R. Kemp, *New Orleans* (Windsor Publications, Woodland Hills, CA, 1981), p. 111.
22. Leavitt, p. 114.
23. Ted Tunnell, *op. cit.*, p. 213.

Disney Calumniated

A few years ago, *Instauration* made a brief mention of Jewish writer Marc Eliot's book, *Walt Disney: Hollywood's Dark Prince*, a scurrilous attack upon a Majority genius. Having just finished the book, I can assure you that it is indeed as bad as *Instauration's* reviewer indicated. Eliot's contempt for his subject is palpable on every page as he castigates Disney both as a man, and as the guiding creative spirit behind a superior film studio. This denigration is eventually carried to absurd lengths. At one point Eliot implies that Disney had an unnatural relationship with an adopted daughter. At another, he calls Disney a right-wing fanatic, accusing him of being a spy for the FBI and betraying his friends and associates. Since, as far as I know, Disney was never so defamed while he was alive, these assertions resound with the hollowness of fabrication somewhat akin to stories whispered in the men's room between disgruntled employees. Where Eliot really goes overboard in casting slurs upon the filmmaker is when he makes aspersions regarding Disney's forebears in order to turn him into, of all things, an Hispanic. In this case, since the "biographer" could not produce a single shred of evidence, the lie expired in his gullet.

Disney's antecedents were Norman French—the name was originally d'Isigny—who went with William the Conqueror to England in 1066 and remained as farmers. A desire to worship as Protestants eventually drove them to the New World and inculcated them with a strong sense of Christian fundamentalism. Eliot uses this as a weapon against Disney, "psychoanalyzing" his "phobic behavior, obsessive handwashing, heavy drinking, and sexual inadequacies," as well as his "lifelong anti-Semitism." The last, of course, was by far the most grievous of all Disney's supposed sins.

The real cause of this vitriol, what really flenses Eliot's soul, is what Disney achieved in an industry almost totally in the possession of Jews. That a goy "hick" like Walt Disney, the "All-American hayseed" from a Missouri farm, could amble out of the sticks, thumbs in overalls pockets,

straw in teeth and beat a swarm of cosmopolitan Jews at their own game was intolerable. It was rather like the fellow who invented poker losing everything he owns to some yokel he had taught to play the game. Make no mistake about it, entering the entertainment industry, particularly motion pictures, in the 1920s meant nothing less than going head to head with entrenched Jews and all the terrifying prospects such an undertaking entails.

Behind his back, Jews called Disney a "bumpkin." Such luminaries as Carl Laemmle, George Winkler and Charles Mintz did their best to steal his studio the way

they stole his popular character, Oswald the Lucky Rabbit. After what Jews had done to him and continued trying to do to him, did Eliot really expect Disney to fling his arms around the Chosen whenever they sidled up to him? Eliot is all too typical of the "we're always right, you goys are always wrong" Jew who simply cannot see himself as Gentiles do.

Disney was also aware of how Jews used him. On and off Jews lauded Disney for his contributions to motion pictures. This was anything but altruism on their part. Following a series of sex and drug scandals in Hollywood in the 20s and fearing a Christian-prompted government intervention, Jews had scrambled to set up the Hays Office to oversee the industry's morals. The heads of the major studios had realized they had gone too far in overstepping the bounds of propriety and decency. They would either police themselves or be policed by the hated goys. In 1922 they installed Majority member

Will Hays as the cleanup man, thereby heading off a federal crackdown on Jewish anti-social excesses in filmdom. What they had been getting away with prior to this was the "anything goes" climate of today or, in the Jewish vernacular, "letting it all hang out."

Despite extreme Jewish financial enrichment during the so-called "Roaring Twenties," the times were still not propitious for Jews to be rubbing Christian noses in kosher detritus in an overwhelmingly Christian country. Knowing this, Jews feared the backlash of fundamentalist Christianity against their anti-Christian values and rampant materialism. Today, with the U.S. government virtually led around



by the nose by Jews, they sneer at the merest suggestion of "censorship." Meanwhile the cinematic filth excreted from Southern California poisons the entire world. The Hays Office has been dead since Jews and their liberal allies on the Supreme Court killed it in 1957. It had served its purpose and was no longer needed. Jews were so firmly in the saddle, they felt secure enough to promote any form of obscenity, and proceeded to do so.

Disney was the token Gentile in the industry who was always held up as the role model, the example of all that was best and brightest (and cleanest) in Hollywood, even as he was ridiculed and slandered by these same studio executives as they lounged in their fancy private clubs. Yet author Eliot contends that Disney was largely motivated by blindly irrational anti-Semitism. I wonder if Eliot would concede that maybe, just maybe, anti-Semitism is understandable, even justified, in such a situation? I rather doubt it.

One special aspect of Disney's relationship with a Jewish-dominated profession needs mentioning. Eliot doesn't go into much detail concerning it, for obvious reasons, but always there was the burning envy and the attempt to emulate and downright steal what Disney had created on film—the sheer originality of his work. When he refused to be bought out or put his expertise to work for them, they decided to overwhelm him with their own product.

To examine a classic example of this "copycat" mentality, consider the following: after Disney's stunning success with *Snow White* in 1937, the first-ever full-length animated feature (Eliot refuses to concede even this ground-breaker to Disney, insisting that someone else had done a long cartoon several years before), Jews determined to beat him at what he did best. Under Max Fleischer

(born in Vienna in 1883), the Fleischer Studio in Miami created a Disney rip-off entitled *Gulliver's Travels*, an animated version with goofy characters of the Swift satire. Utilizing the talents of business manager Sam Buchwald, director Willard Bowsky, story man Izzy Sparber, and head animators Seymour Kneitel and Myron Waldman, *Gulliver's Travels* was rushed into production to catch the overflow from the success of *Snow White*. Premiering in 1939, it was immediately and unfavorably compared with the Disney classic. With Tin Pan Alley tunes like *It's a Hap-Hap-Happy Day* by songsmiths Sammy Timberg and Al Neiberg, not exactly a couple of Irishmen, and one-dimensional characters, the film was panned by even the most hydrophobic Judeophiles. Small wonder, since it had "Disney Impersonator" stamped all over it. And Walt himself, the "hayseed," as usual had the last word—a rarity, indeed, in 20th-century Jewish-Gentile relations: "We can do better than that with our second-string," he is reported to have commented after viewing the *Gulliver* flick.

Fleischer tried to shift the blame onto Paramount Pictures, the Fleischer Studio's controlling company, also monolithically Jewish, for having pushed it into something it had no stomach for. Following a second disastrous foray into feature-length animation, *Mr. Bug Goes to Town* (1941), Paramount foreclosed on Max and Dave Fleischer (it was over money, remember) and took over the studio that had lamentably failed to become "another Disney."

Little wonder that Eliot's pages seethe with venom and vitriol at the arrogance and success of this upstart Gentile "hick."

782

Immigration Horror Story

"Case of Florida Au Pair Reflects Wider Problem." This was the title of a N.Y. Times story by reporter Mireya Navarro who recounted the tale of a luckless Asian Indian woman, Francesca Ekka, brought to the U.S. by Kishin Kumar Mah-tani (a Hindu born in India) and his wife, Shashi Gobindram (a Hindu born in Indonesia).

Ekka, 23, was lured to America with the promise of a salary three times what she made in her native India, a promise that was never kept, not even one cent of it. Instead, she was reduced to the status of a household slave and punching bag. When she finally got around to calling 911 and the cops arrived, they found her with a thick welt under her eye, a swollen jaw, a "deformed ear" and a burn on her hand where her employers had

branded her with a red-hot iron.

It was a real delight working for the Mahtanis: 16-hour days during which Ekka was often kicked, slapped and struck with blunt objects. Once she was dunked in soapy water until she almost drowned. From time to time she had a collar and leash put around her neck and was locked in a dark room for days. When she failed to meet work schedules, she was forced to sleep outside with the family's dogs.

The Mahtanis were arrested and charged with conspiring to hold Ekka in involuntary servitude, forcing her to reside in the U.S. illegally and harboring her in violation of immigration laws. The couple pleaded guilty and can get up to five years in federal prison plus a \$250,000 fine. It almost gives me ulcers to think of

this miserable Third World duo coming to this country and bringing their primitive customs and behavior with them.

The Times article elaborates the ordeal of Ekka as part of the growing abuse of "guest workers" by foreign employers living in the U.S. Actually we shouldn't be too shocked by this barbaric behavior. Affluent Third Worlders have always treated servants as animals. Why would they change their ingrained habits when they come to our shores?

The real question here is what were the Mahtanis doing in this country? Exactly how did these two idol-worshippers manage to slither past INS agents? How in hell did they manage to send for and receive a "nanny" from India to slave for them?

Apparently there is an organization,

Sakhi, in Zoo City that defends the rights of "nannies" and maids from India, Pakistan and Bangladesh. We are informed each year they deal with 20 to 25 cases similar to Ekka's.

I may be mistaken but, except for a few very special cases (households of foreign diplomats, for example), domestic employees are not eligible to come to the U.S. to work. So how do they get in? It's quite simple. They obtain tourist visas and then overstay their visit, never intending to go back. Next question. Who issued tourist visas to these people?

Ms. Navarro's article is, shall we say, out of focus. She tells us all about how awful Ekka's bosses were, but she ignores the obvious. If we had no Mahtanis or

Ekkas in this country, the problem would solve itself. Gregory Schell, a lawyer with the Migrant Farm Worker Justice Project, says, "They're focused on sending aliens back instead of socking it to the folks that exploit them."

What is happening to Ekka? Is she being sent back to India as an illegal immigrant? No, she is applying for a legal resident visa since she is afraid the relatives of her former employers will take revenge on her for ratting on them. Net result? We have one extra coolie in our country to bless us with still another batch of non-white genes.

Had I, N.B. Forrest, written the Navarro story, it would have read quite a bit differently:

HINDUS SENT PACKING. Dateline Miami, FL. Two Hindus who managed to evade U.S. immigration controls and establish a business in south Florida have been found to have enslaved one of their own kind as a domestic employee. The husband/wife team will go to the Big House for five years and pay a \$250,000 fine. Once the two are out, they and their brood will be sent directly back to India in shackles. The unfortunate slave will be taken directly to Miami airport and booked on the first flight to Bombay.

The INS is asking all citizens to report any suspected illegal immigrants living in their neighborhoods. An official of the ACLU who attempted to interfere in the processing of these individuals for deportation has been arrested for conspiracy to violate U.S. immigration laws.

N.B.F.

No More Big Nannyism

As far as our foreign policy is concerned, Uncle Sam continues to stick his long nose in other nations' affairs where he has no business. Meanwhile our new Secretary of State, Madeleine Albright, is making noises that can only worsen the situation.

For the life of me I can see no reason why the U.S. should try to impose its values and beliefs on the rest of the world, especially since our own internal affairs are in disorder, as we struggle with massive, problem-ridden underclasses. Our jobs are flowing overseas. Class and race relations are rapidly deteriorating to the point where they are threatening the very existence of our civilization.

Yet we blather on how we are the only superpower left in the world and how it is our moral duty to lead (force?) other countries to become democracies, to embrace capitalism and to accept our own special definition of "human rights." Two words, hubris and chutzpah, best describe the present state of our foreign relations.

As far as that fuzzy term morality is concerned, I would say that, like art, it is strictly in the eye of the beholder. If morality is "doing the right thing," it follows that Mao and Stalin, with their slaughter and imprisonment of myriads of their citizens, were firmly convinced they were acting correctly and operating within the limits of moral behavior.

At this point in our history there is no cogent reason why we should be involved in European affairs. Those nations

are big boys and can handle or mishandle their business on their own, as they did for many centuries. We should pull out of NATO. To have troops in Bosnia is criminal stupidity. We have zero self-interest in that miserable region. The Balkan peoples have enjoyed killing each other for many centuries. They'll be back doing it as soon as we pull out.

As for NATO as a protective shield, let us remember that for the foreseeable future Russia is no longer a threat to Europe or to us. If the situation changes in the decades ahead and the Bear turns westward, this will be none of our business. Since the Europeans, at least Britain and France, now have nuclear weapons, they cannot be blackmailed by Russians. They could more than hold their own in any confrontation.

We should also withdraw our troops from other parts of the world, including Korea. Our armed forces should be reduced to a force strong enough to defend both North and South America, a new Monroe doctrine if you will. This defense should include a Star Wars program which would enable us to destroy incoming nuclear-tipped rockets from crazy, rogue countries. The weapons should also be capable of intercepting, destroying or rerouting any earth-threatening meteorites or asteroids.

As for the Chinese, they are an intelligent, temperate-zone people completely capable of running their own country. Their customs and traditions go back for millennia. It is ridiculous for us to inter-

vene in their internal affairs. At any event, the powerful Japanese nation is always in place to hold them in check in case the Chinese decide to go into the empire-building business.

"But," bleat our liberals, "what about human rights in China and the rest of the world? What about prison labor and starvation wages paid to women and children in Third World countries? It is our duty to go in and correct these dreadful abuses." I assert this is very much none of our business. Poor-paying jobs are preferable to no jobs at all. As business gets better, wages increase, as has happened in many of the Pacific Rim countries. Whatever problems crop up are strictly the concern of the nations involved.

Still Madeleine Albright and company insist that the U.S. be "Nanny to the world." Our Jewish Secretary of State wants to force the other nations to conform to our beliefs and standards or she'll send in the military. As the lady told a Senate committee, "What is the point of having this superb military that you're always talking about if we can't use it?"

Pat Buchanan had it right when he said the Americans should withdraw to "Fortress America" and try to cope with our own dreadful social problems. Trade big-time with other nations, but don't try to tell their leaders how to run their countries.

Believe me, the world can get along very well without the U.S. acting as Big Nanny.

323

A Female Instaurationist Chimes In

Women are at a premium in the white Majority movement—and little wonder. Given this masculine overrepresentation, some sentiments are bound to become enshrined fixtures: “She was a Nordic goddess—and she was clinging to a—sob—Negro/Jew/Samoan/another female.” For a woman the clichés get a little irritating. I wonder how the white Majority movement intends to attract and “recruit” the best element among young women when standards appear to begin and end with hair color? Forgive me if this is too eugenic, but while scouring around for adequate breeding stock, I presume the average Instaurationist is also taking intelligence and heart into account—or is hair pigmentation the single perquisite? Assuming the ultimate goal is to breed up a bulwark of resourceful, capable children, this may be the occasion to reexamine priorities.

By now it's obvious I'm not a blonde. However, I like to think that if I were, the blondism fetish would bother me every bit as much, possibly more. While I don't think of myself as a feminist, I am a realist. What female in the 90s will respond favorably to animal husbandry standards, as they apply to her? Over coffee with a couple of enlightened male acquaintances, I cringed at the downright embarrassing head-craning whenever a blonde (whether natural or “enhanced”) walked by. I felt as if I were sitting with a couple of overheated Negroes. No, I didn't say anything. This behavior is too entrenched to be changed by a few cutting remarks. At any rate, isn't there more at stake here than blondes and the wrong sort of escort? Taken with the limited financial prospects the Instaurationist male may have to offer, little wonder we're having so much trouble getting together.

Okay, the “blonde” thing is a source of irritation, but it typifies one of the problems white men and women experience when it comes to surmounting (let alone luxuriating in) our differences. The media have made an heroic effort to redirect our healthy, instinctive mistrust of “outsiders” against our own potential mates, with signal success. Women are “difficult.” Men are “dangerous.” In the time-honored tradition of Madison Avenue, the hapless target of all this propaganda says, “Heck, don't I deserve something idiot-proof too?” Presto, you're dating minorities! For a particularly susceptible kind of idiot, this provides the opportunity to grapple with the really thorny issues like different food preferences, dance styles and “the tone of your skin versus the tone of my skin,” in lieu of the more traditional course of love, “Who are you, what do you believe, can we build a life together?” When we are confronted with interracial sex, it is NOT a race imperative which kicks in, it's hormonal. When I see a white woman

with her shiny, shufflin' paramour, I don't like it. But it hardly drives me to distraction. Conversely, whenever I see a white male (who just for a change doesn't look too light on his feet) with his banjo-headed Oriental girlfriend, it's all I can do to resist the temptation to walk over and say to him, “Why bother with this stop-gap arrangement? Why not just find yourself a nice boy?” Male acquaintances will often miss this “couple” entirely. When it comes to the white girl and her Negro, the situation is reversed. I may not even notice. My male friends never miss it. I'm aware that when a white woman chooses the wrong mate, she obliterates her genetic stock in a way that the male does not. I think we're all aware that it's less usual for a white man than for a Negro to impregnate a female and then mosey on to fresh grazing.

So how does the white girl wind up with the neighborhood basketball champ? (I did say “champ,” didn't I?)—or the white man with his Oreintrix? The January issue of Instauration had a superb article on arena behavior. It confirmed my belief that the most overtly aggressive male eventually scores. The Negro preoccupation with GITTIN' SOME is legend. The Oriental female, perfectly aware of her worth in her own culture, is compelled to “breed up.” The direct approach is seen time and again among both types. The Negro cannot believe his good fortune in discovering such a “progressive” society as we now—enjoy? Our permissiveness allows him a lot of elbow room. According to some of their own sources, it's estimated that as many as 40% of blacks in Britain are “involved” with white women. A black's stature is boosted in his own and his community's estimation with the acquisition of a white b-(imbo). The Oriental female, through millennia of selective breeding, has discovered in our gullibility the happy misconception that Orientals are “Female-Lite.” While this belies the gal's hatchet-handed grip on the purse strings and general willfulness, it can be parlayed into a marriage contract with the starry-eyed dunderhead. Additionally, I've seen Oriental females flirt openly with white men—and here we go again—they also appear to like blondes. Whether genetic or cultural, as a general rule, whites are not so overt in their trawling behavior. This would tend to leave us particularly susceptible to the frontal assault, at least for those who have had the benefit of a liberal education. The old models: knuckle-dragging primate Negro, scheming Dragon Lady, have been eradicated from our cultural inheritance, without examining just why those stereotypes were so long-lived.

To complicate matters further, white men and women are growing a little afraid of each other. One of the corollary effects of the fem-lib-min movement is the suppres-

sion of courting behavior among us. As usual, the minorities are excused from the normal constraints. Among ourselves even harmless flirting is seen as bordering on the pathologically deviant and is absolutely forbidden in this reformed age. The very things that traditionally brought European men and women together have either been banished (sexist)—or have simply vanished (corn pone) from our MTV culture.

As touched upon previously, another feature of today's corroded values is the widespread apprehension that there is something "wrong" with men; that they are a dangerous influence around children. (There's little point in mentioning that, statistically, women are more likely to harm a child.) I wonder when I see a graceless, aging white woman with her mulatto child whether there is an element at play whereby she decided that she yearned for a child and instinctively recognized that almost any black would not only oblige but could be relied upon to disappear more thoroughly than any white man ever would. Just a hunch.

Several months ago a male friend asked why I rarely dressed in a skirt and heels—"the whole look." It kinda took me aback because I have had conversations with female friends on just this topic. It might be instructive for Instaurationists to see this issue from a woman's perspective. It used to be one of the great pleasures to dress up and go out even for a day's shopping. My mother always wore a dress and heels to go to the city. I think it used to be an occasion for others to treat women with an extra degree of consideration. It simply doesn't pay today. In a European milieu it's exactly as it was. But in an "enriched" community, there is a minority that does not play by our rules.

As if the prospect of being ogled (or worse) by priapic bipeds weren't sufficiently discouraging, there are the inevitable scouts who have to come over and talk to you in broken English. Possibly in their culture a dress and high heels is evidence of being for hire. (In a properly cohesive society, the answer would be, who cares? Once again, we HAVE to care.) Even when there is no direct approach, there is ALWAYS the eye contact problem. If you just happen to glance over their way, they are already looking at YOU, waiting for any indication that you are either interested or too weak to be brutally direct. In which case, watch out! The behavior of these perverse males from all points of the compass is bad enough in and of itself. But there's more. Their ancillary females are by turns hostile to or fascinated by normal feminine garb. Either way they stare in open-mouthed bovine stupefaction. White women have long since learned that if some lounging, lurking, barely housebroken "new citizen" does make a grab for her, no white male is about to intervene. (A friend was shouted down by lib-fem types for slapping a grubby paw away on a streetcar.)

I have on a couple of occasions been a little nervous on my street at night, only to find the door to the building slam in my face as the (white) man ahead of me scuttles to safety through the locked door, leaving me out there fum-

bling for my keys with a couple of Negro "youths" moving up fast. Where are the white men who were my father's friends, my big brother's friends, my own friends? Dressing was one of the innocent pleasures of an innocent day. I'm glad my mother lived in a world where women were safe to do so and men were civilized enough to simply appreciate them for it. Those days are long gone. In this reinvented seventh circle of hell, white women have learned that dressing inconspicuously is the first line of defense against a minority rapist. As always, minority females are exempt. They are busily adorning themselves to attract male attention, exactly as nature intended. (If she's REALLY lucky, that new frock will catch the eye of a white man.) All of this is a luxury white women can no longer afford. It's a sad commentary and one of the less publicized costs of immigration. This manufactured guilt goes under the brand name "multiculturalism." Whites are being driven to the wall in every particular as this disastrous experiment proceeds. The biological implications are evidenced by the sheer success rate of boy-meets-girl stories—so long as one of the two is not white.

Spurious (serial) relationships comprise yet another "new-improved-for-your-convenience" product we don't need. By definition casual rutting is a hopeless exercise. But hopelessness is antithetical to the profound nature of love. Our tradition of enduring love based on respect, commonality and intelligence is best for US. That's possible only when we (both) remember our traditions, take vows seriously and comport ourselves with the (now unfashionable) decorum which once was second nature among our folk. We have watched with fury as our every birthright has been yanked out from under us. These issues of resentment, hostility, race-mixing and procreation are so central to this struggle we cannot afford to allow ourselves to be shortchanged or sidetracked. The psychic toll is high enough without additionally settling for a lifetime of isolation or serial "distractions." Just as we guard against insidious political propaganda, we must cast the same skeptical eye upon their (and our own) efforts to undermine our prospects for enduring love and happiness.

CANADIAN CORRESPONDENT

No Pasaron

Stele raised in the eighth year of the reign of Sesostris III, King of Upper and Lower Egypt, to whom be life throughout all ages. "No Negro shall cross this boundary by water or by land, by ship or with his flocks, save for the purpose of trade or to make purchases in some post."

*Pharaoh Sesostris III,
1887-1849 B.C., inscription
on a stele above the Second
Cataract of the Nile*

Activists Should Speak More Softly

An episode of TV's *Party of Five* (Feb. 12) made a deep impression on me. Julia broke up with her boyfriend after he made a couple of remarks indicating a moderate degree of antiblack racism. Nothing extreme, just a joke about how blacks know a lot about our legal system through personal experience and how, if he tried, he could treat blacks just like normal people. When boyfriend asked why she was breaking up with him, Julia explained that the racist overtones in his conversation gave her a sick feeling inside. She simply couldn't have a relationship with someone who harbored such attitudes.

As far as my racial views and attitudes are concerned, I feel as though I were both of those people rolled into one. I believe that blacks as a whole have a higher inclination towards violence and criminality than whites. I further believe that blacks tend to surpass whites in athletic ability, while whites tend to surpass blacks in academic ability. I prefer the beauty of white women to that of black women and am sure that the most attractive black women have considerable amounts of white blood. I am concerned about the white race's loss of physical beauty and intelligence through race-mixing.

When I hear people, including myself, talk like that, I experience the same sick feeling inside that Julia did. I feel sorry for decent people of any racial or cultural background who would be hurt by hearing those things. I feel guilty about having attitudes that hurt people whose primary fault is an accident of birth. I feel that I could have been born as a black, Pakistani, Arab, Mexican or a member of any group that some Instaurionists disparagingly refer to as "muds."

A great many white people probably suppress their racist views and inclinations because of feelings and thoughts like mine. People who might otherwise support the white race—their own race—are withholding support because they don't want to be associated with people who use offensive rhetoric. The lack of support is almost certainly crippling to the efforts of white activists.

Offensive rhetoric also drives more white liberals and minorities into active roles in fighting white racism. It is terribly counterproductive for people who really care about the white race. For those who oppose our race and/or our country, the proliferation of such rhetoric is the same as burning crosses and painting swastikas on synagogues.

There are some very important projects whites should be working on right now. We need to increase the white population to compensate for the high immigration and birthrates of nonwhites. The career aspirations of many white women are understandable and commendable, but our people would be much better off if our women married, stayed home and brought up kids who would be a

credit to their race. If a group of financially well-off Majority members got together and helped support that couple while the earning power of the young husband was at its smallest, the couple could reward the white community with some beautiful white children who might otherwise not have been born. Once they were born, help could continue not just with financial assistance, but with personal support such as baby-sitting. Eventually the mother, if she was a working woman, would be able to return to her job. Later she and her husband would be in a position to help another young white couple get started.

A large percentage of women getting abortions each year are white. We need to reach out to such women to provide them with alternatives. There are many childless white couples eager to adopt. In some cases a form of joint custody might meet the wishes of the birth mother and the adoptive parents.

A vital strategy the Majority needs to follow is the development of alliances with other groups. There is no reason why white liberals should have a monopoly on the support of minorities. Many nonwhites are nationalistic and independent in their thinking. We should nurture relationships with such people based on mutual respect, negotiation and cooperation. We must do all we can to show that we are not their enemy.

There are many people of color, part white or not, who affiliate with the white community emotionally. They include a relatively small number of people who want to belong to our community and who fit in well with whites, but are not themselves white. Such people could be of great value in supporting the white community politically and financially. The most important task for white activists is to develop positive, appealing attitudes. It isn't just what we say, it's how we say it. If we evince feelings of disdain or resentment, they may show even when we don't want them to. That we want to help our own race doesn't mean that we want to hurt people of other races. We can improve our own long-term prospects by building alliances with white people who place a high value on kindness and generosity.

Positive, friendly attitudes are conducive to communication and cooperation. We can't help a pregnant young white girl who's considering abortion if we aren't speaking to her or if she isn't speaking to us because what we say frightens her. We also can't dissuade white girls from having sex with black guys by screaming at them. In such cases our anger usually makes things worse.

Every problem we face is solvable. We just have to roll up our sleeves and get to work. Our most vital tools are our inter-personal skills. Let's put them to good use.

An Unprofitable Conversation with Two Liberals

The most galling aspect of racial integration is what it does to the political outlook of the whites who count themselves among its supporters. It is these folk, the do-gooders, go-alongers, hangers-on, and simply plain old social haters (of their own race), who willingly choose to sell out their own people for the presumed advantage of being accepted by the nation's social rulers. One can almost forgive the minorities' demands that they be allowed into the front parlor of society (their very presence meaning that the parlor itself will have to be redecorated sooner than later).

What seems unforgivable, however, is the sight of one's own racial tribesmen lowering the drawbridge which spans the moat that defends the (racial) castle from the hordes outsiders. This is what white liberals accomplished when they supported the equalitarian politics of Hubert Humphrey, LBJ and the assorted (and sorted) Kennedys.

These accomplishments came drillingly home to me the other afternoon while lunching with a college professor friend and his wife at a student bar adjacent to Georgetown University on the periphery of the besotted municipality of Washington (DC). My friend had made a handsome career for himself by accommodat-

ing to the assorted vectors of power and influences controlling his variegated lines of work, which ran from theater manager to radio performer to press agent to aide to some of the top Beltway politicians of the 1960s and 1970s. Now in his twilight days, he had evolved into something of an icon of urbane sophistication for the students, a beacon of liberal reassurance to the Jesuit priests, whose rule at Georgetown is being usurped by liberal Jews for whom consideration of such mundane and disquieting matters as support for the white race, particularly the working-class element, is unpalatable at best. As I listened to the addled words of my friend's wife gushing forth about how wonderful it was that Georgetown was finally getting a fair representation of blacks, I could not help gazing across the room at the blacks whose presence was now adding so much to the campus. They were gathered together in excited and gesticulating cohorts, babbling on about the subjects which currently dominate the everyday urgency of the 'hood's life—dope, drums and The Man. How far afield, I thought, from what the college might be like had their scholarship slots been given to more deserving working-class whites.

Turning to my friend and his life companion, I made a few remarks to that ef-

fect, whereupon I was met with predictable liberal cant:

Oh, we can't go back to those days of racial segregation. They're gone. And I would say for good. We've got to take the long view on all this. Certainly it will take time to help them become what our immigrants may have become. But it's our obligation.

I protested quietly that "our" immigrants did their class-climbing very much on their own and did it in one or two generations. Blacks, I replied, seem stuck at a specific plateau of development. The facial reactions of the couple told me that no matter how reasonable I might make the argument, acceptance would never be forthcoming because they had made a moral decision long ago to throw in their lot with the Establishment.

I ended the conversation, and perhaps my friendship with this twosome, by commenting how sad it was that in selecting schools and colleges for their two daughters this pair had not thought of extending the advantages of race-mixing to their own kind instead of pursuing the old-fashioned approach to education by picking exclusive, expensive and racially segregated private schools.

IVAN HILD

Their Culture—Your Culturecide

In the year 43 A.D. Britain was conquered by the Roman legions of the Emperor Claudius. At this time in their history the victorious Romans were still a healthy race. They were only beginning to encounter the biological pollution that ultimately resulted in their decline and fall, the decline and fall all states eventually experience if they do not safeguard their most precious resource—their genetic heritage.

The Roman armies were numerically inferior to the Celts, who fought with wildly heroic, but disorganized, abandon. Individual tribesmen would challenge their foes to hand-to-hand combat. While apparently in the warrior Berserker trance, these bold men would feel no pain when wounded or fear death when dying. There is no other explanation for their mythic ferocity and incredible courage.

While the Celtic peoples reveled in

their individuality, military discipline, the total subjugation of the individual to the state, won the day for the Romans. The battle between Romans and Celts could be likened in one sense to the struggle between North and South in the American Civil War. The North suspended habeas corpus rights and initiated draconian measures in a mechanized total war against the South. The Southerners, continuing to argue and harangue among themselves about states rights, persisted in exercising their individuality and independence to the overall detriment of their cause. These lessons of history are largely lost on the racialist right, who argue and fight with each other over doctrinal minutiae, often it seems for the sheer joy of it. Meanwhile the mechanized state crushes them at leisure.

The victorious Romans, again not unlike the North's Reconstruction of the

South, set about the colonializing and Romanizing of the defeated population. The Britons were subjugated and forced to accept the traditions and culture of their new masters. After a time and through a skillfully employed system of punishments and rewards, the imposed culture became the norm for the non-Roman ruling classes. So all-pervasive was the imposed system that the native puppet governors and their attendant courtiers fought among themselves in their effort to be considered more Roman and more like their conquerors and less like their racial kin, who were often reduced to slavery. The Roman historian Tacitus, generalizing on this process, remarked acidly, "Among the conquered it is called culture, when in fact it is part of their slavery."

Today a similar transformation is taking place. To see it in action, just turn on the TV. Witness the thoroughness with

which our alien masters have imposed their culture upon us. To those who are old enough to remember, what is today lauded as high culture was seen for what it really was—the glorification of debauchery and the deification of degeneracy. Ask the teenage schoolboy or girl of today who their heroes are or on whom they would model themselves. George Washington, Thomas Jefferson or perhaps Charles Lindbergh? More than likely the answer would be Dennis Rodman, the elongated, cross-dressing Negro basketball player, or Ellen DeGeneres, the Sapphic

funnywoman.

It is a generally held truism that totalitarian societies seek to exploit and indoctrinate their youth. Witness the actions of the young Chinese during the height of Mao's cultural revolution or the massive rallies staged by the Peoples Republic of North Korea. Certainly no one could seriously believe that these youngsters acted out of free will. They were simply pawns in the propaganda apparatus of their repressive governments, chosen not in spite of their status as children, but because of it. Kids by their very nature have choices

made for them.

How incredibly myopic are Americans! They have eyes but cannot see and ears but cannot hear. Is it any surprise that their voices are also silent? To expect the Majority to rise up en masse and throw off its yoke of repression is too much to ask. But the next time you feel you have to win that promotion by going along and selling out your race to a corrupt alien culture, think for once not of yourself. Think of Tacitus and his wise words.

LAWRENCE

Reason vs. Authority

Today the scientific community as well as people's good common sense know that innate human differences exist in areas as diverse as perception, physical attributes and intelligence. An irrefutable body of scientific evidence proves that much of human intelligence and behavior is biologically determined and that this biological component differs among the various races. All races are not alike. I know it. You know it. Even the government in its infinite hypocrisy knows it. Is not affirmative action prima facie evidence that most dusky denizens simply cannot compete with whites?

What are the thousands of government-mandated programs earmarked for minorities but simply ways in which the state robs productive people and redistributes the fruits of their labor to keep peace among the ever-agitated and threatening tribes? If in the process the "poverty pimps" can manage to get a piece of the action for themselves, so much the better—for them, of course, but not for us.

Back in 1970, when political scientist Edward Banfield first wrote about "the culture of poverty," he correctly stated that the disproportionately black "lower class [would] live in squalor. . . even if their incomes were doubled." He indicated their failure in society stems not from a lack of financial acumen, but because they have a "radically present-oriented outlook that attaches no value to work, sacrifice, self-improvement, or service to family, friends or community." More recently the work of economist Susan Mayer, a self-described hard-nosed liberal on the faculty of the University of Chicago, further discredits the traditional liberal argument that "they're just like us." She observed in her new book, *What Money*

Can't Buy, that while the material well-being of the poor and the minorities has dramatically improved over the past 30 or 40 years, this has not led to any self-improvement in the blacks' social condition.

While the thrust of Mayer's analysis is to support a permanent and socialist redistribution of wealth, she inadvertently lends her voice to that of countless others who are beginning to recognize the real reason for black failure. It's not white racism, economic exclusion, environmental deprivation, not the culture of slavery or differential learning. Their failure is and always has been caused by the blacks' innate lack of intelligence and mental ability.

To speak openly of such human differences these days is to violate the 20th-century's new orthodoxy. Those who dare to challenge the quasi-religious cult of equalitarianism are viewed as being a threat to the established order. Never mind if what they are saying is the truth. Truth cannot be tolerated if it conflicts with officially sanctioned dogma. If the polity, the people, knew the truth, the ruling elite's power base would crumble and its hold over the purse-strings and lives of the productive elements of society would be seriously threatened.

Since those who fight for liberty and truth currently have no access to the system, they can simply be ignored. But as more and more "grassrooters" begin to question the government position, the media machine escalates its attack. The offenders are labelled racists. Old yellowed and often phony photos of lynched Negroes and Holocausted Jews are dragged down from the attic.


Why is it all the many self-appointed guardians of freedom, professional wring-

ers-of-hands and shedders-of-tears have failed to speak out against today's institutional discrimination against whites? Why is it that the left's loudly touted tolerance only applies mostly to tolerating Communist insurgents in South America, Negro cop-killers on our streets or gay-rights advocates teaching our schoolchildren? Has it never occurred to anyone that the primacy of superstition over rationality is why Marxism finds its last bastion of solace and support in modern liberal Christianity?

Even with the fall of international communism and the discrediting of the Marxist dogma, even with reality staring them in the face and quantum leaps in the fields of genetics, DNA analysis and sociobiology, leftists not only have maintained their delusionist position, but have gone even further in their attempts to destroy all those perceived as their enemies—all those who seek the truth. Today the evidence supporting truly profound human differences is so overwhelming that unless total censorship is imposed the masses will not be able to avoid the truth much longer. Indeed current data suggest that observable skin-deep differences between the races are only the tip of the iceberg.

Now that they see their Asian superstitions crumbling, now that their world of fantasy is coming to an end, liberals curl themselves into a hard little ball and, full of resentment, try desperately to strike down anyone who resists. Nationally known columnist Sam Francis said it best when he recently wrote: "Liberty is fine, you know, as long as it results in power for the left; when it results in power for the right, it's a problem."

LAWRENCE



Murder Worse Than Rape

N.B. Forrest usually makes much sense, but not when he overemphasizes the crime of rape (June 1997, p. 7). I fail to see how rape can be in "some ways worse than murder." All kinds of crime victims recover from their ordeal, but once you're dead, you're dead.

472

The Mechanics of Conspiracy

Moriarty (May 1997, p. 9) soft-peddled the importance of conspiracy. It's true that most of what transpires in the world is hardly predetermined or preplanned. It's also true there is a conscious effort to push world affairs in a definite direction. It is a mistake to believe that a conspiracy needs the participation of large numbers. What is needed is control at the key points—finances, communications, government. Once this is achieved, it remains to create a climate of opinion sufficient to intimidate opposition. Obviously this is where we are today. It is really irrelevant whether our deplorable situation was the work of mad rabbis or amoral financiers. The ancient test of *Cui bono*? should be applied. The second half of the 20th century has been the era of simultaneous anti-nationalism and the worship of the Chosen. The duality speaks for itself.

472

Some Recommended Housecleaning

Firstly, doesn't Instauration's drawing of Africa in the "Report from the Darkening Tip" tend to be misleading? All of northern Africa, including most of the Sahara, is Europid territory. It never has been Negrid turf and probably never will be, barring catastrophic changes in the weather. Although there is a wide range of swarthinness among the majority of those Europids, there are some groups who are as pale-skinned, blond-haired and blue-eyed as any similar type in northern Europe. Accordingly, would not a long, broad band of whiteness—with perhaps some scattered dots—across northern Africa provide a more realistic racial depiction of the area?

Secondly, inasmuch as the term African does not exclusively indicate Negrid or black, it is incorrect to use the label African American for those Americans having Negrid genes. Theoretically any Europid from Africa who settled in the U.S. would also be an African American.

Thirdly, isn't it time to refurbish and rehabilitate that useful term "colored people" as a label for the mixed-bloods of the two races. An octoroon (7/8ths white)—to say nothing of a mustafino (31/32nds white)—should find being called black about as intolerable as any self-respecting white would feel at being so labeled. The feeling is probably shared by most high yellows. At least three subdivisions of "colored people" would be useful: near

white, mulatto and near black. Since these racial differences are real, they produce real antipathies. Isn't it time to take advantage of such fortuitous aversions and set these divisions of the so-called black community at conscious odds with each other? *Divide et impera*.

Fourthly, even that highly organized, far-flung bio-ideological community known as world Jewry is made up of differing subracial and ideological strains, which again should be played upon. Antipathies, some bordering on hatred, between differing sets of Jews, are well-known. Shouldn't we try to keep them squabbling among themselves while we regroup?

008

Personal Revolution

"We Must Get Off the Dime" (June 1997, pp. 18-19) disappointed but did not surprise me. The author, after expressing frustration at what he perceived to be a lack of progress, proposed a search for a great leader.

The article disappointed because it indicates an inappropriate attitude towards the great struggle that faces us. It was not surprising because hope for a leader is only natural. Unfortunately that leader may never materialize, which is why such an attitude is counterproductive.

In this decade Pat Buchanan is the closest we have come to having such a political leader on the national stage. He is a talented speaker and an intelligent and brave man. Nevertheless he won only a handful of delegates. Perhaps someday he may do better. Perhaps someday a Greater White Hope may appear. But it is much more likely that no leader of the type we are looking for will emerge and that is what we must prepare for. In the long run it might even be better for us. We will increase our strength at the grassroots level and avoid being dependent on an individual, as well as becoming vulnerable to the abuses of a tyrant.

The appropriate attitude is not to await the arrival of a savior but to create our own personal revolution. This can be done in a number of ways, both legal and constructive. Louis Beam hit upon the concept of leaderless resistance. It is time to use our imagination to expand that thought to encompass a universe of activity. Each of us will then be in our own personal liberated zone and be a building block of our collective freedom. Meanwhile here are some

SUGGESTED RULES OF CONDUCT:

1. *Cut yourself off from the garbage. Tune out network television, Hollywood and spectator sports. The first two are pure poison; the third a waste of our masculinity.*
2. *Get married and have children. Raise them free of the idiot box. Homeschool them if possible.*
3. *Subscribe to Majority publications and donate money on a regular basis.*

4. *Pass the word. Hand out books and pro-Majority publications to your friends and relatives. Write an intelligent letter to your local newspaper. Attend movement meetings.*

5. *Become a good example. Dress nicely and take care of your appearance. Do the same for your property.*

6. *Disassociate yourself from the system. Joining the military, the FBI, the state or a big-city police force is no longer practical for Majority members.*

7. *Liberate yourself economically. Get rid of those usurious credit cards.*

8. *Learn how to work for yourself. When you are downsized or retired, the skills of a guerrilla capitalist will be invaluable.*

9. *Have fun. It may be a long time before the government collapses. Until then we will probably see a long, grim decline. Keep up your spirits. Enjoy life's simple pleasures. Don't let the bad guys kill your sense of humor.*

10. *Educate yourself. Read everything you can. Our problems are deep and long-standing. Comprehending them is the first step in constructive learning.*

11. *Become involved. The next time Pat Buchanan or someone like him runs, join the campaign. Learn the political process. Make contacts.*

12. *Be patient. The work of rebuilding any society is tedious. Militias are dramatic and macho, but they are meaningless posturing so long as we remain skewed by hostile demographic, cultural and political vectors.*

13. *Never, ever give up. The power of our enemies is based on lies. Sooner or later facts will prevail. We can only be defeated by admitting defeat and giving up. It is all a matter of will.*

144

Stalin's Jews

Arkady Vaksberg's book, *Stalin Against the Jews*, holds a lot more valuable info than most Instaurationists think. An important corollary to Holocaustomania is the Jews' claim that they had little to do with the running of "the evil empire" and were mostly its victims. Vaksberg's opus thoroughly undercuts that claim. Among the author's revelations is that Stalin's every serious rival for power after Lenin's death was Jewish; that for 25 years, both socially and professionally, he surrounded himself with Jews; that the people he appointed as dictators of his postwar satellites were all Jewish; that of 12 major complexes in the Gulag Archipelago, 11 were run by Jewish commissars. Vaksberg says that these "monsters" were "rightly hated and despised by their millions of slaves." He also demonstrates that while many Jews fell victim to the system, their executioners were often other Jews, whom Stalin used to do his dirty work.

P.J.L.

Starvation, the Great Killer

Zip 757's comments (July 1997, p.3) support my own conclusions on starvation as the main cause of death in the

WWII German concentration camps. As the situation for German minorities became more grim, many Jews were indeed packed off to camps. Although their fate has been drummed into us for decades by the American media, I found out when living in Germany after the war, that many civilians, German and non-German, who were forced to work in factories, lost their lives in the Anglo-American saturation bombings. Even in 1950, bodies were still being recovered from the rubble in Munich.

When the British blockade became more severe during the war, all sorts of foods, especially meat, became scarce in Central Europe. Soldiers at the front could expect (but did not always get) the best diet while the inmates of the concentration camps got the worst. When the war ended, American soldiers verified the acute starvation in the civilian camps. Little was mentioned in the American media about the starvation of the German people themselves who had been living largely on *Kartoffeln und Nichts* (potatoes and nothing).

065

Correction

In "Talking Numbers" (May, 1997) when mentioning the plight of Ferenc Koreh, Instauration erred when it said the Office of Special Investigations had succeeded in deporting him. Koreh was scheduled to be deported and had been told by people in Hungary that he should come "home." It was promised that he and his wife would be taken care of. Koreh, however, declared that he was an American, had raised his children in America and wanted to remain in America.

The O.S.I.'s persecution was delayed by Koreh's health, which his doctor said would be endangered by a long trip back to Hungary. U.S. government doctors, much to the O.S.I.'s chagrin, concurred. In April, Koreh passed away and was buried quietly in New Jersey. In death there was victory.

110

Another Correction

Instauration (July 1997, p. 24) stated mistakenly that the Yankees have a black manager. The Colorado Rockies and the San Francisco Giants have a black manager, but not the Yankees. The problem of sports reporting in Instauration is that the editor relies totally on correspondents since he himself is vehemently opposed to all professional sports, especially the kind that pays \$5 million a year to some freakish giraffe type, while space scientists, genetic engineers and dedicated hardworking teachers often have to scrimp by on modest salaries. The editor believes in the types of sports in which every physically capable person, male or female, participates not watches. Sports should exercise the whole body, not just the rear end. The winners of the original Olympic Games were awarded a laurel wreath, not a \$40-million contract. They were also honored by poems, a few of which have come down to us as masterpieces.



Groveling Oration

Clinton let the public in on his racial biases in his commencement speech at the University of California (San Diego). He patiently, even proudly, described how his people, the Majority, would be a minority in 2050, which he thought would be a good thing. The President of a country he promised to protect, thinks it's a "good thing" that his own race is being phased out of power. Talk about racial re-negadism!

Clinton, however, should not take all the blame for his scalawagish speech. He has a stable of six speechwriters headed by Michael Waldman, a onetime Democratic lobbyist who, with his wife, Elizabeth Fine, a Justice Dept. lawyer, are members of Washington's Adas Israel Congregation. Their two children attend the Congregation's nursery school. Waldman said the President, "will only read something that reflects his views," which may account for his bigoted, one-eyed, antiwhite approach to race.

Stacked Board

Clinton's manic obsession for minorities reached a new high with the appointment of his seven-member Advisory Board on race. Three whites, two blacks, one Hispanic and one Korean filled the slots. The Board was chaired by John Hope Franklin, a doddering 82-year-old black historian noted for slandering Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas. Other Board members: two former state governors, William Winter (MS), a Southern sellout, and Thomas Kean (NJ), who acts like a Republican but has a Democratic soul; Linda Chavez-Thompson, Executive Vice President of the AFL-CIO; Negrophile Robert Thomas, President and CEO of Nissan Motor Corp. USA; Asian Angelo Oh, a Los Angeles shyster and Lani Guinier clone, who wants to move masses of minorities into Majority turf; Suzan Johnson Cook, a black preacher from the Bronx. Consultant to the Board is Harvard Law Professor Christopher Edley, Clinton's favorite affirmative action braintrustee. Executive Secretary is Judith Winston, presumably a black since she has a degree from Howard University, as does her husband. When Winston was General Counsel of the Dept. of Education, she drew up a federal speech code intended to limit objective talk about race in college classrooms and campuses. Any transgression would be subject to a thorough-

going federal investigation.

The Advisory Board ran into some internal friction almost before its first meeting. One faction wants to restrict the study to black and white racial relations. Another faction wants to include all the unassimilable minorities—Indians, Hispanics and Asians, as well as blacks.

In the White House Clinton spends a great deal of his time working with his Jewish Cabinet members and agency heads. He spends his summer vacations in the homes of Jewish moneymen: Last year New Republic publisher Martin Peretz; James Wolfensohn, World Bank president, the year before. This year the Prez and family moved into the opulent Martha Vineyard's estate of Robert Friedman, a loaded rich real estate speculator.

Jew Replaces Greek

Rahm Emanuel, 37, is the Jewish pol who has replaced Greek George Stephanopoulos, as Senior Advisor to the President for Policy and Strategy. His office is almost next door to the Oval Office. Although the New Republic (in a burst of aesthetic networking) calls him "handsome," he is immensely hirsute and somewhat a tad Middle Easternish—no Paul Newman. In addition to his twice weekly private ballet lessons and frenetic politicking, he confesses what he really cares about in life is "Anything to do with Israel." In other words he qualifies as a typical member of Clinton's inner circle. For many years Rahm, whose father was born in Palestine, was an official double loyalist. He left the U.S. to join the Israeli army in 1991 during the Gulf War. Today he is in the White House running as much of the government as he can. People talk about Israel becoming the 51st state. What is really happening is that with Jewish racists like Rahm infesting the nation's capital the U.S. is becoming Israel's second state.

Clinton's Roving Hands

Paula Jones is only one of a herd of women who have had sexual run-ins with the White House Lothario. The latest recipient of Bill's libido to emerge, a willing one apparently, is Kathleen Willey. She has now been served with a subpoena on the grounds that another White House worker saw her emerge from a 1993 meeting with Clinton with a red face, hair in disarray and smeared lipstick. Jones's

lawyers hope to get her to answer questions about what went on in that tête-à-tête. If something did, it would prove once again that smooching is Clinton's standard operating procedure with women. In 1993 Mrs. Willey's husband, an attorney, was charged with embezzling \$274,495 from a client. When the news broke, he promised to repay the money in two weeks. Eleven days later he was dead. Police listed the death as a suicide (shades of Vincent Foster!). Curiously his wife's heavy-breathing meeting with Clinton took place a day before her husband's body was discovered (shades of the Borgias!). Afterward, Clinton saw to it that Mrs. Willey was given employment in and out of the White House, along with some deluxe trips at government expense to Indonesia and Copenhagen.

Free to Cheat

In 1975 Ronald Cohen of Dallas operated a pyramid scheme that fleeced 18 investors of \$178,000. In 1979 he dreamed up a stock fraud that cost investors \$20 million. In 1980 federal and state agents charged him with money laundering, theft and securities violations. He was ultimately sentenced to 11 years but only served 4½. In 1989 he was back behind bars for violating his parole by organizing another investment scam. Between April 1991 and August 1993 he cheated 50 Dallas clients out of \$8 million in a stock scam.

The question is, why is such a recidivist swindler allowed to spend so much time out of jail, when everyone, including himself, knew that he would use every minute of his serendipitous freedom to invent more ways of cheating people? Creatures like Cohen are often as dangerous to society as violent criminals. They create violence by embittering, enraging and infuriating people who for understandable reasons are sometimes driven to take the law into their own hands.

No Welcome Mat for Iraqis

An Iraqi refugee family in a San Francisco public housing project was hounded relentlessly by Negro neighbors. Burglars stole the kidney dialysis machine vital for the health of the two children, an asthma breathing apparatus, a TV, VCR, \$3,000 and anything else of value they could lay their hands on. Windows in the Iraqis' apartment were broken by BB-gun pellets and rocks. Their car was repeatedly vandalized. Several Vietnamese families in the area have been similarly treated. The old "Negroes aren't welcome" sign has been changed by Negroes themselves to "Only Negroes are welcome."



No to Holocaust Primers

Holocaust literature for children is burgeoning. Even the remotest boondocks library often has Holocaust books for children. Among the available titles: *When Hitler Stole Pink Rabbit*, *Four Perfect Pebbles: A Holocaust Story*, *The Grey Striped Shirt: How Grandma and Grandpa Survived the Holocaust* and *Behind the Bedroom Wall*. The books are all the more insidious because they are the kinds of "chase/hideout" stories kids go for in a big way.

Why not have equally appealing Majority books for children? How about a story of a little Polish girl whose landowning grandparents are beaten by Jewish collaborationist pinkos and sent to a Siberian Gulag? Or a tale of a little Bavarian boy whose family became homeless when a Jewish banker showed up to take the farm back because a mortgage payment was overdue? Or a bio of a glamor-craving Russian teen lured away from her home with the promise of a modeling career and ending up in a Tel Aviv house of ill repute? Or a sad story of a home-schooling American family forced by a Jewish social welfare agency to send its children to a junglified public school?

Singing a Different Tune

Poor Tipper Gore! Not so long ago she attacked the record industry for its salacious lyrics and gangsta rap. Now that she is First Lady in Waiting, among her other distasteful duties she has to host homosexual fundraisers in the Vice Presidential mansion. Ironically the mother and brother of Andrew Schiff, Tipper's daughter Karenna's new Jewish husband, run Touchstone Records, a Time Warner company, which recently released a video called *Ruff Ride*. The words are so filthy that even MTV won't run it.

Bolshevik Museum

With precious little of the notoriety and publicity lavished on the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum, the Victims of Communism Museum will soon take its place among Washington's political shrines. Since the location of the new museum has not yet been fixed, I modestly propose that it be built adjacent to and perhaps even attached to the Holocaust Museum. Visitors can then trace the origins, tenets and practices of communism from 1917 to its tenuous demise in Europe in 1989. Pictures of the founders, advocates,

enforcers, NKVD and KGB officials, Gulag operators and other apparatchiks of communism, not just in the former Soviet Union, should be prominently displayed. The leaders of the Comintern should also be dramatically portrayed, with ethnic origins clearly spelled out.

After passing through the Victims of Communism Museum, and with the exhibits and images of Communist crimes and criminals firmly in mind, visitors would move over to the Holocaust Museum and examine its photos and exhibits. I am certain they would come to a clearer understanding of the causes of both anti-communism and anti-Semitism.

225

Kosher Food Boom

Mary Anne Jackson, an Irish Catholic who is a distant cousin of Senator Fat Face, was the first food producer to have her products certified both kosher and halal (the Islamic dietary seal of approval). She is the supplier of 130,000 kosher meals a year to the Pentagon, almost exclusively to reservists (only a minuscule number of observant Jews are in the regular military). Gentiles, for a variety of reasons, are getting involved in a market that is growing at the rate of up to 20% a year. "Non-Jews think that kosher represents a higher quality, either metaphysical or dietary," states Rabbi Menachem Gennack of the Orthodox Union's koshering division. What he is also saying is that more and more Gentiles think the food is healthier or in some way divinely sanctioned if it is stamped "kosher." Spread the word: it is not. Whenever possible Majority members should buy food that is not kosher. They would not be buying dirty food or meat from animals that have had their throats slit and bled to death slowly instead of being knocked out by electrodes attached to their heads. What "kosher" really means is that rabbis are getting a cut of the profits. Do they really need any more of our money?

Sex Change Studies for Kids

After some first graders in Brookline (MA) came home saying they had been taught that a woman can become a man, several parents called school officials and wanted to know what gives. They were informed that one little student had two lesbian "mommies." Since one mommy was going to have a sex change operation, the school guidance councilor seized the oc-

casion to launch into some intimate and perverse details. The kids were told that one mommy "would soon be his 'daddy.'" When parents asked why they were not informed of the school's decision to explain the mechanics of a sex change operation to six- and seven-year-olds, the councilors resorted to doubletalk about wanting the class to have one "consistent message."

Apology Why and to Whom?

The 12 white politicians calling for a Congressional apology for slavery seem to have zero knowledge about the origins of the Peculiar Institution. It is a documented fact that the first blacks, 20 in all, came as indentured servants to work for English colonists at Jamestown. Among them was one Anthony Johnson. After working off his indenture, he was not only a free man, but a landowner with a prosperous plantation. Although Johnson's gentrification in itself was an historical step forward in black history, he became even more famous as the first black man in the colonies to effect a landmark decision in a court of law. Not a member of the Bar, Johnson established in a Virginia court in 1654 a radical new concept in the laws relating to master and slave—lifetime indenture. The claimant was John Casor, a black servant indentured to Johnson. By his successful assertion that he had a claim to the service of Casor for the remainder of Casor's life, Johnson became America's first authentic slave holder and the father of American slavery. Indenture flourished among blacks as well as whites. The 1830 national census counted 3,775 black slave owners who between them owned 12,760 slaves. Lincoln's Emancipation Proclamation was as devastating to these black slave owners as it was to white Southerners, 1% of whom owned slaves. (See American Heritage, Feb.-Mar. 1997)

087

Magic Kingdom News

This year Gay and Lesbian Day at Disney World swelled to three days and was attended by 60,000 queers. Signs at the park pointed the way to attractions such as "Lesbo-a-go-go" and "Muscle Beach."

The Southern Baptist boycott is making some headway, despite the cloying anti-boycott tendentiousness of the media. The Concerned Women of America, 500,000 strong, have joined the boycott after seeing Pocahontas clad in what amounts to little more than a bikini and having second thoughts about the Disney



company's all-out massaging of its queer customers. Some Baptists think, rightly, that Disney World is turning into Queer World.

To add insult to injury, Disney is busy remaking the old Rodgers & Hammerstein musical, *Cinderella*, to be aired on ABC November 2. It is a multiracial production with "stars" like Whoopi Goldberg, Whitney Houston and others of similar hue. The original version starred Julie Andrews when it opened in 1952. As is the custom in this censorious age, remakes of any pre-affirmative action entertainment must be carefully and religiously de-Nordicized. Skin color now rules the day. As for female characters, their attire becomes more politically correct as it becomes more diaphanous.

Analphabetism at Work

In a recent Wall St. Journal article, in which he tried to deflate the ethics charges against Gingrich, Jack Kemp wrote, "As Lady Macbeth said to her guilt-stricken husband, 'Me thinks thou doth protest too much.'" Per usual the aging ex-quarterback and eternal supply-sider got it all wrong. Queen Gertrude, not Lady Macbeth, spoke the words, not to her husband but to son Hamlet.

Iconoclasm at Work

Jewish culture vultures continue to peck away at America's greatest literary works. According to Professor Shelley Fisher Fishkin of the University of Texas, Mark Twain's Huck Finn was part black. On another front a new Simon & Schuster book, *Doo-dah!*, a biography of Stephen Foster, indulges in mucho *Schadenfreude* as it recounts how the great Majority songwriter died a penniless alcoholic bum on New York's Bowery at age 37.

Homo Kills Homo

The life and death of Gianni Versace can best be described as a "fairy tale." His dresses were often so obscene that they appeared to have been designed for transvestites, yet the *haut monde* paid a fortune for them. The murderer, Andrew Cunanan, of Filipino descent, managed to work his way up into the inner circles of the homo elite, then turned against the Third Sex, shooting and killing an indeterminate number of them. As is obvious by now, homos and other assorted queers comprise a special multiracial minority

that slavishly votes and lobbies for the Democratic Party. Clinton has returned the favor by naming scores of them to middle-level federal jobs.

A SWAT team and hordes of police surrounded the houseboat where Cunanan was hiding, not knowing he had already committed suicide before the 911 call. A police bullhorn ordered the corpse to drop its weapon and come out with hands up. Later five or six canisters of tear gas were lobbed into the boat. The stand-off lasted four hours. When the lawmen finally broke in, they didn't find the body. It took a second sweep to discover the remains of the fruit turned vegetable.

Shady Black Reverend

Deborah Lyons, wife of Rev. Henry J. Lyons, head of the National Baptist Convention USA (8.5 million members), decided her husband was philandering. To teach him a lesson she set some small fires in the \$700,000 waterfront home in Tampa Bay that her husband shared with a woman, Bernice Edwards, who has a criminal record and who has been living it up in Florida on Lyons' and the National Baptist Convention's money. Ms. Edwards, incidentally, has a 1997 Rolls and a 1997 overpriced, \$135,000 Mercedes at her disposal. Mrs. Lyons lives in a \$285,000 house and has only a common garden variety Mercedes. After posting a \$10,000 bond, Mrs. Lyons was released and sent home. She now says it was all the result of a misunderstanding. Rev. Lyons, a black Elmer Gantry, insists that he is being slandered by white racists.

Copycat Pilgrimage

Mimicking the grand tour of Robert Kennedy in the poorest parts of the South 30 years ago, Senator Paul Wellstone (J-MN), accompanied by a retinue of reporters, made a highly publicized visit to Mississippi Delta blacks. Some insiders predict the showboat pol is getting ready to run as a dark horse Democratic presidential candidate in 2000.

U.S.S. Liberty Disremembered

The 30th anniversary of Israel's dastardly attack on the *U.S.S. Liberty* came and went with scarcely a peep from the nation's liberal overseers. Clinton dutifully kept his mouth tightly shut about this biggest nonevent in American history. The 25th anniversary of Watergate, on the other hand, was commemorated with

all the solemnity, effeteness and self-congratulation that Demos have refined to a high-tech art. Conveniently sidelined was Whitewatergate, Filegate, Travelgate, Paula Jones, monstrous campaign fund irregularities. All we hear are such time-worn homilies as, "Let's hope the President learns from this experience and continues to grow". . . "It's time to put this behind us and allow our President to get on with the business of running the country."

Murderous Robbers

Their work done, three employees of a Starbucks Coffee House in Washington (DC) prepared to go home. As they were leaving, two black thugs showed up and shot and killed the trio. The criminals were obeying the increasingly popular "street law" that dead witnesses can't talk. In more civilized days robbers just robbed. Today many of them, most of them blacks, rob then kill. All too often the motive is not only robbery but an act of postponed revenge, such as getting even with whitey for slavery.

Once upon a time when an employee was fired he looked for another job. Today he may murder the boss who let him go, along with anyone who happens to be within the range of his gun. The victims in the Starbucks killing were two whites and a black. One white was a bright young college graduate who was working her way up the Starbucks job ladder to be a manager.

782

Forgetful Father

Instead of taking his 13-month-old daughter, Brianna, to a day care center on the way to his job, a daily ritual, Darren Rodrigues of Turlock (CA), "forgot" about his toddler passenger and left her strapped in her child safety seat when he parked his car and went to work. It didn't take long for the auto's inside temperature to rise to nearly 150°. When father returned to his car in the afternoon, Brianna was dead.

So They Say

Robert Mitchum died July 1 from emphysema and lung cancer at his California home. He was 79. Mitchum had many good lines in his more than 120 films. But his best was his own and was uttered during an interview about the miniseries, *The Winds of War*, in which he had a starring role. When a reporter mentioned that six million Jews were exterminated in the Holocaust, Mitchum, looking out through those bedroom eyes, muttered, "So the Jews say."



At a symposium held at Fisk University a few months ago, rapper **Chuck D** offered his solution to the race problem: "We got to kill some people." A similar loudmouth is Jewish **Mindy Yan Miller**, who was interviewed in the April issue of the *New Art Examiner*. Her work, *Template*, features the phrase, "I killed Jesus," spelled out with pinpricks.

#

As expected, **Michael Kennedy**, son of the late Bobby, will not be charged with statutory rape for having slept with his 14-year-old babysitter. The girl, now a college student, will not press charges. If she did and Kennedy was found guilty, he could be jailed for life.

#

Martin D. Ginsburg, husband of Supreme Court Justice **Ruth Bader Ginsburg**, says his wife may have violated a federal law not just once but 21 times by not recusing herself in cases that involved companies in which he owned stock. Mrs. Ginsburg, as might be surmised, is the richest Supreme Court Justice and is already being touted as the next Chief Justice when Rehnquist retires or dies.

#

In April federal agents raided the home and safe deposit box of former Louisiana Governor **Edwin Edwards** and found, among other embarrassing items, \$470,000 in cash. The smooth-tongued, silver-haired politician said much of it came from gambling winnings. Louisianans take all this in ho-hum stride. It's standard operating procedure for the state's top pols. When Earl Long was governor, he kept saying that he wanted to be buried in Louisiana so he could stay active in politics. Back in 1991, when Edwards ran for governor against David Duke, bumper stickers unabashedly proclaimed, "Vote for the Crook—It's Important."

#

To keep the Hispanic-black rift rifting, **four Dallas Negroes**, fascinated by the gold wheel rims of a passing Mustang, pulled up beside it and shot the driver, Lionell Rodriguez, dead and wounded two of his companions. Leaving the dead and wounded stretched out beside the road, the blacks raced away in both cars. Two hours later the two vehicles were found on fire. The gold wheel rims were missing from the charred Mustang.

#

Weird racial classifications developed by the federal government have defined **Mostafa Hefny**, a black Egyptian, as a "white" because he comes from Egypt,

even though this flies in the face of Afrocentrists who believe that ancient Egyptian civilization was a purely black affair. Since he knows blacks can squeeze more out of the welfare system than whites, Hefny, who really is black, wants to be reclassified as a Negro. He claims his current racial category has cost him dearly by keeping him from getting jobs, grants and scholarships.

#

He charged \$100 to scatter the ashes of the dead from an airplane. The difficulty was that **Allan Vieira**, the so-called pilot, had a long-expired license and a dusty, inoperable single-engine Cessna. Instead of taking to the airways, he took boxes of ashes to a crumbling warehouse in Discovery Bay (CA), where police found the remains of at least 3,000 people. Before police could grab Vieira he dropped out of sight.

#

Everybody stood around and watched **Leonel Campbell**, a black, repeatedly stab his white wife, Christine, in an Eatontown (NJ) parking lot. Michael Macalusa, the only white with some gumption, tackled the knife-wielder in time to save Christine's life. Too bad Mike wasn't around the night **O.J.** was using his knife.

#

Tacoma (WA) police have arrested a **retarded man** for setting a fire that caused \$300,000 in damages to a house he shared with four other adults. In describing the arrest, the Seattle Post-Intelligencer reporter eschewed the word "retarded" and substituted "developmentally disabled."

#

At issue in the **Bill Cosby** paternity case is the racial and social status of the mother of the 22-year-old convicted extortionist, Autumn Jackson, who tried to shake down her alleged father for \$40 million. **Shawn Upshaw**, the mother, is at least 90% white; her sister, Autumn's aunt, as shown in recent issue of *People* magazine, is certifiably white. A black ex-convict, Jerald Jackson, claims to be the real father. Shawn, he says, was a prostitute at the time he met her. So we have the most respected black entertainer, the apostle of family values, insulting his audience by having indulged in anti-family behavior with a quasi-white woman. It is okay for showbiz blacks to chase after high yellows and whites, especially bimbos, but not The Cos.

#

Veteran civil rights brawler **Hosea Williams** just can't stay away from the bottle.

After his last altercation with the Georgia Highway Patrol, he lost his driver's license for five years and was fined \$250. A year ago Hosea added to his mountainous pile of tickets by being arrested for Driving While Intoxicated.

#

Attorney General **Janet Reno**, the dykish lady of racial preferences, recently composed a few directives to ensure that the number of whites executed in federal prisons equals or exceeds the number of executed blacks. It was as inevitable as death and taxes that affirmative action quotas would move into the realm of capital punishment.

#

Roger Clinton, the jailbird Clinton, has been paying a Tennessee woman \$500 a month to support his six-year-old illegitimate daughter, the excrescence of a brief 1990 fling. The mother wants an additional \$600 a month to raise Roger's progeny, plus \$78,000 in back child support.

#

Dennis Rodman, the most repulsive of the giraffish black hoopsters, doesn't like Mormons whom he refers to with the adjectival "f" word. No fallout, no media outrage, no international furor. Dennis all but admits he wouldn't apply the word to Jews or fellow blacks.

#

Because a 13-year-old girl, Katrina Yeaw, was not allowed to join the Boy Scouts, feverish lawyer **Gloria Allred** is suing the organization for practicing "gender apartheid." Another neurotic Jewish showboat, **David Schlessinger**, is suing a restaurant in Geneva (WI) because he was served a "medium-well" steak that didn't suit his taste buds. The litigation has now reached the point where Schlessinger is asking the Supreme Court to review the matter.

#

When **Ed Koch** called Pat Buchanan a "soulmate of Hitler," as he did in his May 30 N.Y. Post column, reverse McCarthyism was in full swing. Jews can say almost anything about non-Jews, but not vice versa. Non-Jews have to be very careful when speaking about Jews. There is always the possibility that what they say could be construed as anti-Semitism. Fact is, Majority members are not allowed to hit back as hard as they are hit. Not a good position to be in if you want to win a debate or discombobulate your opponent.

#

Dwayne Malone, 22, an ex-sheriff's deputy, was indicted by a Sumner County (TN) grand jury for stealing money from the wallets of Hispanics on the pretense of checking their I.D.s. No, Malone is not a good ol' boy; he's a good ol' Negro.

Talking Numbers

1 .83 21 2 100.013 .03% -43 31425 2

In 1992, U.S. blacks killed 22 times more whites than whites killed blacks. The average black is about 25 times more likely to have victimized a white than the average white is to have victimized a black. . . More than 97% of white crime is committed against whites, while one-half to two-thirds of black crime is committed against whites. . . A black is about 11 times more likely to have raped a white woman than a white is to have raped a black woman. . . The big jump in black crime occurred between 1966-72 immediately after the passage of major civil rights legislation. (Michael Levin, *Why Race Matters*, Praeger, 1997, pp. 293-95.)

A Justice Dept. report, *Sex Offenses and Offenders*, released in February 1997, estimates that 260,300 rapes and attempted rapes were committed on victims 12 years of age and above in 1995.

Whites comprised 67.8% of rape victims in 1995. Whites were perpetrators of 52.2% of rapes. Blacks were responsible for 43.7% of the rapes and were 27.6% of rape victims. "Others" (Asians, American Indians, Eskimos and Pacific Islanders) made up 4.1% of rapists and 4.6% of rape victims.

According to the above statistics, whites were victims of 176,483 rapes in 1995. Whites were responsible for 135,876 of the total 260,300 rapes. Consequently non-whites committed 40,607 rapes (176,483 minus 135,876) on whites in 1995. (The above information was sent to Instauration by Edward Kerling.)

Immigrants who arrived in the U.S. after 1970, as well as their descendants, may comprise, if current demographic trends continue, up to 90% of the 130 million people expected to be added to the U.S. population by the year 2050.

Janet Reno, the wishy-washy Attorney General, has suspended the deportation of 160,000 illegals, one more glaring example of how America's chief law enforcement officer is trying to trash the 1996 Immigration Law.

8 or 9 Kennedy family members attend daily Alcoholics Anonymous meetings.

The ACLU has billed The Citadel for \$6.7 million for legal expenses in getting Shannon Faulkner admitted to the military academy, where she spent one day before quitting. She said she was suffering from too much strain and stress. Civil

rights laws force the defendant, win or lose, to pay the legal expenses.

In the 1996 presidential election, 73% of voters were registered, but only 49% voted. In 1992, 71% of voters were registered, but only 55% voted.

From 1970 to 1990 the number of multi-racial children in the U.S. quadrupled to 2 million.

Between 1450 and 1860, 10,140,000 slaves were brought to the New World. The mortality rate fluctuated between 4% and 12%. Nevertheless various minority "scholars" have posited that 100 million to 600 million slaves died en route, although back in those days the entire population of black Africa never exceeded 100 million.

Harvard grad Steve Ballmer, Executive v.p. of Microsoft, now the second largest U.S. corporation, is one of the nation's richest Jews. At last count he was worth a cool \$7.7 billion.

400,000 foreigners collect benefits from the Social Security Administration without being required to do a day's work. (Source: American Immigration Control newsletter)

As of April 1995, 193.3 million non-Hispanic whites were living in the U.S.

1,420 churches caught fire in 1980, compared to 520 in 1994. Yet the public has been led to believe that the number of such fires has been increasing rather than diminishing.

The Walcotts, a black family spread across the globe, had a reunion in Bowie (MD) in July. Attending were the late couple's 12 children, 47 grandchildren and more than 50 great-grandchildren.

The Forbes list of the world's richest royals and dictators has Fidel Castro down for \$1.4 billion, which makes the Cuban despot the first Red billionaire.

Some 4,000 Red Army vets living in the New York area are demanding financial aid from the Veterans Administration.

The present Jewish population of the U.S. is 5.7 million; Israel's Jewish population is 4.6 million. In 2030, if current demographic trends persist, the Jewish

population in Israel is expected to double that of the U.S. Jewish population.

The civil rights division of the Justice Dept. employs 500 lawyers, paralegals and lesser legal lights.

Worldwide 1.64 million have come down with AIDS, a number which is increasing nearly 20% a year. The World Health Organization claims the real figure is only 20% of the actual number. If this is true, then the actual AIDS count was 8.2 million at the end of 1996.

Kofi Annan, the black head of the UN, draws down \$300,000 a year in salary. When he retires after serving 5 years he will relish a \$90,000-a-year pension.

The cost of shepherding Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary into NATO may run as high as \$122.4 billion, of which Germany and the U.S. will pay the lion's share.

In the last 17 years more than 4,000 active duty members of the armed forces committed suicide.

Blacks now comprise 12.8% of the U.S. population, up from 12.3% in 1990. They were nearly 20% of the population in 1790.

In 1995 there were 9 murders per 100,000 people in the U.S.; 4.7 in Germany; 2.7 in Britain; 1 in Japan.

3 of the 4 top magazines in terms of ads and circulation revenue—People, Sports Illustrated and Time—belong to the Time Warner (Jewish) agglomerate. Still leading the pack is Reader's Digest (non-Jewish) which takes in over \$1 billion a year.

The average U.S. Jew is 39 years old; the average Israeli 29. The average Jewish mother in the U.S. has 1.6 children; in Israel 2.6. The intermarriage rate for Jews in the U.S. is over 52%; in Israel it is practically nil.

Labor costs in Vietnam for Nike shoes that retail for up to \$160 in the U.S. are less than \$1.50 a pair. The CEO of Nike, Phil Knight, is said to be worth more than \$3 billion.

Tell this to our all-for-Israel politicians: A poll conducted by Zogby International found 15.1% of Americans lean to Israel; 2.8% to Palestinians; 55.6% opt for steering a balanced middle course; 26.4% have no opinion.



Waspishly Yours

Dear Zip 420, thanks for your reaction to my sacrilegious sermon on that six-legged centipede to progress (Luther-Calvin-Rousseau) which has provided the poisoned premises of so much of what passes for sanctity in our increasingly repulsive republic. Kant said that Hume roused him from his dogmatic slumbers. You startled me out of a bout of Byronic blues.

You ask if I have forgotten what the "last letter in WASP stands for?" I have not. In fact, I have been practicing the power of protestation all my (at least) adult life. Not only do I know what Protestant means; I also know what it does not.

WASP doesn't stand for perfect, pretending or puerile. Therefore, I am not pretending to be perfect or puerile. Neither should you. I prefer the attitude of Oliver Cromwell, the great Protestant tyrant. To the painter who was about to execute (one of Cromwell's favorite verbs) his portrait, the great Puritan said: "I am not a pretender. Do not prettify. Paint me with the warts and all."

What did Henry VIII mean by the word "protestant?" In his youth he was proud of his "shapely leg" and his ability to boogie. He was also a middling versifier, musician and budding theologian. Before he gave the Pope the boot, he booted up his quill and penned a defense of the Roman Church. He was proud to claim from the Pope of Rome the title "Defender of the Faith" for a treatise he had written against Martin Luther (which must present a moho dilemma for those who would defend, willy-nilly, the "P" in WASP. Are you listening, dear 420?

But that was before Henry (after more than 20 years of conjugal trying) shrewdly deduced that his Spanish wife, Catherine of Aragon (his dead brother's widow) was unlikely to present him with a barrel-chested boychick. So Henry, the hopeful hypocrite, went head-hunting for a wife fertile enough to present him with meaningful male progeny. Since the Pope (for once faithful to holy writ and canon law) refused Henry's desire for a divorce because boy-barren Catherine had already provided a meaningless heir named Mary, His Majesty became a Protestant and made the "P" in WASP stand for pique instead of principle.

In the commotion Henry became head of the Church of England, i.e., Anglican, i.e., Episcopalian, i.e., a pale copy of the capering pomp of popery to this very day. (Henry was foolish. It would have been much simpler, more politically correct and would have saved the world a great deal of killing, mayhem and chaos, if he had just asked the Kennedys how to get an annulment.)

Nevermind the rest of the sordid story. In short, Henry ended up grotesque and gross, with a bloated body brimming with suppurating sores. Catherine Parr, his sixth and last wife, was devoted to him, but she functioned chiefly as a nurse, changing the bandages on hubby's rotting epidermis. His only son, Edward VI, was dead at 16, after barely surviving the rule of regency and court intrigue. So prodigal King Henry was finally defeated in nearly all of his boudoir endeavors. Ironically the best thing he ever did for England was to produce Elizabeth I (out of beheaded Anne Boleyn). She is the best monarch England ever had, certainly better than her head-hunting daddy. Even if he did wrest England from the Church of Rome and "expropriate" church property for his own treasury, even if he did hound countless Catholics to their graves, Henry helped to make England a maritime power nonpareil. He also helped wrestle England into the Renaissance, an isolated little island stuck out on the periphery of where the real action was—Italy. After Henry came not the de-

lude but the splendid tsunami called Shakespeare.

There's no way to minimize Martin Luther's influence on history. His translations of the Bible literally "made" the German language in the same fashion that Dante "made" Italian. (Milton also chose to write *Paradise Lost* in English rather than Latin, although his linguistic influence was not so great as Luther's. English was already "stabilized" to a greater degree than the German of Luther's or the Italian of Dante's day.)

As a student, Milton, the great Puritan, was ridiculed as the "lady of Christ's College." Luther, by contemporary accounts, was an earthy, vulgar peasant type. What David Brinkley (believing his mike was closed) might call a bore. Admittedly, Martin was a thumpingly mediocre theologian. But he was also a cunning politician and a superb orator.

Did Luther exert tremendous influence? No doubt. But what's "sin-obsessed psychotic" got to do with that? His obsession with guilt (especially sexual guilt) may well have been the quantum mechanics of Europe's leap into modernity.



Luther, a Protestant in spite of himself

Dear Zip 420, before you plaster-saint Luther into your private pantheon, remember that he was an Augustinian monk (a turncoat, after all) who believed in predestination. Remember also that he never intended to become a "Protestant." He plotted, panted and prayed to reform the church of Rome, not to replace it. The papacy was simply too arrogant, hidebound and stupid to co-opt him. Luther crossed his psychic Rubicon by breaking his vow of celibacy and reciting his vows of marriage to a banal little ex-nun named Katharina von Bora.

Through obsession with sexual guilt and the likelihood of eternal damnation, Luther had lathered himself into a state of psychic impotence, which was "cured" by "overthrowing" the Church of Rome Pope (the Freudian father figure) and throwing himself directly on the mercy of the Father Almighty (salvation comes through "faith alone" and predestination, and "not my will but Thine be done, oh Lord"—an abdication of responsibili-



ty, where one's only obligation is to "believe," to have faith, all evidence of reason or logic to the contrary notwithstanding. So once all decisions were out of Luther's hands and the Almighty was alone responsible for one's fate, the snows of northern Germany suddenly became as tropical as Bora-Bora and Luther had no trouble boring the boring Katharina von Bora. By denying the hierarchy of the Church, by translating the Bible and making it accessible to all, Luther contributed to "democracy," despite the fact he was himself a ranting tyrant who hated democracy and those whom he claimed encouraged it (like John Knox in Scotland). But let's listen to the great man himself, working himself into a lather in the preface to his complete works:

For however irreproachably I lived as a monk, I felt myself in the presence of God to be a sinner with a most unquiet conscience, nor could I believe that I pleased him with my satisfactions. I did not love, indeed I hated this just God, if not with open blasphemy, at least with huge murmuring, for I was indignant against him, saying, "as if it were really not enough for God that miserable sinners should be eternally damned, lost through original sin, and oppressed with all kinds of calamities through the law of the ten commandments, but God must add sorrow on sorrow, and even by the gospel bring his wrath to bear." Thus I raged with a fierce and most agitated conscience. . . .

Nobody is all bad. Luther was a passionate and unapologetic anti-Semite. So much so that current politically correct Lutherans have gone out of their way to apologize. (I can hear the outraged "young saint" spluttering and pounding the pulpit even now, protesting the apology. *Gott in Himmel!* Who dares to apologize for me? What's right is right! Never mind your multicultural claptrap which is nothing but a trap full of clap!) No, nobody is all bad.

If you want to credit Luther with liberating Europe, no need to roam all the way to Rome. There were greater enemies much closer to his home. Take the spineless Swiss bankers who in more recent times have capitulated to the show-me-the-money clout of the current Holocaust claimants, 50 years after the event. (I am perplexed. If German Jews were so discriminated against, how did they acquire all the loot—hundreds of millions in diamonds, gold and gelt, not to mention paintings, which they allegedly stashed in Switzerland? How many bourgeois German Gentiles would have had millions to squirrel away in Switzerland before they cashed it all into their frozen graves before Stalingrad? How many "over-privileged" Caucasians in this country would have the millions now to squirrel away in Switzerland, before liberals finish giving away the store to affirmative action, midnight basketball and AIDS to Israel?)

Listen Zip 420, Lincoln was a manic depressive and probably an atheist, in spite of his canonization. Jefferson owned slaves (and maybe worse). Franklin loved France because he was a lecher and fell in love with the licentiousness of the French upper-class. Truman was a moral moron and as responsible as anyone for the endless massacres in the Middle East. Roosevelt was a vainglorious hypocrite. And Washington never told a lie. If we expect our heroes to be perfect, our pantheons will be empty. If we're going to pout when we find out that they have feet of clay, that they are "sin-obsessed," we'll mule and puke forever.

What's wrong with being obsessed by sin anyway? Look what it did for Elmer Gantry and St. Paul, the real creator of Christianity. Look what sin did for Nixon and LBJ, for JFK and Marilyn Monroe. So don't knock it; be grateful for a conscience. It's when one becomes too sophisticated for a sense of sin or shame, that trouble begins. How else can one account for Clinton?

V.S. STINGER

Minority Monopoly

You'd think that Clinton would have run out of Jews and blacks to bring into his administration and Democratic Party. Better think again.

- Courtesy of Madeleine Albright the State Dept. has a new spokesman, James P. Rubin, who takes the place of Nicholas Burns, a liberal homo, who has been named U.S. Ambassador to Greece, while Stanley Roth has been named Asst. Secretary of State for East Asian and Pacific Affairs; Marc Grossman, ambassador to Turkey, to Asst. Secretary for European and Canadian Affairs; Martin Indyk, ambassador to Israel, to Asst. Secretary for Near Eastern Affairs.

- Eric Holder was confirmed Deputy Attorney General, Janet Reno's second in command, despite his possible involvement in tax fraud.

- Frank Raines, a black, is the new

head of the number-crunching Office of Management and Budget. He is in charge of confecting all the hyped projections that allow the President and Congress to falsely claim they will balance the budget in so many fictitious years.

- Janet Yellin is Clinton's choice for Chairman of the Council of Economic Advisers.

- U.S. Trade Representative is Jewess Charlene Barshavesky.

- Steven Grossman becomes Chairman of the Democratic National Committee. He flattered Clinton by calling him, "The most pro-Israel president in the history of the republic."

- Kenneth Apfel is the new Social Security commissioner.

- After many investigations that were diplomatically pigeon-holed, black Alexis Herman, an Anita Hill and Lani Guinier

type, took over as Secretary of Labor.

- The confirmation of Rodney Slater as Secretary of Transportation is still in limbo while the FBI checks his possible violations of the Hatch Act.

- Felix Rohatyn, richissimo Lazard Frères investment banker, will probably be the next U.S. Ambassador to France.

- George Tenet, head of the CIA, officially a Greek, is part-owner of a multi-million-dollar condo development in Athens, Greece, not Georgia.

- Alan Solomont will be in charge of Democratic Party fundraising.

- Clinton's Cabinet has only one WASP, scalawag Richard Riley, the Methodist Secretary of Education. (Interior Secretary Bruce Babbitt is a WASC.)

Many years ago, when the U.S. was an upstanding nation, presidents filled their Cabinets with nothing but WASPs.

As *Instauration* pointed out in its June issue, Bryant Gumbel, for 15 years the squawking head of NBC's *Today*, has quit what almost amounted to an electronic sinecure and will move to a CBS nighttime news magazine. Co-host of a new CBS Saturday morning news show will be Susan Molinari, a one-time up-and-coming congresswoman from New York. Scenting the perfume of true power, Molinari knows that a low-level TV personality with pizzazz wields much more influence than all but a few super-establishmentarians.

Though his bosses would pretend to be horrified at the mere thought, Gumbel owes his job primarily to the television industry's obligatory skin-color quotas. When he announced he was leaving his longtime perch, some NBC programmers feared that the ratings of *Today* would collapse. Instead, after a new anchor, a Jewish gentleman, took over, they shot up 6%, which indicates that Gumbel's "blackness" may have reduced rather than increased *Today's* popularity.

We should be careful about believing the figures mentioned for Gumbel's new contract (\$25 million for five years). In TV land, as in movieland, there are people called "steamers," whose job it is to add a zero to any number before it appears in a press release. Take away a steamer's padding and Gumbel may only be getting \$2.5 million. *Se non è vero, è ben trovato*.

A worthier Negro host than Gumbel, though on radio not TV, is Larry Elder, a Los Angeles-based black who is dead set against affirmative action and all for California's Prop 209, which forbids racial preferences in hiring for state jobs. For his pains, Elder has been denounced as an Uncle Tom and targeted with billboard death threats. After a boycott had been launched against him, some of his sponsors, who could not take the heat, turned tail and ran. Elder's greatest sin: pronouncing O.J. guilty of double murder. Although he has a lot of friends, Elder has more enemies. It will be interesting to see how much longer he will last. Violating the black party line, the black racist line, the hate whitey line, is a heinous crime these days, even more heinous when the violator is a black.

In a Media Research Center study of 863 prime-time sitcoms over three years, 29.2% of TV crimes were committed by characters depicted as businessmen. Criminals only accounted for 9.7% of the crimes; doctors 4.1%; government officials 3.9%; cops 3.5%; lawyers, incredibly, only 1%. Corporate moguls were portrayed as committing twice as many



crimes as run-of-the-mill businessmen. It is *Instauration's* educated guess that lawyers commit more crimes than members of any other population group. As the MRC study shows, TV not only fails to mirror life; it wildly distorts it.

Another TV study, this one conducted by David Fabianic, professor of criminal justice at the University of Central Florida, found that women are three times more likely to commit murder on TV than their counterparts in the population at large. Nine out of ten TV killers are white, though in the real world whites only account for four out of ten U.S. homicides.

Famed NBC sportscaster Marv Albert, a 53-year-old Chosenite, has been arrested and charged with inviting a woman to his hotel room, biting her 15 times on the ear and forcing her to perform oral sex. The victim is a 41-year-old Virginian who has known Albert for many years.

Amos 'n' Andy was one of the funniest radio shows of all time. The video version that aired on CBS in 1951-53 was less risible, but was still worth watching. The show was finally accused of political incorrectness and forced off the air by command of the NAACP. Today it has been resurrected on video tapes, with sales booming for the 20 episodes that have been released. What is forbidden on the air waves is still available in stores. To the despair of the liberal-minority inquisition the road to total censorship still has a few detours and escape routes.

Nordic Joan Lunden, *Good Morning America* host for years and years, has three half-Jewish daughters, courtesy of ex-husband Michael Krauss. She is now

sleeping with another Chosenite, Jeff Konigsberg, the owner of a boys camp. Some tolerant folk try to excuse Joan's racial dilettantism by saying that in her line of business it's almost impossible for any Majority woman to meet a Majority male.

Dan Bennett has a half-hour, once-a-week program called *Dixie Rising* on WNAH-AM Nashville. Bennett wants to gather some Southern states into a new Confederacy and start a Second Secession. Members of the Sons of Confederate Veterans and the Southern League have been giving Bennett's ideas a big play. They either don't know or don't care that this nostalgic Southerner is married to a Korean.

From J.H. During a recent radio conversation between Howard Stern and Roseanne Barr the subject was *Homeboys in Outer Space*, a minority-oriented sitcom on the Afrocentric UPN network. In the course of the discussion Roseanne described the show in these curt words: "The cast is all black and the writers are all Jews." How many professional TV critics would dare to be so insensitive?

From Zip 420. The old show, *The Outer Limits*, was in reruns when I was a kid. A while back *Showtime* resurrected the series with new plots and contemporary actors. As in the old days, the beginning of an episode is soon interrupted by a faceless narrator. On screen, as the voice orates, are a haywire TV, the palms of a giant pair of hands, the gears and face of a clock, a huge blue eye and falling white dummies. "There is nothing wrong with your television," the voice begins.

Do not attempt to adjust the picture. We are now controlling the transmission. We control the horizontal and vertical. We can deluge you with a thousand channels or expand one single image to crystal clarity and beyond. We can shape your vision to anything our imagination can conceive. For the next half-hour we will control all that you see and hear. . . .

It would be most edifying if this message was run before every TV program.

From Zip 633. Satcom Sam should rent a copy of the video, *River Dance—the Show*. Talk of nausea! For the first 30 minutes I was swept away by ancestral Celtic dance and music—no multiculturalism here! Then suddenly before my eyes were a dozen or so overweight Congoids parading on stage singing,

When Will We Be Free? I could have wept at this ruination of a celebration of my ancestors. What does this eternal black wailing about freedom have to do with bagpipes, flutes and clogging? Is there no peace to be found in this whorish entertainment industry?

From Zip 455. Every week or so Jay Leno interviews people on the street on their knowledge of history and current events. It's virtually nil, even among recent college grads. Only a tiny percentage of Americans knows that Clinton and Gore have far outshone Nixon and Agnew in the art of sleaze.

From Zip 300. Firing Line, Meet the Press, Inside Edition and Face the Nation! America is awash in political chitchat. Fundamental underlying issues are almost always skirted. In all the talk about racial reconciliation, there has been no analysis of a key factor—collective guilt. If you are white, you and your kids are just as guilty of racism as a long-dead slaveholder.

From Zip 918. On a round table on race on the *Charlie Rose Show*, a black guest said it won't be long before the U.S. population is less than half white. Everyone supinely agreed. Although the U.S. purports to be a democracy, it is extremely improbable that more than a small fraction of today's citizenry desires this change. Isn't this a pretty big deal? Who decided that we didn't have a say in this?

From Zip 606. Flipped on the radio yesterday and heard about a study proving lesbians are by far the best parents. Dads are too inattentive. Kids are better off without 'em. Then I bought USA Today which had a study stating that day care benefits even toddlers. Absolutely no need for Mom to stay home. Radio again: Guns in the home 43 times more likely to kill a family member than be used in self-defense. Business section of daily paper: Illegal aliens benefit economy. Immigrants a far better bunch than us natives. Funny thing about these "studies." They confirm what our masters have been telling us all along!

From a subscriber. Not too long ago at a nearby lake in South Texas, state fish and game authorities informed zealous bass fishermen that all the fish in the lake would have to be systematically killed to get rid of unwanted "coarse fish" that had been introduced to act as a "cleanup species" to keep the lake bottom clean. What happens when "coarse humans" become so numerous on our home turf that we can no longer socialize with our people?

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

I think I have made the point that Sir Oswald Mosley's view of a united Europe was not so different from Le Pen's Europe des Patries, in which Europeans would cooperate for common ends, such as repatriating coloured immigrants and developing Africa. However, there was another, very different theorist of European unity well before Mosley, and that was Count Coudenhove-Kalergi. What is more, it is Coudenhove-Kalergi's Europeanism, not Mosley's, which is winning out in contemporary Europe.

Richard Nikolaus, Count Coudenhove-Kalergi was the son of an Austrian nobleman and a Japanese mother. He became a high-grade Freemason, founded the Pan-European Union in 1923 and wrote a book called *Praktischer Idealismus: Adel, Technik, Pazifismus* (*Practical idealism: Nobility, Technology, Idealism*), published in 1925. In Chapter IV of this tome he welcomed the idea that European cities were turning into melting pots which would produce "the Eurasian-Negroid race of the future." Races and classes would disappear, he predicted—the sooner, the better.

He realised that such a mixed race would need a ruling class to lead it. Jews, he wrote, were the most prominent among the aristocracy of the brain: whether in terms of corruption or integrity. In the fields of capitalism, journalism and literature, they were the most outstanding figures and were therefore predestined to be the key factor in the aristocracy of the future.

Already in antiquity, wrote Coudenhove-Kalergi, the Jews were represented in every nation of the ancient world, with their headquarters in Palestine. He claimed that in those days it was not the Jewish nation so much as the Jewish religion that was the binding force of Judaism (a claim hardly substantiated by the terrible massacres carried out by Jews in the cities of the eastern Mediterranean in A.D. 115-116). In the first millennium A.D., he asserted, the Jews took in great numbers of proselytes from neighbouring states, including the king and the tribe of the Khazars, which then dominated southern Russia. However, Arthur Koestler's notion that the Jews are mainly Khazars is not borne out by the Jewish blood groups, which are mainly Middle Eastern, with a small African component (according to A.E. Mourant, a biologist, who is the chief authority on the subject.) Meanwhile, Coudenhove-Kalergi allows that all the


weak, unscrupulous or opportunistic elements among the Jews left their ethnic religion as it came under increasing political, economic and social pressures. He interpreted this as a process of natural selection. (The historian Arnold Toynbee likewise regarded the Jews as a surviving relict of the ancient world, though he did not like or admire them.)

Coudenhove-Kalergi saw the Christian religion as rooted in Judaism. He claimed that all champions of European ethics, whether Christian or non-Christian, from Augustine through Rousseau, Kant and Tolstoy were "Jews by choice in the spiritual sense." Socialism, he rightly said, was also rooted in Judaism. However, this does not apply to the ritual aspects of Christianity, which are probably Egyptian if one goes back far enough. Nor does it apply to the aesthetic aspect, which is Greek. Chartres Cathedral was built to reach God through beauty. How very un-Jewish!

But now, said Coudenhove-Kalergi, racial exclusiveness would cease. Panmyxia would take place, with the most beautiful women giving themselves to the most "significant" men, while free love reigned supreme. In this way, a noble ruling class, composed of the most remarkable people, physically, psychically and spiritually, would emerge. Feudalism and capitalism would be replaced by an aristocracy of human perfection (as in Hollywood and New York, I suppose.)

The only remaining sign of Count Coudenhove-Kalergi's noble origins is that he rightly claims that the old aristocracy had much more responsibility to society than the plutocracy which came to power during the 19th century. Modern democracy, he said, was a mere façade for plutocracy. It must become the tool of a spiritual aristocracy. Capitalism and communism were engaged in a fraternal war. They must combine and fuse (which they are now doing).

I need hardly say that this is not my vision of the European future. I want a congeries of independent ethnostates, within a common market, which can combine against outside threats like NATO—the tool of a Judaised, race-mixing America. I wish to express my gratitude to Unabhängige Nachrichten (Postfach 1826, D-55388, Bingen, Germany), which provided much of the information for this article.



Report from the Darkening Tip

April 1: Woman raped when she went looking for her dog. . . Five murdered in the Free State. . . Five killed in Natal midlands.

April 4: One in 10 women in South Africa has been raped, claims UN report.

April 5: \$200,000 taken in three Cape robberies.

April 6: Morale of South African Broadcasting Corporation is so low that personnel are threatening to strike. . . Policemen are leaving the force at the rate of 200 a month.

April 14: 50,000 Afrikaners have recently lost their jobs.

April 16: Mandela tries to allay fears of whites that they are being targeted as a group by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission. . . The leader of the Democratic Party has called on Mandela to consider proclaiming a state of emergency to deal with anarchy.

April 18: Leader of the National Party, former President F.W. de Klerk, has stated that his party will disband under certain circumstances.

April 20: Leading members of the once-powerful National Party have called on de Klerk to found a new political party before the end of the year.

April 21: Police Commissioner George Fivay has disclosed that about a third of South Africa's police officers do not have driving licenses. Some 30,000 failed to complete high school.

April 25: The findings of a new HIV-AIDS survey is that 2.4 million South Africans are already infected and 90,000 will develop full-blown AIDS this year.

April 29: The Freedom Front stated that the University of South Africa will use English as its lingua franca—another brazen example of the cultural war against Afrikaners. . . Because the payments of so many users of electricity are in arrears, electrical companies are facing a serious financial threat. Users already owe \$200 million. . . Roelf Meyer, former member of the Cabinet and still a prominent figure in the National Party, has declared that a new political movement must be founded before the end of the year.

April 30: According to police, a 24-year-old woman was repeatedly gang raped by eight men for about seven hours at Kusalethu High School near Secunda. No arrests as yet.

May 1: The use of Afrikaans by local authorities was yesterday dealt a severe blow when the High Court in Johannesburg decided that local authorities did not violate the law by using only English. . . Some 35% of the police force have indicated they intend to resign.

May 2: Dr. Ronnie Bethlehem, 62, for many years a member of the International Monetary Fund, was shot dead by a prowler near his home in Johannesburg.

May 4: The South African government provided \$8.8 million for electioneering in 1994 and secured an additional \$1.8 million from the European Union. The funds were distributed on a sliding scale with the largest party, the African National Congress, getting the lion's share—\$2.6 million.

May 9: A leading article in the newspaper, Beeld, states that the chances of the Afrikaners ever regaining power are slim.

May 11: Regarding the future of their language, white Afrikaans speakers are pessimistic. Fifty-nine percent expect it to

weaken and die out.

May 13: The ANC has accepted responsibility for committing more than 500 acts of violence from 1977 to 1989. . . The NP accepts that it will always have to play the role of an opposition party. Cooperation with other parties will not help to unseat the ANC and its partners.

May 18: Roelf Meyer has resigned as NP leader in Guateng (Pretoria, Johannesburg and surroundings) after stating he had actually been given the sack by de Klerk. He also said he would build a new movement on the guiding principles of reducing crime, providing more jobs, compassion for the poor, better education and tolerance.

May 19: Meyer's resignation, said the Inkhatha Freedom Party, would help break the logjam in South African politics and lead to a fundamental realignment of politics to protect multiparty democracy.

May 20: The Defence Force will probably have to get rid of about 30,000 military personnel.

May 22: Mandela has stated that South Africa is controlled by embittered conservative whites who have lost contact with the black community and only give jobs to blacks to avoid being accused of racialism.

May 25: Ethnicity remains a lively issue in Parliament. Xhosa-speaking members in the ANC are angered at Indians and whites occupying key seats in the party.

May 26: Eleven official languages is a difficult issue to deal with in the Defence Force. . . The NP has criticized the government for its inability to curb the influx, especially from the north, of illegal immigrants, who now number between 2.5 million and 4 million.

May 31: The World Bank granted South African a \$41 million loan, the first in more than three decades.

June 7: Domestic property prices in Greater Johannesburg have dropped by 20% compared with a year ago.


June 10: The Anglo-American Group (Oppenheimer) has announced that it will sell all its properties in the central business areas of the largest South African cities.

June 18: The editor of the Conservative Party newspaper, Henk van der Graaff, was wounded in the Perskar building in Pretoria when a group of robbers overpowered a parking lot security guard.

June 23: Two rightwingers, Olive Derby-Lewis and Janusz Walusz, are not asking to be pardoned for shooting and killing Chris Hani, former leader of the South African Communist Party.

June 25: A mob of 500 West Rand pupils defied police rubber bullets and killed an African National Congress councillor.

June 30: The Truth and Reconciliation Commission's probings are getting out of hand. The Commission is not only going after the leader of the National Party, F.W. de Klerk, but also members of his Cabinet who served on the State Security Council and the top generals who had links to it. . . According to information supplied by the South African police, the National Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Rehabilitation of Trespassers only one in 20 cases is reported. The true figure for child molestation is close to an incredible 280,000 per annum.





United Nations. Are all the nations of the world except the U.S. anti-Semitic? The UN General Assembly by a vote of 185 to 2 demanded that Israel pay for all damages incurred in its air attack on a UN shelter in Lebanon in which 100 refugees were killed. Only the U.S. stood steadfastly and bigotedly on the side of its adopted Middle Eastern nation. Even Micronesia refused to go along with Washington on this one.

Canada. As columnist Doug Collins awaits the verdict of what he calls the Great Heresy Trial, the North Shore Defense Fund has grown to over \$90,000. Already legal expenses for the courageous little newspaper have amounted to over \$180,000. Why all the fuss? Because Doug had the temerity to doubt that Six Million Jews died in the Holocaust and the North Shore News had the temerity to print this doubt.

Michael Enright, CBC morning show squawking head, informed his audience that next to the Mafia the Roman Catholic Church was the world's biggest criminal organization. These words were more spiteful and hateful than anything Collins had ever written in his entire life. Funny, but perhaps not so funny, there was no media fallout, no lawsuits, no jail threats, no Great Heresy Trial. Catholics are politically correct enemies. Jews, it seems, are not.

Doug recently made a trip to England where he was pleasantly surprised to find that Brits enjoyed more freedom to say what they think than Canadians. He quoted a column by Taki in the Spectator that practically wiped the floor with Puerto Ricans: "Why in hell should U.S. taxpayers carry the load for a bunch of semi-savages to march down Fifth Avenue and turn it into a minor San Juan?" Zoo City's Mayor Rudy Giuliani screamed that Taki should be banned from the U.S. Doug pointed out that if Churchill had been alive in Canada today, he would have been hauled into court for calling Gandhi, as he did, a "silly old loin-clothed fakir" and for describing Italy as "a nation of ice cream men."

To prove his claim that Brits are less muzzled than Canadians, Doug quoted some lines from a recent column of Kevin Myers in London's Sunday Telegraph:

It is surely time that we were liberated from the inhibitions that Nazi deeds have laid upon our freedom of expression. At


this remove we should really be able to discuss Jews and their Jewishness, their virtues or their vices, as one can any other identifiable group without being called anti-Semitic. . . . [I]t is time to be frank about Jews.

To the above, all fighting advocates of free speech, including Doug Collins, the fightingest of them all, will say amen.

In regard to the Great Heresy Trial, no public expense was spared to bring in ideologically correct "experts" to testify on human rights and "hateism." If found guilty, Collins and the North Shore News face unlimited fines, which, like the tribunal's decisions, cannot be appealed.

The British Columbia Press Council and the B.C. Civil Liberties Association have sided with the North Shore News to defend journalistic freedom but not, they have made abundantly clear, out of any love for dastardly Doug. As if to assure the powers that count of their true loyalties, the Canadian media have gone to astounding lengths to vilify him. One washed-up sports and gossip columnist sneered at the 79-year-old, comparing him to Canada's most notorious serial murderer. The senior editor of western Canada's largest newspaper, the Vancouver Sun, growled during a public debate on free speech: "I know Doug Collins' type. He's old, he's white, he's British and he's male. He's homophobic, racist, sexist. . ." Hate laws, obviously, don't apply to everyone.

Finland. Steven Thomas, a black in a country with only 10,000 of that species, is a walking one-man plague. Born in Brooklyn, he is HIV-positive in a nation that has the lowest incidence of AIDS of



Mass rapist Thomas

any European country. Before Thomas was arrested he claimed to have had unprotected sex with as many as 100 wom-

en, most of them presumably white. Jailed for 16 years, he was ordered to compensate any and all of them willing to come forward—a very light sentence considering his totally irresponsible and lethal behavior and his inability to pay anyone a single cent. If there was ever a good reason for white countries to stop black immigration, it is Steven Thomas.

Britain. Britain's Steven Thomas is Christopher Mayambala, a sickly AIDS-infected illegal immigrant from Uganda. While his lawyers have been frantically trying to keep him from being deported, his medical treatment has cost British taxpayers tens of thousands of pounds. His legal costs will now skyrocket after his recent attempt to rape an 11-year-old girl. Mayambala came to Britain seven years ago, leaving his wife and five children in the Heart of Darkness. He has never done a lick of work since his arrival and has become a fixture of the British welfare system. A similar case is that of an illegal from Brazil who died in June after having cost the National Health Service some \$375,000.

Higher learning in this country used to be free. No more. Beginning next year the 1.1 million full-time students in British universities will have to pay for their education. The government has proposed charging students \$2,500 per annum. Also ended will be grants for living expenses.

Kevin Maxwell, one of the two sons of the late supercrook, Robert Maxwell, is planning to install a tennis court in his \$900,000 mansion in Oxford. Five years ago Kevin and brother Ian were bankrupt, which allowed them to escape paying the \$18-million legal fees and costs of their seven-member defense team. After a long trial the two defendants were found not guilty of stealing pension funds.

The Lord Chief Justice of England (1992-96) died last April. Lord Taylor of Gosforth was the second Jew to hold the job. The first was Rufus Isaacs, later Marquess of Reading, Viceroy of India and Foreign Secretary.

Cherie Blair, the supersonic lawyer consort of the new Prime Minister, paid \$3,000 out of her own substantial purse to fly her hairdresser to the G7 conference in Denver.

Peter Mandelson, generally acknowledged to be Tony Blair's chief guru, feels he has not been rewarded sufficiently for engineering the Labour victory. He is



fuming about not getting a bigger and better post in the new government. So far he has only been made a lowly Minister Without Portfolio.

From a subscriber. Labour's massive victory has had a euphoric effect in Britain. An American correspondent said it was as difficult to find someone who had voted Tory as it was in 1945 to find a Hitler supporter in Germany. Nevertheless, Labour won fewer votes than the Tories accumulated in 1992. Two million Conservatives sat out the recent election. Another million voted for the anti-ECC parties.

The lack of hostility in regard to sexual matters in Britain is noteworthy. Sir Alan Clark was reelected in spite of his diaries in which he boasted of his seduction of the wife and two daughters of a judge. In the Tory stronghold of Exeter the Conservative Party candidate was anti-homo, while the Labour candidate was an open fag. The latter easily won. All the Tory candidate's talk of family values and homosexual abominations profited him little.

No longer in the British Isles can one find a strong fundamentalist moralistic movement. This may be one reason the National Front's anti-gay and moral militancy had difficulty attracting votes. William Hague, M.P., supports an age of consent of 16 for homosexual encounters, stating, "What harm do they do?" The degenerate remark did not stop him from becoming the youngest Tory leader in 200 years.

Sweden. Only 70.8% of the respondents to a survey of 8,000 students, most aged from 12 to 18, said they were "convinced" that the Holocaust happened. This leaves no doubt there are a lot of young Swedish Holocaust doubters.

France. Hot off the FN newswire!

- The Jewish father of Catherine Mégret, the Front National mayor of Vitrolles, has disowned her.

- Le Pen's blonde daughter, Marie-Caroline, divorced, one son, won the first election round, but not the second, in the race for mayor of Mantes-la-Jolie.

- Jewish Nadine Bellier, a regional FN councillor in Carpentras, has converted to Catholicism, but still considers herself "an ethnic Jew."

Gibraltar. A descendant of the Jews who fled from Spain to Morocco back in

the days of Spain's Semitic cleansing, Sir Joshua Abraham Hassan, Chief Minister of Gibraltar (1964-69 and 1972-87) died in July.

Switzerland. Sooner or later Jewish arrogance and greed reach the point where the media and the politicians can no longer divert the public's attention with anti-Semitic scare stories and Holocaust sentimentalism. Despite their leaders' collaboration with foreign Jewish extortionists, it's fair to say that at least half the Swiss people have come to disbelieve the anti-Swiss propaganda about stolen "Nazi gold." One right-wing Swiss millionaire, Christoph Blocher, has gone on the warpath against International Jewry's all-out vilification of the country's banking system. After they have forced Switzerland to pay up, the Bronfmans and their Jewish and non-Jewish toadies are planning to go after Portugal, another small country whose neutrality in WWII may not have been conducted in a manner pleasing to the Chosen.

They get you coming and going. Swiss guarantees of secrecy for depositors were initiated in the Hitler years, partly at the behest of German Jews who wanted to hide their assets from the Nazis. Now the Chosen are screaming that the Swiss must break their promises and reveal the identity of so-called missing depositors. The Swiss banks supinely gave in and published 1,872 names, thereby violating their guarantees of secrecy. The list contains many Jews, such as the mother of U.S. Ambassador to Switzerland, Madeleine Kunin, but there were also some Nazis in the woodpile.

Germany. Christopher Hitchens' review of *Hitler's Willing Executioners* in the Nation (June 9) disagrees with author Daniel Goldhagen's thesis about "genocide being immanent in the conversation of German society." Goldhagen maintained that anti-Jewish paranoia was detected in ritual murder accusations which resulted in twelve such trials in Germany and the Austrian Empire between 1867 and 1914. Hitchens pointed out that in truth, eleven of those trials collapsed, although they all had juries. So much for ordinary Germans wanting to believe the worst about Jews.

A top-notch German physicist, Karl Brinkmann, has written a seminal book,

Grundfehler der Relativitätstheorie (Basic Errors in Relativity Theory), which disputes Einstein's propositions about time and space. According to Brinkmann, Bombfather's lucubrations about time are not about time, and his lucubrations about space are not about space. In Brinkmann's view, Relativity adds up to nothing more than a dilettantish nature philosophy.

From a subscriber. I spent two years in Germany with the British Army and couldn't help liking the Germans. But why are they still groveling before a minuscule Jewish minority? Here's a strong people with a great cultural tradition and many accomplishments in both peace and war. Yet 52 years after WWII they are still letting Jews hit them over the head with "German guilt" and still dictating draconian laws against freedom of expression! When the President of Israel comes to lecture them, Chancellor Kohl and his ministers, hanging their heads like beaten dogs, reward the Jewish shake-down artist with another huge check to the collective Fagin of the Middle East. Churchill may have been right when he said that Germans are "either at your feet or at your throat," but how can they spend so long at the feet of the Jews? *Das ist mir ganz unglaublich.*

From a subscriber. Bahman Nirumand, an Iranian writer residing in Deutschland, recently wrote in Der Spiegel:

Whoever has not visited Germany since the 1950s would scarcely recognize it today. Perhaps the 7 million foreigners, as many people believe, have really corrupted the Germans. Their proverbial virtues of reliability, meticulousness, diligence and honesty are perceptibly disappearing. When I take my car into a repair shop today, unlike in earlier times, I can no longer be certain that everything has been put to right. The Germans are no longer so pedantic about truthfulness either. I find that our behaviors have grown more and more alike.

In 1970 there were three mosques in Germany. Today there are hundreds.

Austria. Austria's Foreign Minister Wolfgang Schuessel survived a no confidence vote following accusations he had made off-the-cuff derogatory comments about foreign statesmen. His greatest sin was calling Madeleine Albright "an aging Bette Davis."

Czechoslovakia. The former executive director of AIPAC, Tom Dine, is moving to Prague to head Radio Free Europe.



Poland. The Gdansk shipyard, the birthplace of Solidarity, the celebrated Polish free trade union, is out of business and slated to become a nightclub.

Vatican. Pope John Paul II has decided to canonize a Jewish convert to Catholicism, Sister Teresia Benedicta, née Edith Stein, who expired in Auschwitz. Jews are concerned that canonization is not inconsistent with an effort to “baptize the Holocaust” and putting the slaughter of the Jews in a Christian context. By making a saint out of a Jewish convert, Jews contend the church glorifies converts in general. Abe Foxman of the ADL is especially incensed. “Edith Stein wound up where she did because she was Jewish,” he insists. “To take a person as wonderful and beautiful as she was, and call her a martyr is like rubbing salt in the wound. Now we have lost her twice.” Stein was born to Jewish parents in Germany, but converted and became a nun.

Though the Vatican has already published some 18 volumes of material from its WWII files, Tommy Baer, president of B’nai B’rith, is not satisfied:

In light of the recent dramatic revelations regarding the role of Switzerland and other officially neutral governments during WWII, and the fact that time is running out for Holocaust survivors... we are making a formal appeal to the Vatican to open its archives.

Russia. Fresh scandals like the rigged sale of the Russian telecommunications company, Svyazinvest, as well as the federal investigation of Aleksandr Lebedev, head of the Russian National Reserve Bank and early backer of Yeltsin, threaten to end the corrupt, cozy marriage of convenience between bankers and government officials. Kingmakers like Lebedev, Potanin and Berezovsky literally bought the last election for Yeltsin, for which they were subsequently rewarded with high government positions and lucrative business deals. With the heat now on, the Yeltsin people want to distance themselves from their erstwhile benefactors. Incidentally none of these Russian financial and business moguls ever earned anything through their own merits. They obtained their wealth and position through sleazy government giveaways and grants, tax write-off schemes and the use of “Livshits money” (referring to one

of Yeltsin’s wheeler-dealer ministers).

In a desperate but very late move, the government now wants to assert its authority over bankers by establishing laws regulating banking and business deals. At a meeting in early August, major government insiders (Chubais, Chernomyrdin and Yeltsin’s daughter, Tatyana) informed Jewish tycoon Berezovsky in effect that his services would no longer be needed. The government hopes gradually to have all budget monies transferred from commercial banks to the Federal Treasury. To which the irrepressible Berezovsky, in his capacity of media tsar, responded: “Then we are going to stop promoting and advertising your young reformists on our television.” Number one reformist Chubais snapped back: “I will respond with all the [government] power at my disposal.”

It’s hard to see how Yeltsin and friends can clean up their own Augean stables.

A large photo of the saintly J. Robert Oppenheimer, another “McCarthy Era Martyr,” has now been posted in a KGB Museum display celebrating Soviet atomic espionage successes. Although the late KGB General Pavel Sudoplatov listed “Oppy” as one of the American scientists who aided in the development of the Soviet bomb, although Oppenheimer is referred to as “Veksel” in the Venona radio intercepts as a Soviet agent, a large slice of the American leftist-minority hierarchy remains doggedly faithful to its Jewish hero.

Israel. According to the late Moshe Dayan, some 80% of pre-Six Day War border incidents were the result of Israeli initiatives and provocations. When he was Defense Minister, Dayan hadn’t wanted Israel to broaden the war to encompass the Syrian front, but kibbutz leaders from the border area yearned for the lush farmlands of the Golan. Consequently they pressured then Prime Minister Levi Eshkol to launch a ground attack against Syrian territory on the war’s 5th day. The brave kibbutzim weren’t being constantly harassed, as all Israeli schoolchildren are taught. Jews were the aggressors. All this was revealed by Dayan in an interview 20 years ago with an Israeli journalist. The facts were so disturbing it was shelved for two decades.

With a vulgar crudity and ruthlessness worthy of a Stalin-era thug, the Jewish publisher of the Israeli science periodical,

Galileo, Stephan Savitsky, has outraged both Christians and Muslims by publishing an illustration of the Virgin Mary with a cow’s head. The Christian response, voiced by the chief Roman Catholic clergyman in the Holy Land, was disappointingly, but not surprisingly, mild. (Whatever happened to the Church militant?) But the complaint of the Muslims, since they also revere Mary, was sharp and threatening: “It is another crime to be added to the Jews’ crimes in the attack against the Prophet Mohammed and the great Koran.” Meanwhile the maniacal Jewess who drew Mohammad as a pig has been moved to a local funny farm.

Two rabbis, filmed taking bribes to expedite conversions, were put under house arrest after serving 12 days behind bars.

Zimbabwe. Reverend Canaan Banana, President of Zimbabwe (1980-87), has belatedly been charged with raping a young male police officer during his presidency. Robert Mugabe, who succeeded the Reverend in the highest office of the country once called Rhodesia, is known for his strong dislike of faggots, whom he characterizes as “worse than dogs or pigs.” Banana, a Methodist minister, is currently professor of theology at Zimbabwe University.

Peru. President Fujimori’s popularity reached a new high (67% approval) after the release of 71 hostages held for months in the Japanese Embassy in Lima. Today his popularity has fallen to 23%. What happened? The Israeli-born owner of a Peruvian TV station, Baruch Bronstein, along with other mediocrats, spread rumors that the government was spying on private citizens. Instead of crawling and groveling, Fujimori took away Bronstein’s Peruvian citizenship.

Australia. The Adelaide Institute has been accused of disseminating newsletters critical of Jewry. As a result the Institute is being dragged before the Human Rights and Equal Opportunity Commission by Australia’s cloutful Executive Council of Australian Jewry. The hearing will take place sometime this fall. Jews want to close down the Institute’s web site.* As one “proof” of the Institute’s anti-Jewish agenda, Jews made much of a Waspishly Yours column in *Instauration* (Feb. 1997), which was reprinted by the Adelaide Institute in a newsletter and later sent out on the Internet.

*<http://www.adam.com.au/~fredadin/adins.html>