

δύστανε, μοίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 24, NO. 5


APRIL 1999

Rebel With A Cause



Feminist Rebecca Latimer Felton

The Safety Valve



In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

The Press corps' definition of non-partisanship is Republicans' yielding to Demo demands.

299

Remember when Haiti was in the headlines? Lead story on evening TV news? Cost us a couple billion, but "Clinton saved them, restored democracy." Now if you read your daily paper really carefully, at the bottom of p. 43 you may find a squeezed-down paragraph about how the country is worse off than ever!

330

In countries like Brazil, about the size of the U.S. with a racially mixed population, the government is run almost exclusively by whites who at this stage in Brazilian history are recognized as better qualified. Only in the U.S. and a few Western countries do race laws exist that discriminate against the more competent and better qualified, in most cases, whites and Asian Americans, in favor of the less qualified. Our government demands that minorityites be installed in positions of power even if less qualified than white Americans. This is truly a rare form of national insanity.

399

Jesse Ventura, newly enshrined governor of Minnesota, is actually a Hungarian (real surname, Janos). The media may try to turn him into a buffoon, which may not be too much of a stretch, given his former profession. Or he may be de-

monized if he starts making too much sense. Either way, I'm sure the pundits will be paying close attention to him in coming months. So far all commentators have overlooked the obvious. Ventura, a former Navy Seal, is easily the most masculine politico in the arena. Conjure up mental images of contemporary pols and the "m" word does not come readily to mind.

752

Want to get away with perjury and obstruction of justice inside the Beltway? Make sure your co-conspirators are the right color. Who'd dare indict them?

201

A few hundred Spaniards conquered Mexico and later the mighty Inca Empire. The only comparable historical triumph is the conquest of the U.S. by Jews.

850

Obviously the Chosen don't believe in the adage, "Quit while you're ahead." They're determined to hype the Holocaust scam until the day the worm turns.

950

What and when will be Clinton's next repellent act?

115

I am three-fourths through *My Awakening*. It's a wonderful work. If one had only one book to answer all the racial questions, David Duke's opus would be it. It deserves a wide distribution, but won't get it.

784

At a McDonald's for breakfast I heard someone say, "I don't like juice." He better watch out. He may have committed a hate crime.

030

Although not a single bitch in the neighborhood has complained, Bill Clinton, of all people, has ordered that his innocent dog, Buddy, be neutered.

111

If the average American, who knows a good deal intuitively, really knew it all, he would go mad with rage.

520

This whole impeachment affair has been instructive, to say the least. If anyone ever doubted mankind's monumen-

tal talent for transforming snow into slush and holy water into firewater and miracles into mud, this case is paradigmatic.

453

They say it's an outrage that 72% of NFL players are black, only 10% of coaches. That's nothing. Some 2% of the population is Jewish, but it seems like 98% of the news concerns them.

944

Suppose a Stanford professor old enough to be her father did to Chelsea what Clinton did to Monica? What would Bill's reaction be?

955

Pat Buchanan is probably a very sharp individual, but he comes across as a nice guy with an easy smile and a good sense of humor. John Nobull is a cynic. I was taught never to be a cynic. I am merely skeptical.

785

I am seeing more and more rock groups inspired by Nordic mythology. Would you call this music genre ragnarok and roll?

527

If Hillary opts to run for the Senate and wins, it will limit her potential for mischief and diminish her sense of self-importance. After all, her heroine, Eleanor Roosevelt, went from First Lady of the U.S. to First Lady of the Universe, a

CONTENTS

Forgotten Feminist.	4
The Airman or the Carpenter?	7
The Holocaust: History or Dogma? . . .	9
Who Caused Integration?	10
The Party Isn't Over.	11
Altruism Is the Enemy.	12
Plagiarism in the New Testament	13
Backtalk.	14
Inklings.	16
Cultural Catacombs.	18
Primate Watch.	19
Talking Numbers.	20
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.	21
Satcom Sam.	24
Elsewhere.	26

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$35 (third class)
\$45 (first class)
\$48 Canada
\$50 foreign (surface)
\$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope

Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1999 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve

much more grandiose station in life than that of mere senator. Perhaps some older Instaurationists may recall La Boca Grande cutting records of her speeches for a world audience. They would usually begin, "Hello World, this is Eleanor Roosevelt speaking."

660

□ As a geologist I find 89¢-a-gallon gas obscene. The apotheosis of this profligacy could be said to be the recent Daytona Beach sports car races. Whether or not greenhouse warming proves to be a chimera, oil is a nonrenewable resource. And while I'm picking on sports nuts, there's golf. That's a waste of arable land. The Chinese put the kibosh on any further golf links. I can't help but observe the two above-mentioned sports are largely the domain of white males. Majority members should spend a little more time worrying about their race (white) and not their sport (checkered flag).

838

□ When New York's Orthodox Jewish communities ceremoniously burn bread in the streets and parks before Passover, police do nothing to stop them, no matter how big the bonfires. But 33 Pagans were recently arrested for a harmless ceremonial bonfire on a deserted Staten Island beach.

111

□ It was Samuel Johnson who thought the most beautiful prospect in Scotland was the high road that led to England. This comment reminds me of an English gardener I once knew who often talked about the "Old Country." The thing he liked most about the English, he said, was that they were not affected by that "crazy Celtic cult."

984

□ Little black Danny Williams is the spittin' image of Bill, but I didn't pay too much attention to that. Photos can be faked. Still, it surprises me that these charges were dismissed so readily. How do we know the DNA test was on the up and up?

577

□ In a new book, *Betty Friedan and the Making of the Feminine Mystique*, Smith College professor Daniel Horwitz reveals that Friedan (née Goldstein) was, before she became a heroine to countless Gen-

tile feminists, a Stalinist Marxist and professional propagandist for the Communists. Her famous description of America's suburban family household as a comfortable concentration camp reflected more her Marxist hatred for America than any desire to improve the standard of living and lifestyle of American women, which has always been the envy of women the world over.

203

□ Clinton loves photo ops. Why didn't he meet Mark McGwire after he broke the home-run record? It could only be that the famous refused to shake hands with the infamous. Hero passes up chance to meet scoundrel.

915

□ The push for slavery reparations for blacks is on hold for now. Jews are currently too busy suing and collecting for what was supposedly done to them in the 1930s and early 40s.

722

□ The framers of the Constitution undoubtedly presumed that if a President brought shame to the office: (a) the people would repudiate him; (b) so would his party; and (c) he would have the decency to resign. They couldn't have foreseen today's America.

800

□ Vehicles built by Ford or GM were used by Nazi Germany before America entered the war. Billions in damages are now demanded from these and other similarly situated firms. Obviously many firms also did business with Japan under similar circumstances, but there are no claims against the Japs. Why?

020

□ Years ago Vernon Jordan made "only" \$500 an hour, but he's way beyond that now. It doesn't hurt to be a F.O.B.

440

□ The possibility of Hillary Rodham Clinton being elected Senator from New York really angers me. I thought at least when Bill's term was up the whole sorry Clinton ménage would be history. Is it possible we will have to endure even more?

805

□ Gore, tap dancing around the truth on Kosovo, observed: "We are confronting

Milosevic with unity." Gosh. That'll surely scare him.

300

□ GOP impeachment managers were referred to as "a bunch of white, male, Christian Southerners." Think of the uproar if the Republicans had called their adversaries "a bunch of left-wing Northeastern Jews."

984

□ Following a complaint from the Anti-Defamation league, the Wal-Mart store in Porter (TX) removed toy soldiers depicting members of Erwin Rommel's Afrika Corps from its shelves. After apologizing for any possible offense, the store justified its capitulation to the ADL by saying that those particular toy soldiers were showing only slight sales movement anyway.

200

□ Second-rate actor Alec Baldwin is playing hard to get. He perceives popular demand for him to run for Senator from New York (presidency would be next), but he just isn't sure if he wants to bother. He does have an unusual platform: death by stoning of Republicans he dislikes, followed by murder (weapon unspecified) of their families. Could this be a winner?

811

□ For years I wondered why every magazine had the blondined Gwyneth Paltrow's countenance staring back at me with the same simpy look, a sort of Princess Di in reverse. Finding out she is Jewish says it all. They do a good job of promoting their own, don't they?

360

□ I don't know of anyone who makes me sicker to look at and listen to than Al Gore, unless it is the Viagra hustler, Bob Dole, rushing about Kosovo brokering "peace." Can't he go back to Kansas and leave us in peace?

431

□ The modern version of the ancient trial by ordeal is the class action lawsuit.

100

Whenever the media mention David Duke, the tired old prefix, "ex-Klansman," precedes his name. It is seldom used to describe Senator Harry Byrd, who was a Klan member when that organization had some real political clout.

522

Forgotten Feminist

In the American twilight of the 20th century, the annals of history are painstakingly raked over and then flyspecked to uncover nonwhite accomplishments. A mulatto draftsman employed by Thomas Edison is now being touted as an inventor. (Edison is a great annoyance to equalitarians.) On July 4 a commentator explains with rhapsodies of joy that *Porgy and Bess* is the archetypal piece of American music. Why not? After all, it is Jewish music about Negroes. What could be more American?

Despite the maniacal mantras about blacks, Jews, Hispanics, Asians, gays and left-handed Buddhists that are thought to be indispensable components of that great smorgasbord of "diversity," women seem to be falling out of favor unless they possess a second property—color or sexual "status." Ordinarily what used to be called "notable" women are of declining interest, especially if they "happen to be white," as the expression so pleasantly goes. (How people "happen to be white" must perplex those leftists who deny any importance to genetics. Must be more bad environment and poor social consciousness on the part of white parents.) Nonwhite "two-fers" are applauded, but white females are increasingly less celebrated in the modern pecking order. The Burke's Peerage of Bolshevism is, like Stalinist purge lists, always under revision. After all, it would only be expected that as we progress towards Nirvana, enlightenment will permit us to strip away old preconceptions dating back, say, a week ago.

Unfortunately white women do represent an important portion of the minority coalition. (They are really a "two fer" since every white woman voting or acting mud really counts twice—one less white vote and one more for the forces of darkness.) But the white woman who acts and thinks in the best interests of her race is consigned to the Pluto of leftist cosmology—if she's lucky. If mentioned at all, she can be expected to be excoriated by the present guardians of official history.

Consequently it is no surprise to see a female "who happens to be a honky" play a role in history and then drop from the Pantheon. Most Instaurationists are probably familiar with the fall from grace of Margaret Sanger. Her sensible views on race have caused nonwhites to demand that she be sent down the memory hole. Total subservience and conformity in any important matter are the price of praise in today's diverse intellectual academy.

If her enemies are successful, Sanger is doomed to the same historical oblivion accorded Rebecca Latimer Felton (1835-1930). Who? Mrs. William H. Felton. Still no flicker of recognition? How about Senator Rebecca Latimer Felton, the first woman to serve as a U.S. Senator? She was appointed by Governor Hardwick to represent Georgia after the death in 1923 of the great populist, Thomas E. Watson. While Mrs. Felton only served for a few days, she led a long and active political career in Georgia. Her husband, William H. Felton, helped lead the independent/proto-populist revolt in the state for some years and served in Congress from 1874 to 1880 representing Northwest Georgia. Mrs. Felton was widely seen as the "second congressman" from that district as she and her husband fought a brutal campaign against the entrenched "Bourbon" Democratic party which dispatched money and legions of Confederate heroes, such as General John B. Gordon, to war against them.



The Young Rebecca Latimer Felton

Among the issues that interested and engaged Mrs. Felton were prohibition, women's suffrage, honest and limited government, public education, cleaning up the corrupt, political Methodist Augean stables and prison reform. She was very forceful in her political writings. Her enemies (she did not have rivals, only enemies) opened the newspapers with trepidation to find one of her scathing articles flaying the skin from their political hides.

When she was appointed Senator, although she was 88 years old, photographs revealed a youthful twinkle in her eye and a vigorous, grandmotherly aspect in her dress and appearance. After her brief term in the Senate, she went on to write books and newspaper articles, expand her business concerns and sit on the boards of foundations and schools she had helped found. She actively campaigned for Alfred E. Smith (a Catholic, drinker and Yankee) rather than see worshipers of Woodrow Wilson, such as Georgia-born William McAdoo, get the Democratic nomination. She was opposed to the League of Nations and American intervention in European wars.

In her 93rd year Mrs. Felton was involved in a car wreck. To everyone's amazement, she made a complete recovery. Her doctor announced she would regain perfect health, if she would just stop talking! Nine months later, in December 1929, she was in the news once more when

she took a ride in a blimp.

In January 1930, having come down with a cold, she insisted on riding a bus to Atlanta from her home in Cartersville to attend a board meeting of her Girls Training School. (Her frugal soul rebelled at the extravagance of the railroad fare.) The cold worsened and she finally succumbed a week later at age 94. The U.S. Senate adjourned in her honor. Her estate was valued at \$250,000—in the Depression. The money had been earned bit by bit in writing, farming and prudent investment.

All in all, Mrs. Felton was a remarkable woman. She rode the first train out of Atlanta as a young girl. She authored scores upon scores of newspaper and magazine articles and three books. Her fictionalized life was the central character in a best-selling novel of the time, *The Co-Citizens*. Yet today few even in her native Georgia know about her. Try her name in the circles of knowledgeable feminists. Look in vain for her visage on postage stamps.

Readers of *Instauration* doubtless suspect the reason the media enshrouded Mrs. Felton with silence. She was a daughter of Dixie. The race question is the great issue that provided the political poison to tear the nation apart from 1860-1865 and haunts it to this day. Rebecca Latimer Felton had no truck with race-mixers or equalitarians. Indeed the presence of the dusky-hued electors was cited in her suffragette speeches as one of the reasons that white women needed the vote. She and her husband were slave holders and had first-hand contact with Negroes. That close and personal experience, unlike that of the distant New England abolitionists, led Mrs. Felton to very decided opinions on the capacities and therefore the appropriate role of the black in the post-Civil War South.¹ When Mrs. Felton fixed her mind on a subject, she was not hesitant to express herself. No questions of "insensitivity" troubled her mind or blunted her speech.

In her school days (about 1850) young Rebecca Latimer wrote:

In no respect is improvement more apparent than in the intellectual world. After a slumber of ages the mind of man has awakened to a realization of its power. This is especially true of the Anglo-Saxon race, for the mental powers of the Eastern nations are still folded in the Lethean slumber of ages.²

Strong racial consciousness was to permeate all of Mrs. Felton's life. Her temperance speeches were peppered with the proposition that permitting Negroes to consume alcohol only increased their criminal behavior and even precipitated attacks on white women. The need to control Negro rapists played a major part in her most controversial advocacy: lynching as a preventative to anti-social African behavior. In one of her writings she flatly stated that so long as Negro crime continued, lynching must continue. In a speech before the State Agricultural Society in 1897, she explained that women left alone in

isolated farm houses faced a grave danger from roving Negro rapists and murderers. The men of Georgia, she insisted, needed to protect their wives and daughters. Since religion and law could not put a stop to Negro "wildings," the white men must lynch these "ravaging beasts a thousand times a week if necessary."³

This widely reported speech stirred the Holy North to righteous rage at the bestial South. Mrs. Felton engaged in a newspaper war with the *Boston Transcript* in her usual direct and forceful language. Her biographer summarized her position as follows:

Bluntly she charged the Northern newspapers with encouraging those crimes that made lynching necessary. Their maudlin sympathy for the Southern Negro had made him restless and unruly. If Boston wanted mixed marriages, that was its business but it might as well understand that in the South a Negro who laid hands on a white woman was going to die. Of course, she had rather see the brute hanged legally, but if he confessed to a mob, then he stood condemned by civilization, with the possible exception of Boston."⁴

A Negro editor in Wilmington (NC) fired off an incendiary response to Mrs. Felton. He cynically commented that the poorer classes of white women were entirely too free with clandestine meetings with black men—just as white men were with colored women. The black scribe advised white people to begin at the fountainhead to purify the stream. In November 1898, the Republican administration of Wilmington departed office and the sage African immediately decamped for New Jersey's more salubrious climes before a mob sacked the newspaper office and 11 other Negroes perished in the riots that followed.

Mrs. Felton continued to promote lynching as a means of controlling feckless Negro criminal conduct. Even the self-confessed liberal author of Mrs. Felton's biography was forced to admit that "from 1890 on into the first of the 20th century there was an alarming outburst of Negro violence and white reprisals, both of which were probably brought on by the white man's efforts to curtail the political and social advances made by the Negro."⁵

It did not occur to him—or he thought it less than discreet to articulate it—that the reverse of the proposition was more likely true. The behavior of Negroes drove the Southerners to curtail their privileged status in post-Reconstruction society. Many Southerners, particularly prominent newspaperman Henry Grady, were willing to put race aside if it were shown to be workable and good for business. When experience proved otherwise, the Jim Crow laws were born.⁶

While Mrs. Felton considered herself a devout Christian, she did not hesitate to open fire on the clerics of the day whom she suspected were but corrupt timeservers.

Dr. J. B. Hawthorne of the Southern Baptists entered the fray by criticizing Mrs. Felton for her remarks about lynching. Hawthorne had crossed swords with her previ-

ously over Prohibition and women's suffrage. Hawthorne was quoted as stating she represented "humanity verging toward the animal and the fiend" in a sermon pompously titled, "Christianity and Mob Law."⁷

Never one to back down, Mrs. Felton retorted that Hawthorne was but a "slick-haired, slick-tongued Peck-sniffian blatherskite." She claimed that lynch law had curtailed the level of black-on-white crime. (When is the last time any public figure took umbrage at black-on-white crime?) In the next exchange of fire, Hawthorne responded that he only sought to correct the bad press and bad reputation Georgia was getting in the Northern media. (Could be bad for business, you know.) As a proud Southerner, Mrs. Felton replied that Hawthorne was truckling to the Yankees to get a higher salaried job. It was later revealed that he was paid to endorse patent medicine cures. An early Jim Bakker?

Not long after the exposure of Hawthorne, a Negro outrage occurred in Coweta County, south of Atlanta. A darky by the name of Sam Horse murdered a white farmer, then raped his wife while forcing her to lie next to her dead husband's body. Horse then took to his heels as posses combed middle Georgia in pursuit.

Mrs. Felton urged the posses to simply shoot Horse down rather than capture him. That would spare the victim the necessity of testifying in open court. After a ten-day search, Horse was captured and jailed in the county seat of Newnan. The next morning, a Sunday, the inevitable occurred. A mob pulled Horse from his cell, emasculated him and burned him alive. The North exploded. Most Southern papers did not comment nor did Mrs. Felton.

Three years later, a self-hating Southerner by the name of Andrew Sledd composed a plea against lynch law. A liberal by the lights of his time, Sledd would be excoriated today by the left. He explained that Negroes were not fit for integration and the North was wrong to attempt to force it on the South. However, the South was wrong to evoke the brutal reprisal of lynching to control black crime. Sledd painted a particularly poignant picture of the perpetrators of Horse's death. All the worst aspects of mob behavior were paraded in print for the reader's revulsion.⁸

Mrs. Felton leapt to the defense of Dixie. She pointed out that Sledd did not bother about the death of the farmer or the sufferings of his wife. The victims of Horse were ignored by the "sniveling ink-slinger" hireling of a Yankee magazine. She advised Sledd to head north to get a job with a Negrophile journal before his fellow Southerners accoutered him with tar and feathers.

As it developed, Sledd was a professor at Emory University, a Methodist school that at the time had some reputation for decency. Mrs. Felton began to agitate against his continued employment until Sledd resigned his professorship. He served his martyrdom by taking the presidency of the University of Florida. After he retired from that post, Emory reappointed him in 1915. His "courage" enabled him to bear up well under this terrible oppression.

As bad as mob rule was and is, Mrs. Felton's point was that the primitive behavior of blacks required the threat of immediate retribution in order to put brakes on their wild actions. We have now escaped the bad old lynching days—or have we? Are whites the victims of lynching today instead of blacks? Studies of crime records make it clear that today whites are the targets of Negro lynch mobs.⁹ Instead of condemning them, we are urged to understand the poor, misunderstood "youths" who behave just as their ancestors did a century or more ago.

When the New England abolitionists, spiritualists, phrenologists and transcendentalists idealized the Negro, one could have hoped that time would have modified their optimism. Instead, they have degenerated into liberals and progressives who are clearly impervious to rationality.

The lessons of Rebecca Latimer Felton's life are many. She worked long and unsparingly to the end of her days for the issues and causes that were of most importance to her. She still was able to raise her family and run several businesses. In theory she ought to be one of those "Virginia Slims" female role models who "had it all." She was an outspoken and courageous racist even in a day when most Americans assumed that their country would always be a white country. When her opponents objected to this great fundamental principle, she would rush to the defense of her race. She did not care one whit for the accolades of her enemies. She never compromised. Despite all these characteristics—which modern commentators would advise were guarantees of failure—she was successful. She and her husband were showered with honors. When they each passed away, they were genuinely mourned by the folk for whom they had labored so diligently.

Compare the careers of the "white racialists" of our own times with the career of Mrs. Felton. The pathetic George Wallace comes to mind. Despite his earlier liberal views on race, he became identified as the foremost segregationist of the Southland. His charade of standing in the schoolhouse door was remembered while his instantaneous, arranged departure from the transom was forgotten. If Wallace ever truly believed in segregation, he should have had no apologies for it when integration was tried under every conceivable format and failed. He should have been willing—if not enthusiastically able—to enjoy that great human pleasure of saying: "I told you so." Instead, in his declining days, he lacked the fortitude to be a John Randolph of Roanoke, Cicero, Cato or Demosthenes, to speak out for the dying Republic. Wallace groveled and cowered like a whipped cur as he begged the media to lay off. The psychological prostration was to no avail. He will go down in history as a confused failure—"A man who saw the light too late." Wallace simply lacked character. He did not truly believe in what he did or said when he was in power. His resistance always ceased whenever the cost mounted. He was a symbol of the South's failure to prevent the Second Reconstruction.

In contrast, Rebecca Latimer Felton proved time and

time again that she had the character and moral courage that elevated her to the Pantheon of heroes and heroines of our race.

The Greeks believed that no one actually perished whose name was remembered. We should study the careers of our forebears such as Mrs. Felton. She fought heroically for us. She and many others like her deserve honor and remembrance. Meanwhile we should carry on her work, as she would have us work, for our posterity.

GOOBER PEAS

Footnotes

1. For the Left, race always trumps. Mrs. Felton's activities on behalf of feminism receive no plaudits when weighed against her racial views. There is a lesson here since, as conservationists point out, extinction is forever. Should rightists or racialists be content that someone reduces the capital gains tax and opens the floodgates to Third World immigrants at the same time? It has been entertaining to hear Republicans complain that Democrats wish to retain Clinton as president despite his scurrilous lawbreaking while defending the violation of immigration laws so they can have cheap nannies and yard men.

2. Talmadge, John E., *Rebecca Latimer Felton: Nine Stormy Decades*, University of Georgia Press, Athens, 1960, p. 9. There is only one biography of Mrs. Felton. Talmadge's work can still be found on occasion in used bookstores. Additional information can be gleaned from biographies men of the times such as Tom Watson, Benjamin H. Hill, John B. Gordon, Alexander H. Stephens and Joseph Brown. The Feltons also draw mention in post-war histories of Georgia because of Mr. Felton's Congressional career. Histories of populism in Georgia also give the Feltons considerable coverage.

3. *Ibid.*, p. 114. Also see *Atlanta Journal*, Aug. 12, 1897.

4. *Ibid.*

5. *Ibid.*, p. 118.

6. A friend recently commented that the invention of air con-

ditioning made integration possible due to the olfactory considerations of association with Negroes (as well as Asian disdain for whites).

7. *Ibid.*, p. 115.

8. See Andrew Sledd, "The Negro: Another View," *Atlantic Monthly*, July, 1902.

9. Many articles on this subject have appeared in *Instauration* as well as in Jared Taylor's *Paved With Good Intentions*, Carroll & Graf Publishers, Inc., NY, 1992.

The Heritage

Our fathers in a wondrous age,
Ere yet the Earth was small,
Ensured to us an heritage,
And doubted not at all

That we, the children of their heart,
Which then did beat so high,
In later time should play like part
For our posterity.

Then fretful, murmur not they gave
So great a charge to keep,
Nor dream that awestruck Time shall save
Their labour while we sleep.

Dear-bought and clear, a thousand year,
Our father's title runs.
Make we likewise their sacrifice,
Defrauding not our sons.

Rudyard Kipling

The attempt to defame Lingbergh

The Airman or the Carpenter?

"The Lindbergh Baby Kidnapping" (*Instauration*, Feb. 1999) does a service by airing doubts that still fester among members of the Majority as to the justice of Richard Hauptmann's conviction and execution for the crime.

Nevertheless the evidence of Hauptmann's guilt remains overwhelming. Nor can the Majority afford to ignore the evidence that efforts to rehabilitate Hauptmann are part of a larger anti-Majority enterprise to tarnish Charles Lindbergh. Those behind the slanderous move care nothing for the German carpenter. The Lone Eagle is the target because of his life's work for the American Majority and for worldwide white racial betterment and, because by his courage, intelligence, independence and

Nordic demeanor, he personifies everything the haters envy.

Needless to say, Lindbergh's attackers have been unfettered by considerations of decency. One Lindbergh "revisionist," Noel Behn, has argued that Lindbergh was covering up his sister-in-law's murder of the baby.

In *Crime of the Century*, Gregory Ahlgren and Stephen Monier argue that Lindbergh himself killed the child while carrying out a practical joke!

The New York Post's yenta-in-residence, Cindy Adams, has floated the vile rumor that Charles Lindbergh killed his own son for reasons of eugenics.

Majority members who ally themselves with the anti-Lindbergh canaille do so mainly out of sympathy for

Hauptmann (and to a lesser degree, perhaps, out of a predilection for conspiracies, understandable, if not laudable, in a group that is suffering stealthy dispossession). Much of their feeling that Hauptmann was railroaded for the crime is due to a sense of outrage over the crude German-bashing of the press and the chief prosecutor, New Jersey's Jewish attorney general, David Wilentz.

There's no question that the investigation was marred by improprieties, such as Hauptmann's beating by New York City police. The gutter press showed the same bias and invasiveness against Hauptmann that had led to Lindbergh's smoldering anti-media bias. Indeed, had the trial taken place under today's rules, Hauptmann might have walked as insouciantly as O.J. Simpson.

The facts that link Hauptmann to the crime, however, are persuasive. He was caught with nearly \$15,000 from the ransom hidden in his garage in the Bronx. A man tallying with Hauptmann's description had been passing the ransom money in the Bronx and in German neighborhoods of Manhattan for at least a year before Hauptmann's arrest. The various ransom notes had been written in an English heavily influenced by German spelling and grammar. The kidnapper had learned of the eccentric John Condon's offer to serve as a mediator by reading a local Bronx newspaper, and meetings between Condon and the kidnapper took place in the Bronx.

Hauptmann's defenders point to his friendship with an unsavory character by the name of Isidor Fisch. Echoing Hauptmann, they claim it was Fisch who gulled the carpenter into various commodity speculations, then went off to Germany in December 1933, dying there of tuberculosis soon afterwards, leaving Hauptmann in the lurch for about \$5,500. The only consolation, Hauptmann testified, was that the swindler had trustingly left more than \$14,000 of the ransom money, carefully bundled, in Hauptmann's hands, for safekeeping. This explanation the newspapers instantly dubbed the "Fisch story."

It is surprising that members of the Majority who defend Hauptmann's character have not been deterred by his intimate dealings with Fisch or wondered at how, during Germany's "awakening," it was the Jewish *Luftmensch* who found his way to the *Heimat*, while the honest craftsman remained in America speculating in stocks and (of all commodities!) furs. By Hauptmann's own admission, he stopped work as a carpenter a day or two after the Lindbergh ransom was paid, to devote himself entirely to his financial affairs.

Hauptmann may pose less a riddle when his past in Germany is squarely confronted. Despite his defenders' attempts to whittle his criminal record down to a few petty offenses, Hauptmann served nearly four years for burglary, grand larceny and armed robbery in his native country. Shortly after his release in 1923, he was arrested for receiving stolen property, escaped, and entered the U.S. illegally that same year. Hauptmann sentimentalists attempt to exonerate him for robbing, at gunpoint, two Hausfrau wheeling baby carriages—these, they tell us, were carry-

ing groceries, not babies.

More relevant, perhaps, to Hauptmann's criminal career is an incident in 1919, in which he and an accomplice made a daring entry into the home of the burgomaster of Bernbruch at 1:00 a.m., making off with 300 marks and the mayor's watch. They gained access through a second floor window—using a ladder.

Nobody but Hauptmann has ever been persuasively linked to the crime—despite Lindbergh's panicked efforts to enlist the underworld in the attempt to solve the crime by handing gangsters a tracing of the original ransom note.

To be sure, Hauptmann's defenders have pointed to the inevitable imperfections in the state's case—investigative lapses, questionable identifications, changes in testimony—and to such troubling questions as whether Hauptmann's employment records for the day of the kidnapping were falsified.

Even here, however, they fail to convince. For one thing, Hauptmann told the court that he had left work in the morning that fatal day. But even if the records were altered, as seems possible, Hauptmann could have easily enough made it from his workplace in Manhattan by subway to the Bronx and then by car to the Lindberghs' home in Hopewell, New Jersey, within the three-and-a-half-hour time frame.

No skilled carpenter would have made such a ladder? A rung snapped, to be sure, but accidents happen. The ladder was otherwise a skilled contrivance, if not a masterpiece; it was a throwaway, after all. One can be agnostic as to whether the state's expert traced the lumber to Hauptmann's attic or not, but the verdict didn't—and doesn't—depend on it.

With all the best will in the world, it seems impossible to acquit Richard Hauptmann, short of the Johnnie Cochran-style antics that advocates like Behn and the rest resort to. Could he have had accomplices or been set up? If so, we'll likely never know, for Hauptmann kept his silence to the grave. It is even possible to retain a certain admiration for a guilty Hauptmann—assuming the Lindbergh baby died by accident.

Arguably several American presidents in the years since the kidnapping have been viler men and done more wrong than Richard Hauptmann. A hard, brave veteran of some of the most terrible battles in history, Hauptmann took a desperate stab at gaining family wealth, and died rather than confess and shame himself in the eyes of his wife and son.

Who killed the Lindbergh baby? If the choice is to be between the Airman and the Carpenter, as current circumstances surely dictate that it is, we must choose Charles Lindbergh. In death, Hauptmann has become the pawn of our enemies. In the desperate end game in which we of the American Majority find ourselves, we can't afford to exchange a pawn for a knight.

MORIARTY

The Holocaust: History or Dogma?

According to the Washington Report on Middle East Affairs (Oct. 1996, p. 44), the U.S. spends more than \$5.5 billion a year in direct and indirect aid to Israel. That is almost \$15.2 million a day—365 days a year! Why?

Because the Holocaust is viewed as a factual genocide of Jews and therefore Israel is entitled to special consideration and protection.

For half a century at least \$100 billion dollars in overt and covert aid of various forms have been transferred to Israel from U.S. government and private sources. As Donald Neff writes (WRMEA, Jan-Feb. 1997, p. 74):

[T]he U.S. aid program to Israel has amounted to the largest voluntary transfer of wealth and technology in history, far more than all American aid given to rehabilitate Western Europe under the Marshall Plan after World War II.

Why?

Because of massive, systematic, repetitive media hype of WWII atrocity propaganda such as:

- Jews living in Europe prior to WWII were innocent and loyal citizens of the nations in which they lived.
- Without cause, Hitler and the Germans hated the Jews and made them scapegoats for Germany's problems.
- Hitler and the National Socialists designed a systematic, institutionalized program to exterminate all the world's Jews, beginning with those in German-occupied Europe.
- Homicidal gas chambers were built and used by the Germans specifically for this purpose.
- Six million Jews and five million non-Jews were exterminated, mainly by gassing. Their bodies were completely consumed in large crematory facilities and outdoor burning pits, to dispose of the "evidence."

True or false, the Holocaust story has had and continues to have massive historical political and financial ramifications. After WWII the story was used to marshal popular support for Israel and to turn Germany into a nation of genocidal maniacs.

Revisionist Counterattack

Enter a small but determined movement of scholars from all over the world. Revisionist research asserts:

To accept without doubt, to accuse without trustworthy evidence, to intimidate without proof, to lie without punishment, to censor with impunity. . . all these inquisitorial practices are now flourishing in the Western democracies, thanks to Israel.

In the case of the Holocaust, the numbers of victims are wildly inflated, the method of killing is forensically disproved, the "murder weapon" is missing, and the tales of the "witnesses" are scientifically and historically unverifiable.

Despite revisionist research by competent scientists and scholars of the West who have risked their reputations and livelihoods in their search for historical truth, self-serving fictionalizing about the Holocaust continues untouched in the popular press and electronic media, movie industry, academia and in the public schools as early as kindergarten!

Why?

Because by now the Holocaust is treated not only as "history" but as a Jewish temporal religion. To question this new dogma makes one a heretic, subject to savage persecution and often prosecution. Does America need a new religion, a Holocaust "Cult of the Dead?" What does such gruesome propaganda do to children's mental health, to future generations? How will such a dogma affect the First Amendment and the American tradition of separation of church and state?

The prospectus of the U.S. Holocaust Memorial Museum states it is an educational institution dedicated to teaching historical facts of the Holocaust. This is an outright lie. In reality it is an institution dedicated to full-time indoctrination programs paid for by taxpayers! Where no alternate point of view is allowed or made available there is no education.

The Holocaust must be examined. Many serious questions must be asked. The forcible imposition on the public of a quasi-historical religious dogma that fears the light of scrutiny is unacceptable.

Thanks to Revisionist research spanning half a century, millions of people all over the world are now asking: What exactly is the Holocaust? What is so unique about it that massive censorship and persecution must be unleashed to stop thinking people from asking probing questions?

The options before us are simple:

- If the Holocaust is history, it should be open to investigation.
- If the Holocaust is a religious dogma, it has no place in public schools and should not be promoted as part of any public school curriculum.

Dogma Not History

An independent, in-depth investigation with public hearings by Congress would shed new light on many out-

rageous, insupportable claims of Holocaust promoters and enforcers. Such an investigation might reveal the very real but never admitted political goals of the Holocaust, along with the nefarious operations of the Israeli Lobby.

Let us get to the bottom of this controversy! The time is right! The issue is right! The goal is right! Let us, after more than five decades, finally separate fact from fiction in this all-pervasive emotional flagellation!

The Holocaust enforcers are not above the law. Think! Some \$15.2 million each day poured into the bottomless financial pit of Israel! Were it revealed by a long overdue investigation that many Holocaust claims were and are

fraudulent, the flow of guilt money would dwindle to a trickle.

Call your Congressman and Senator! Demand an Independent Counsel to investigate the Holocaust scam!

INGRID RIMLAND and 329

Ingrid Rimland is an internationally known Internet editorialist and author of a magisterial, three-volume historical novel, *Lebensraum!* She is the owner of a politically besieged and censored website challenging the Industry of Guilt.

E-mail: irimland@cts.com

Web site: www.webcom.com/ezundel/index.html

Who Caused Integration?

Is it reasonable, at this late date, to ask who caused America to suffer the agony of race-mixing in the 1950s and 1960s? One answer might be the power and influence of Wall Street. Back in the postwar 1940s the New York money market was enthralled with the prospects of huge profits to be acquired in replacing British and French colonial states with American-style commercial hegemony. One inhibition to that prospect was America's deep and abiding segregationist tradition. After all, how could Wall Street's emissaries square the provincialism of segregated bathrooms with the new modernist view of race held by the dark-skinned "statesmen" of Third World politics who were then in control of the newly emerging countries of Latin America, Africa and Asia? How could one talk about trade with these dusky leaders when America's own racial outlook was so anachronistic? With Wall Street's offshore investment prospects in the balance, it is not unthinkable that the nation's financial leaders concluded that matters at home must be put in order.

By the early 1950s a nation that had been solidly behind segregation was waking up to find its political, spiritual and educational leaders suddenly in lockstep about integration. A Democratic Party that had historically represented Southern white interests now was openly insulting Dixiecrats. A Republican Party that had only laughed at its own romantic rhetoric regarding "Negro rights" was now pushing for racial integration at the end of a National Guardsman's bayonet. As America's preachers, teachers and poets were speaking about the great moral urgency of race-mixing, Wall Street itself was taking positions favoring integration, both individually and through the powerful voice of nonprofit foundations.

While coincidence does not necessarily imply causality, the lineup of events has a suspicious ring. As the nation moved toward race-blindness, exports soared and Wall Street boomed. As American exports multiplied, so too did imports of automobiles, consumer appliances and clothing.

American industry would soon be transformed into a rust belt of economic decline.

In the 1940s, America's race problem was viewed essentially as a Southern matter. In the 1950s, with the introduction of the automatic cotton picker to Southern agriculture, millions of blacks, finding themselves unemployed, began streaming northward in long bus caravans toward the "freedoms" offered by generous Northern welfare checks. The worm of race had turned. Wall Street's Faustian bargain with exports would become a painful experience for the nation as a whole.

Economic history is replete with references to the evils of Wall Street, traditionally an easy target for political radicals. Rarely, however, had Wall Street been accused of supporting racial integration. But what was to prevent Wall Street from concluding that the racial peccadilloes of the underclass would be a hindrance to its vision of world conquest? Wall Street had the motive, the ability and the will to orchestrate a national sea change on race.

Clearly, Wall Street was not alone. The entire American middle class quickly fell in line behind the decision to integrate. Only blue-collar whites fought until the battle was lost. With National Guardsmen on one side and state troopers on the other, the prole revolt on race in the 1950s was doomed from the start. Blue-collar Americans fought because they knew the stakes involved their jobs, neighborhoods, schools and, even in some cases, their lives.

The middle class was only to learn years later that people don't survive race-mixing. They endure it until escape becomes absolutely necessary. The middle class fled the cities in droves in the 1960s and beyond. Ethnic whites found themselves trapped between a wall of rising housing values on the one hand and declining job opportunities on the other. Wall Street, the great beneficiary of all this, carted away its profits, while allowing the rest of America to foot the bill.

IVAN HILD

The Party Isn't Over

Once upon a time Jews and Communists were practically synonymous in Hollywood. Anyone who believes this statement to be overblown should shell out \$25 for *Hollywood Party: How Communism Seduced the American Film Industry in the 1930s and 1940s* by Kenneth Lloyd Billingsley. Most of the early Communist efforts to influence Hollywood centered around labor union organizing. This was an astute move, as labor unions came to control practically all day-to-day production in Hollywood. The first Communist labor organizer there was Jeff Kibre, whose plan was to organize many separate unions into an umbrella organization. His efforts were taken over by Herbert K. Sorrell, who later headed the Communist-dominated Conference of Studio Unions (CSU).

Much of Billingsley's book deals with Sorrell, his crippling strikes and his antagonism to his chief opponent, Roy Brewer, a lifelong New Dealer, who was originally sent to Hollywood to straighten out a jurisdictional dispute between the CSU and the Inter-Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees. Brewer quickly became aware of the vast Communist clout in the Hollywood unions and moved vigorously to combat it. He emerges as one of the true heroes of the fight against the Hollywood Reds. The 1945 CSU strike against Warner Brothers reads like a horror story of Communist-inspired union hooliganism in downtown Burbank.

Hollywood Party recites in abundance the incredibly long but, alas, by no means complete list of Hollywood stars and writers infected with the Communist virus. Betsy Blair, wife of Gene Kelly (*Singin' in the Rain*), wanted to join the Party, but was turned down on the grounds that such an affiliation might harm her husband's public image. Katharine Hepburn frequently appeared at public meetings of the Communist front organization, Progressive Citizens of America, where she read speeches written for her, word-for-word, by CP member Dalton Trumbo. Nor were these isolated examples. Screenwriter Douglas Ogden Stewart, who scripted *The Philadelphia Story*, was an ardent Red, as was his wife, Ellen Winter, the first wife of muckraker Lincoln Steffens. The most influential Holly-


woodians outside of the CSU were the screenwriters, in particular those that came to be known as the Hollywood Ten. They included among their rank Adrian Scott, Albert Maltz, Dalton Trumbo, Ring Lardner Jr. and Lester Cole.

These worthy gentlemen became experts at inserting

Communist propaganda into the movies they worked on. Their technique was never to write movies openly espousing communism. Instead they sought to insert key ideas in a few lines of dialogue, preferably shot in scenes with high-priced stars so that it would be too expensive for the studios to do any reshooting. These Marxists were indeed very talented, such as the mystery novel writer Rex Stout of Nero Wolfe fame. They scripted many "patriotic" WWII films which many older readers may remember. Dalton Trumbo wrote *A Guy Named Joe* and *Thirty Seconds Over Tokyo*. John Howard Lawson, another member of the Hollywood Ten and the party's chief literary enforcer, wrote *Action in the North Atlantic*, *Sahara* and *Counterattack*. Albert Maltz contributed *Pride of the Marines* and *Destination Tokyo*. Al-

vah Bessie and Lester Cole collaborated on *Objective Burma*. In those days the Party line and the anti-Hitler crusade known as WWII meshed quite nicely.

Communism in Hollywood finally came under official investigation in October 1947 when the House un-American Activities Committee, chaired by Parnell Thomas convened. The so-called "unfriendly witnesses" who included the Hollywood Ten, made a mockery of the proceedings as they draped themselves in the First Amendment. They refused to answer questions and belligerently predicted "concentration camps" if the proceedings were allowed to continue. Actor and later director John Houston led a delegation of liberal stars to Washington to protest the persecution of the unfriendly witnesses, but later admitted that he had been badly duped. The congressional investigation resumed in 1951 with HUAC, chaired by Georgia Congressman John Wood, a former member of the 1947 committee. One stool pigeon after another squealed on his Party comrades, leading to repeated use of the Fifth Amendment, including the "diminished" Fifth and the "augmented" Fifth. (The former referred to the "I'm not a Communist now, but I refuse to



say about other times." The latter to "I'm not a Communist now, but I won't say about the past.)

It would be unfair to liberals who for so long have been accurately accused of being the accessories of communism, not to mention that some of the honest variety did stand up to the Hollywood Communists. The director, Elia Kazan, who once belonged to the Party and who trained in the New Deal Communist-front theater of the 1930s, finally left the Communists in the 1950s. His famous *On the Waterfront*, with its dilemma of conscience in "ratting on the boss," was frankly recognized by the Hollywood Communist Party for what it was—a defense of the stool pigeons. Like Roy Brewer, Elia Kazan, a Greek, was one leftist who knew when to draw the line.

The Hollywood Communist Party began to disintegrate during Stalin's purges in Eastern Europe and the revelations by Khrushchev following Uncle Joe's death. Author Billingsley frankly concedes that the liquidation of the Jewish commissars in the Soviet satellite states deeply perturbed Jewish Reds, in and out of Hollywood.

The Hollywood Ten made quite a comeback in the

1960s. Dalton Trumbo authored the script of *Spartacus* and he later worked with Otto Preminger on *Exodus*, two of the chief blockbusters of the time. Ring Lardner Jr. "returned" to script the movie, *Mash*. Albert Maltz wrote *Two Mules for Sister Sara*, starring Clint Eastwood and Shirley MaLaine. As Billingsley correctly points out, the Ten worked steadily under assumed names all the while they were supposedly being blacklisted. They kept their lavish salaries and luxuriant lifestyles. It was those who "ratted" on them who suffered calumny and joblessness.

Billingsley is well aware of the implications of his revelations. He both opens and closes his book by noting that the official Hollywood mythology of the HUAC hearings is precisely that, mythology. Yet Hollywood in films like Woody Allen's *The Front* and Irwin Winkler's *Guilty By Suspicion* continue to preach the increasingly discredited line that innocent men were victims of a witch-hunt. As Elia Kazan's wife, Molly, noted, the comparison was invalid and invidious.

472

Altruism Is the Enemy

More stupid behavior derives from altruism than from any other source. As a Judeo-Christian society we are brainwashed into believing "good deeds" are the ultimate reason for our existence. I find it strange that secular leftists are the most successful purveyors of this creed, using their virtually complete control of the media, the universities, the President and Congress to sell their beliefs to the American people.

I differ with many of the accepted concepts of the altruistic philosophy currently prevalent. Today one major topic is our Bosnian policy, which in many ways is the ultimate in altruistic stupidity.

Let's face it. We have no interests in that dismal land or lands. There is no oil to speak of, no minerals vital to our economy, nothing of a material nature that can effect our well-being.

Why then are we there? Apparently to enforce a peace concocted at Dayton by some European NATO countries, the U.S. and the three warring factions—Serbs, Croatians and Muslims.

Since Bosnian tribal wars have been going on for centuries, the question arises: why is it different this time? The rationale seems to be that with the collapse of the Russian empire the U.S. is now the world's only certified superpower. By ac-

cepting this title, according to our liberal masters, it then becomes our moral duty to stop these Balkan peoples from doing evil to each other. In essence, as some writers have pointed out, we must become the nanny of the world, wiping all the noses and bottoms of the smaller nations as we participate in their conflicts and problems.

Isn't this a rather large task even for an alleged superpower? There have been scads of wars in the world since WWII, not counting the ongoing tribal conflicts in Africa with the ghastly routine genocides. I don't believe as a people we have the will or the money to assume responsibility for and control of most of the world's nations.

President Clinton first ordered U.S. troops into the region in December 1995, sending 27,000 troops as part of a 60,000-strong multinational army to enforce peace terms agreed to by Bosnian fractional leaders. Clinton said our military would be there for one year.

Shortly after he won reelection in 1996, the President joined NATO leaders in extending the mission another 18 months to June 1998. He then went back to the American people and told them the troops will be there indefinitely. If this doesn't qualify as a bottomless military

quagmire, what does? Incidentally, to provide an idea of how much our allies value the Bosnian venture, NATO countries, including Britain and France, have threatened to pull out of Bosnia, if and when the Americans withdraw.

The most galling aspect of the Bosnia mess is that it is 100% a European problem and concern. Nevertheless we are saturated with the old argument that if we don't take the lead in putting out the fire now it will spread across the globe. We've heard that interventionist line before.

At this time in our history it makes absolutely no sense for us to maintain a military presence in Europe. Bosnia alone is costing us \$3 billion a year.


It is hard to believe that the American people can docilely stand by and allow our misguided elite to put in place a foreign policy that costs enormous amounts of money and bring the country zero benefits. None of this would happen if our so-called allies were not allowed to play upon our altruistic genes. The watchword for a thriving, prosperous, peaceful nation used to be, "God helps those who help themselves." God would certainly disapprove of what we are doing in Bosnia.

323

Plagiarism in the New Testament

Is the story of Jesus historical fact? Should the accounts in the four Gospels be taken literally? For many it is thought to be blasphemy to ask such questions. To question the Holy Bible, the "Divine Word of God," is tantamount to questioning Jehovah's supreme authority. But would it not honor Jehovah, this God of both the Jews and the Christians, if his servants, before accepting his emanating holiness as their God, used their intelligence, with which they claimed He endowed them, to become intellectually conscious of His so-called truths? By doing so they will recognize that His "teachings" are true—rather than to ignorantly and blindly accept for truth the so-called "Divine Word" as written by those fallible hands of its scribes. Questions are thoughts that arise from provoked intelligence. It is ignorance and passive acceptance that numbs the mind. If it is blasphemy to incite and use one's intelligence in the quest for truth, whether discovered in the Holy Bible, Darwin's theory of evolution or what have you, then let the Holy Ones accuse the Devil for taking possession of the Godly intelligence that guided the hands that wrote the numerous inconsistencies, incompatibilities and contradictions that plague the scriptures—to say nothing of its borrowed material.

The answer to the question of whether the accounts in the four Gospels should be taken literally is a resounding "No." Nor should the story of Jesus be considered historical fact. This leaves the inevitable question: If it did not really happen as described in the Gospels, then what did happen? With little uncertainty, scholars have already shown that the Gospels are essentially Jewish books, written by Jewish authors for early (Jewish) Christians who were still worshipping in synagogues. As the biblical scholar, Michael Goulder, points out: "Most of what is written in the Gospels involves references to the various festivals following the Jewish liturgical calendar." Goulder's book makes this point clear enough. But what about the fascinating story of Jesus? Are the Gospels the literal accounts of the life and death of a Christ? The encyclopedia of early Christianity states: "The life of Jesus is difficult to construct, because the four Gospels are not biographies, but rather documents of faith." What should have been written in the encyclopedia was: "The Gospels are Jewish attempts to interpret in a Jewish way, the life and death of a Jewish man in whom the transcendence of 'God' was believed to have been made manifest." These interpretations were not exact descriptions of what happened historically, not what Jesus actually said or did.



Were His words echoes from the past?

Liberating the Gospels by Bishop John Spong explains what Jesus supposedly did and said as embellishments of retold stories of old Jewish tribal heroes. But not, of course, without a divine rationale. Writes Spong:


[S]tories about heroes of the Jewish past were heightened and retold, again and again, about heroes of the present moment; not because those same events actually occurred, but because the reality of God revealed in those moments was like the reality of God known in the past.

Putting aside Spong's rationalization for the Gospels' plagiarism, we see hints that the importance of Jesus was described by first-century Jews according to the midrashic principle and followed the liturgical year. He makes clear that the Jewish scribes who wrote the Gospels saw Jesus as an expression of God. In an attempt to heighten His divinity and power, Spong retold older stories of other Jewish heroes, attributing them to the embellished "Christ."

This can easily be confirmed by studying the first five books of the Hebrew bible (the Torah) in contrast to the four Gospels. In Luke one can discover Torah readings of Genesis in his birth narrative. In Zechariah and Elisabeth, the parents of John the Baptist, we find echoes of Abraham and Sarah. Both sets of parents were called Righteous (Gen. 26:5, Luke 1:6). Both Sarah and Elisabeth were barren (Gen. 11:30, Luke 1:7). Both were advanced in age (Gen. 18:11, Luke 1:7). In both stories the angelic annunciation came to a disbelieving father (Gen. 18:11-13, Luke 1:11). Both fathers were assured nothing was impossible with God (Gen. 18:14, Luke 1:37).

The cry from the cross: "My God, my God, why have you forsaken me?" mentioned only in Mark and in Matthew, comes straight out of Psalms 22:1. This piercing appeal to God was probably omitted in the later Gospels of Luke and John because it was thought to violate the enhanced divine status increasingly being attributed to Jesus. Psalms 22:7, 15-18 and Psalms 69:21 provide much more of the material for the crucifixion scene, including the mocking, the vinegar given Jesus to drink, the dividing of the garments and the casting of lots. Another source for the crucifixion events came from Isaiah's so-called suffering servant (Is. 53:3-6).

There are too many examples to list in this short essay. Enough, however, has been said to show that there is much that is not original in the New Testament.



The Cosmic Crisis

I keep seeing dangerous political myopia in letters and even articles sent to *Our Favorite Magazine*. I have tried in two former articles to dispel some of this. Lest we get lost in insignificant but amusing sideshows such as the impeachment process, I want to state the danger in such a way that we are forced to face it head on.


Present political trends have called not only the destiny of our people but the future of life itself into question. If these trends run their course, they will endanger all the progress nature has ever made with sapience on earth. For the first time in the history of the planet, a group of living creatures has turned against the most basic evolutionary drives and embraced those that tend toward degeneration, death and extinction.

It is of crucial importance that while we note the ephemeral and sordid events of our present situation we never lose sight of the long view. Liberalism, which energizes this death quest and tries to appear to be of only present social significance, represents a fundamental peril of worldwide proportions. It has the potential to preempt our future choices and terminate the experiment made with sapience and all that goes with it.

We Instaurationists have a pretty good idea of what liberalism means in the short run. We have been far too silent about the horror of its long-term consequences. We talk about our political dispossession becoming complete in the first half of the coming century. But what about our fate over the millennia that follow? Is the time frame so extended or our destiny so macabre as to lie beyond our power to face it?

Let us look at Spengler. His hypothesis was a bombshell, capping centuries of technological and academic progress and introducing to the world the concept of cultures as organic entities with births, periods of development, fulfillment and death. He proposed that laws apply to cultures, analogous to those that govern other aspects of nature, which explain the stages in a culture's life and may be used to predict in a general manner the course a particular culture will take. He saw trends indicative of cultural disease and described the diseases as well as the conditions that might cause them.

Spengler's exhaustively documented *Decline of the*



Spengler, organic historian


West spawned controversy that continues to this day. Some, perhaps moved by his pessimism, have set out to disprove him. Others, with agendas that include misdirecting the culture's attention away from knowledge of factors that might lead to its recovery, have done likewise, but for less honest reasons. We are all aware of these people and their mischief.

I am proposing that not only cultures but planets themselves obey a set of laws such as Spengler prescribed. Such a proposal is harder to make with only our one planet to consider. It is as though we were studying a single species of organism, all of whose individuals live together and are not dispersed over different landscapes. In such a setting genetic change will occur over time, but this change will be homogenized throughout the population and will therefore never lead to the evolution of separate races. This does not mean that the dynamics that form races and ultimately separate species is lacking. I only mean that because it does not operate on separate groups we will not be able to see it and can only guess as to its nature or even existence. If we had at least two such groups, each genetically separate, the quiet dynamics of genetic change would work its evolution within each—and races and ultimately species could evolve.

So it may be with planets and the life they support. Because we have only this one planet to study, and even then must force our common sense and creative intellect past the prevarications of academic pirates such as the Boas school of anthropology and its progeny, we are apt to lose sight of the likelihood that laws analogous to those of Spengler govern the painstaking evolution of planets from their most primitive stages through the level ours has attained and on into the destinies that the general laws of the universe make possible; laws that someone able to observe many planets, each with sapient life, might well be able to articulate.

I further propose that such laws will also address diseases that instead of afflicting a high culture will engulf and consume, at least for eons and possibly forever, the life force of an entire planet, rendering impossible, or at least very remote, the chance that natural progress will once again begin to direct the planet's evolution toward the more complex forms it has always pursued.

As planets evolve, certain geological "crises," processes of fairly short duration that result in worldwide cataclysmic change, are known to occur. The "Iron Crisis," whereby the early earth, condensing out of gas clouds orbiting the sun, reached a critical temperature at which iron liquefied and percolated downward toward the center. Another is the "Oxygen Crisis," which changed the nature of the atmosphere to one of oxygen and nitrogen, resulting in the formation of the Silurian "red beds" that are colored by



iron oxide. I am predicting that a crisis of equal proportions is unfolding in line with this simple scenario: sapience evolves to a point where complex societies appear which develop various social and political doctrines often at odds with each other. As the most advanced representatives of any evolutionary feature are invariably in the minority, any factor that will increase their strength runs the risk of conflict with the perceived interests of their more numerous inferiors. In a society in which the majority's wishes are weighed so heavily as to prevail in such conflicts, further evolutionary progress will be retarded or even stopped. Progress will slow and finally cease, reaching a limit beyond which nothing further can evolve. During this process resources amassed since the creation are squandered and unavailable for future crises or opportunities. A microcosm of this horror, in which village lands are overgrazed to the point of uselessness, has been aptly labeled by Garrett Hardin as the "Tragedy of the Commons." A possible reason why we have been unable to detect any signal of intelligent alien life in the universe is that other planets have fallen victim to this same process and are now silent. I believe that what we are witnessing today exemplifies this process. I name it the "Crisis of Liberalism."

As we are discovering, unless some means are found to reduce the social strength of the ordinary "many" relative to the superior "few," the crisis will deepen. If we had a reasonable sample of other planets with sapient denizens to study, we might be able to determine if this phenomenon is the planetary analog of one of Spengler's culture diseases, suggesting policies we should advance and those we should avoid.

But we are denied this course. We are consequently forced into either acting solely on our inductive techniques and educated guesses, born of skeptical and discerning minds willing to face hard truths, or letting the Crisis of Liberalism, through its agents of necrotic change assign to us a destiny of its own choosing. We are already witnessing the beginnings of such a destiny.

We all know liberalism's heritage. It has claimed at least a hundred million lives in this century. Such bloodbaths bode well to be a mere drop in the bucket compared to its potential harvest in the centuries to come. Proponents of this doctrine do not waste their time agonizing over whether or not they are playing God. They implement their social policies by the threat of the Gulag and the point of the bayonet.

Bloodbaths, however, are not liberalism's greatest danger. They are only its most obvious byproduct. If bloodless, liberalism would be even more insidious. It would quietly and gradually derail the rightful destiny of this planet by dulling the evolutionary edge of our species by "racial blending" and "diversity" while sacrificing our irre-

placeable resources for the pointless and increasingly destructive purpose of increasing the human biomass.

Spengler described a culture's final stages as dominated first by economics and then by matters of blood. By the time that economics ceases to drive the culture, enough damage has usually been done so that the blood "cures" that follow are usually too late. As the technical power of Western culture comes to dominate the entire globe, the cultural dynamics becomes applicable to the globe as well, taking Spengler's laws into the realm of cosmology. If we Instaurationists can do nothing else, we must at least fashion a refuge for our best specimens, sacrosanct from the forces of the crisis, until we can emerge and reclaim our lost heritage.

The evolution of living things relies on the will or drive of each individual organism to live, to move forward and to fight against any threat. Even an old tree, pressed to the ground by a storm, sends up living shoots as death envelops it. To lose the will to fight for life is to deserve to die. When the individuals of a race lose their collective will to live, that race is finished. Nature will quickly move such an abomination out of the way and into the peaceful haven of nonexistence. Liberalism, a sinking ship, is proving to have that precise effect on us. It behooves us to swim for our lives lest we be sucked down into the depths. Its effect on our particular race is moving beyond genocide to the even more ghoulish result of geocide.

It is therefore proper for us—indeed, it is our duty—to fight with every ounce of strength we can muster, from propaganda to political strategy to outright physical restraint if so constrained, to assert our right to exist and provide a future for our children and their progeny, as far down the corridor of time as our efforts will reach.

DUTCH UNCLE

Runners at Evening


If only bodies going fast
could make the golden moment last!
Their limbs levering to and fro
as if a flock of scissors passed,
around the endless track they go -
the sprung thigh and the spurting toe -
while in the day's collapsing light
their pallor and their pathos grow.

Yet still they run, as if they might -
if only they could get it right,
with mouths agape and eyes screwed tight -
outrun the overtaking Night.

Peter J. Lorden

Skin-Flynt

Larry Flynt managed to get his obscenity trial postponed so he could recover from an operation on his urinary tract. After destroying the career of would-be House



Smut is my business

Speaker Bob Livingston (R-LA) for sexual hanky panky, Flynt turned his attention to Representative Bob Barr (R-GA). Together with Harvard shyster Alan Dershowitz, Flynt reviled Barr for his right-wing politics, his speech before a pro-Dixie group and for persuading his wife to have an abortion. Democrats, of course, are not included in Flynt's "S" list.

Flynt's daughter, Tanya Flynt-Vega, who accused her father of sexually abusing her and claimed he was a rapist, said that Mongoloid James Carville cooperated with pater in the latter's scandalous, slanderous campaign against Republicans. That a Clintonian braintruster and hatchetman like Carville would join hands with a pornographic bottom-scraper like Flynt is not surprising. They are two rotten peas in a pod. Even Carville, however, must hold his nose when he remembers that Flynt is the owner of the emetic *Hustler*, a scatological rag that once ran an illustration of a woman being pushed through a meat grinder.

More Israeli Spying

In the midst of one of his interminable phone sex marathons with Monica, Clinton warned her that a foreign embassy was tapping her phone. The snooper turned out to be Danny Yaton, the Inspector General of Mossad. In return for keeping the sizzling conversations private, Yaton demanded a "favor" from Clinton, who promised to call off an FBI search for a superspy in the White House hierarchy. The President, whenever Israel enters the picture, meekly complies.

According to Gordon Thomas in his

new book, *The Secret History of Mossad* (St. Martin's Press), the spy, code named MEGA, has done more damage to U.S. national security than the Rosenbergs and Pollard put together. All of this sounds unbelievable but, in the age of Clinton, rumormongering more often than not turns out to be truthmongering.

Forked-Tongue Jesse

Abe Rosenthal quotes Jesse Jackson as saying the following about Clinton in 1992:

I can maybe work with him but I know now who he is, what he is. There is nothing this man won't do. He is immune to shame. Move past all the nice posturing and get really down in there in him, you find absolutely nothing. . .nothing but an appetite.

Despite Jesse's abysmally low opinion of the President, whenever life gets dicey for Clinton, Jesse rushes off to the White House to have a prayer session with the



Clinton's spiritual adviser

Prez and Hillary (and Chelsea, if she happens to be home from Stanford). After calling New York City "Hymietown," would any white cleric be given the keys to the White House?

Trigger-Happy Police

Nothing perks up minority racism more than police overkill. In Riverside (CA) four policemen fired 24 bullets into the body of a weird-acting Negress, Tyisha Miller, locked in a car and possibly reaching for a gun.

In New York City police fired 41 shots at immigrant Amadou Diallo in an apartment house vestibule in the middle of the night in one of the most dangerous areas of the Bronx. He was unarmed but had been making threatening gestures.

Both events quickly became media

causes célèbres. Characters like black Al Sharpton crawled out of the woodwork to accuse the white cops of heinous crimes and to serve up extra dollops of antiwhite hatred. The problem seems insoluble. If the police aren't alert, they may be shot by crack-ridden blacks. If they are alert and shoot first, they are the targets of vicious slander by the media. Big-city cops nowadays are damned if they do and damned if they don't. But whatever they do, they should do everything possible to avoid giving the media something to scream about.

Hillary's Resurrection

There is one big splotch on the nimbus of St. Hillary. In an awkward moment of political amnesia she announced that Palestinians deserve their own state (as they surely do, but which very few American politicians would dare advocate). To undo the damage, Hillary met with a gaggle of rabbis, who somehow persuaded




Undergoing some mind-washing

her she hadn't really meant what she'd said. Talmudic-trained rabbis can do wonders with language. With a lot of bearded Jews nudging her, she "clarified" her position on Palestine by saying it is up to the people involved to negotiate a final settlement.

Below are the exact words Hillary spoke on May 6, 1998 to a group of young Arabs and Israelis on her pilgrimage to the Middle East:

I think that it will be in the long-term interests of the Middle East for Palestine to be a state. . . I think that it is very important for the Palestinian people. But I also think it's very important for the broader goal of peace in the Middle East.

Not exactly politically correct speech for a lady pol who is giving some signs that she will run for Democratic senator from a state where Jewish moneybags can make or break candidates.



Selfish Altruist

The Pacific Lumber Co. was praised by the media for selling a grove of redwoods to the government, thereby saving the magnificent trees, some 2,000 years old and 20 stories high, from the lumberman's saw. The price was pretty high—\$480 million—so it wasn't exactly a giveaway. The boss of Pacific Lumber, the wheeler-dealer behind the deal, is a gentleman named Hurwitz.

Old Pals Fall Out

Christopher Hitchens, a clever part-Jewish Brit, denies he is a Holocaust denier. But old friend Edward Epstein denies



Hitchens is falling from grace

the denial. Ep avers Hitchens told him at a lunch some years ago, "the Holocaust was a fiction." Another reason Hitchens is in the Jewish doghouse is that he is bitterly anti-Clinton. Among other sins, Hitchens has accused Sidney Blumenthal of buzzing around town demeaning Monica at Clinton's behest and calling her a stalker. Blumenthal says this isn't true, though it probably is. As expected, Jews are closing ranks behind Blumenthal. Chances are high that Hitchens' income will be taking a sharp nosedive.

Hate à la Carte

Any time a crime is committed against a minority and the perpetrator is white, the media moan about a "hate crime." But if a minorityite commits a crime against a white, the mantra is soft-pedaled.

The hullabaloo the media make about hate crimes is getting scarier and scarier because it's more or less understood that only whites commit such crimes. Today's public mindset is that since minorities cannot be racists, they cannot commit hate crimes.

To sew up this line of thought the ADL is working nonstop to make anything that smacks of anti-Semitism a hate crime. Simultaneously the Jewish racist organiza-

tion is attempting to muzzle the Internet with "hate filters," voluntary now, but they may soon become mandatory software for all computers. Then any word or thought the ADL deems objectionable will be permanently blocked out. Get ready folks to say bye-bye to the First Amendment.

Principal Told to Bug Off

Two men, apparently Latinos, severely beat Norman Bernstein, Jewish principal of an elementary school in L.A. One of them told him, "We don't want you here anymore. Do you understand that, you white people?" So goes the Reconquista of California, which, ironically, is being helped along by Bernstein's racial cousins.

Warmongering Gang

It's a pretty safe bet that as minorities continue to gain power they will inevitably try to destroy the Majority physically as well as politically and economically. At present two publications are calling for wiping out the entire white race. One such hate tract is Race Traitor (New Abolitionists, P.O. Box 400603, Cambridge, MA 02140, \$20 per year, 4 issues). Its masthead proclaims, "Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity." Equally genocidal is The New Abolitionist (c/o D.C. Abolitionists, 326 1/2 16th St. S.E., Washington, DC 20002, \$10 per year, 6 issues), which calls on its readers to "Abolish the white race, by any means necessary." Both these publications openly promote the ethnic cleansing of whites. Interestingly, ADL honchos and Morris Seligman Dees, who boast they are totally against racism and violence, are keeping mum.

Will It Self-Destruct?

Affirmative action, by requiring that whites suspend their natural inclination in such matters as hiring, promoting, firing, grading, accepting and rejecting personnel, implicitly focuses the mind on the essential unfairness of arbitrary selection.

Affirmative action carries within itself the seeds of its own destruction. When whites think and reflect about it they can easily wind up enraged. The expression of this rage is usually defined by liberals as "racism." Grim is the consequence of making people think seriously about a policy that attempts to turn a cultural sow's ear into a cultural silk purse. If minorities have it within their capacity to succeed in society, affirmative action is

hardly necessary. If, on the other hand, there really is something to the charge that minorities are inferior, no amount of enforced integration is going to work. Only a perverse political establishment stands in the way of junking affirmative action and starting over in the business of social progress with a clean sheet of (white) paper.

I.H

White Women Slaughtered

Mohammed Ali, 23, no relation to the boxing champ, was charged with killing Tracey Biletnikoff, 20, daughter of Fred Biletnikoff, onetime Oakland Raiders football star. Meanwhile another young white woman has apparently gone the way of Tracey. Katherine Kupka, six-months' pregnant, disappeared in October, along with her black lover. Since then nothing has been heard of her or him.

Bury Me in Israel

Booze billionaire Edgar Bronfman Sr. wants to be interred in Israel's Mt. Herzl, the sacred burial ground of modern Jewish celebrities. Although some Chosenites object because Bronfman is not an Israeli, the all-powerful Jewish potentate will probably get his way. He has poured tens of millions of dollars into Jewish causes



Bury me in Israel

worldwide, including the racist state of Israel. "If I am buried there," said Bronfman, whose ifs generally translate into commands, "that will be a kind of proof that I was a real *mensch*."

Feds Also At Fault?

Reprehensible as was the gruesome murder in Jasper (TX), some responsibility for it lies with the federal government, whose antiwhite policies have driven many whites to despair, even to crime. Affirmative action and forced busing are notorious for generating racial hostility. Was the murder an act of vengeance by men, or at least one man, who had been beaten up and raped in prison by Negroes? If so, it is to be hoped the media will make that exculpatory point before the execution date.

741



Drugged Students

"Spare the rod and spoil the rod" was an educational truism until Dr. (anything goes) Spock arrived on the scene. But as the number of Negro kids in public schools increases, permissiveness is creating havoc in the classroom. The only really effective answer to the problem nowadays seems to be ritalin, a mild form of cocaine mixed with speed that calms down unruly and aggressive children. It works so well that 15% of public school children will be taking it come next year. The watchword is, "Better drugs than jungles." The real solution to the problem of wild, hyperactive, hyperfidgety children (mostly black kids, of course) is not a pill that temporarily makes them attentive and civilized. The real solution is a pill that will keep them in line permanently.

377

Forbidden Reading

Three young whites burned an 8-foot wooden swastika in front of the home of a mixed-race couple in Tennessee. The leader of the trio got three years; the other two 180 days each. One defendant was ordered by the judge not to have any "racist" materials in his possession during his three-year probation. How democratic is a country that tells its citizens what they can and cannot read?

Word Play

A white official of the D.C. government was fired for using the word "niggardly," which has nothing to do with that other "n" word. We are now so politically uptight that something that sounds like something else is *streng verboten*. Politicians opined that the man deserved to be fired, despite being technically innocent: "He should have known better." Obviously not a theory applicable to Clinton. After much racial razzmatazz in the press, the man was unfired, not necessarily because he was a maligned white but because it was discovered he was a maligned homo.

Twisting History

With the help of some Negro educators, McDonald's eateries in Illinois have published a 32-page booklet, *Little Known Black History Facts*, authored by Lady Sala Shabazz. One controversial theme is that Texas fought the Mexican War, not for independence from Mexico, but to preserve slavery. Kevin Young, president

of the Alamo Battlefield Assn., commented, "It's insulting to the men of the Alamo who were black, Anglo and Hispanic." Among the booklet's other whoppers, it claims that the *Yellow Rose of Texas* is the Texas state song. It isn't. It's *Texas Our Texas*. Lady Shabazz further leaves the mistaken impression that the Lone Star State won, not lost, the siege of the Alamo. Faced with such distortions of history, a spokesman for McDonald's still insisted there were no plans to correct the errors. At this rate Black History Month will soon be reduced to 30 days of lies.

Nasal Fixing

Rhinoplasty, the fancy name for a nose job, costs between \$3,000 and \$8,000. Jewish girls go for it in a big way, though the number of such procedures fell from 50,000 to 46,000 in 1992-96. "Every girl in Long Island had a Diamond nose," said Dr. George J. Barraca, referring to Jewish surgeon Howard Diamond, who is credited with standardizing the operation.

Cher had her septum divided. Barbra Streisand wanted a new nose, but was afraid it would affect her voice. The appearance of Paula Jones was greatly improved by her truncated proboscis.



Barbra worried about her precious nose

The more the Jews go after Majority members, the more they copy their noses. The Aesthetic Prop is still in high gear.

Whorishness in High Places

As the beatification of Monica gathers momentum, let it not be forgotten that within a few moments of Clinton's first tryst with her, she was lifting her skirt and flashing her thong underwear. It's the kind of immediate let's-get-down-to-business foreplay that appeals to the Pres-

ident. He earlier demonstrated his instantaneous approach to sex when he unzipped and issued his perverse command to Paula Jones.

As for Monica, what kind of female engages in such gross behavior? It's the same type of display put on by street prostitutes to attract customers. One would expect that after any such introduction a decent President would have thrown Monica out of the White House instant. Instead, Clinton, entranced by Monica's split-second lubricity, began a long affair with her, though Monica's brazen forwardness had revealed from the start that she was nothing more than a tramp. Even Orwell would have been astonished at the ease and speed with which a cheap sexpot is being transformed into a Jewish Princess.

And now comes news that Clinton is also a rapist. What are we to expect? Another jump in the President's polls?

Holiday Monopoly

Lots of noise is being made about yet another Jewish holiday, Purim. (It's getting so we're becoming more familiar with Jewish holidays than we are with our own.) We keep hearing over and over again, even from Christian preachers, that Haman's fate will be the fate of all Gentiles who dare to resist the Jewish agenda. Purim is the story of Jews taking revenge on all their enemies in the most horrendous ways imaginable. Don't any of these myopic Christians realize the incredible disparity between the two "kindred" faiths? Can't they see the glaring and fundamental differences in the opposing philosophies? Can't they perceive the unbridgeable chasm that separates a religion built on hate from one built on love?

We might recall Julius Streicher's last words, just before the hempen hoop was slipped around his neck at Nuremberg. Standing on the trap door, glaring at his executioners, knowing full well who was behind the vengeance being exacted, he grunted contemptuously, "Today is Purim, 1946!"

782

Banned from Law Practice

When Matt Hale of East Peoria (IL) applied for an Illinois license to practice law, he was turned down, albeit he had his law degree and had passed the State Bar Exam. The hitch is that Hale stated he was going to act as counsel for Majority activists. An even bigger debit is that he is a member of a group that is hostile to Jewry. He never had a chance. He is appealing.

Primate Watch



If you are Bill Gates and get a pie in the face, you brush it off (as he did in a European trip) and go about your business. If you are **Willie Brown**, the black mayor of San Francisco, and get a pie in the face, you go to court and try to get the perpetrators jailed.

#

Larry Edward McGee, 31, was ticked off at his local Social Security office for not sending his check on time. (It was believed that he was in jail, which had become more or less his permanent residence.) Furious, he slashed Gayle Bowman, one of the office workers, in the throat with a butcher knife. He then stabbed office manager William Moore in the forehead and hand. McGee's racial affiliation was not given in the news report, but Instauration would bet a sack of shekels he was black.

#

Washington's epicanthic-folded governor **Gary Locke** will mobilize 3,000 National Guard troops on December 31, 1999—just in case.

#

Hollywood gossip has it that **Steven Spielberg's** second wife, **Kate Capshaw**, a Nordic convert to Judaism, knows more about Judaica than **Amy Irving**, the Jewish racist's first wife, who was born a Jewess. Spielberg, incidentally, after receiving an award for *Saving Private Ryan*, announced his retirement. Unfortunately he was joking.

#

After threats of violence from black activists, the 5th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, granted a stay of execution to Texas Negro, **Gary Graham**, a convicted killer. Graham said he would forcibly resist being taken to the gas chamber and called on blacks to arm themselves and come to his support. "If it takes a picket sign," he threatened, "bring it. If it takes an AK-47, bring that."

#

Naval flight officer **Fredrica Spilman**, 28, who is leaving the service, appeared in the June 1998 issue of *Playboy* wearing only her dog tags. The 1990 Annapolis grad explained that she "wanted to show my feminine side."

#

Ram Dass is still recovering from a serious stroke that hit him in 1997. When a young psychology professor at Harvard, he joined the late Timothy Leary in conducting experiments that involved feeding mushrooms and LSD to prison inmates. Fired from his professorship, Dass took

Allen Ginsburg's advice and went to India to find "enlightenment." He returned to found a band of gurus. Dass's real name is **Richard Alpert**. He is the son of a prominent Jewish East German attorney.

#

A \$30-a-seat sold-out rock concert for **Mumia Abu-Jamal** was held in New Jersey's Meadowlands on January 29. The Jewish **Beastie Boys** were among the performers. State police were not overly enthused about providing security. Jamal, a black who has been on Death Row since 1981, murdered a Philadelphia cop.

#

The N.Y. Times (Jan. 11, 1999) ran a somewhat sympathetic piece on **Andrew Goldstein**, the 29-year-old Jew who pushed a 32-year-old blonde to her death in front of an oncoming New York City subway train. Goldstein, said the Times, is a troubled man and was "poorly served by the [mental health] system."

#

Peter Singer is the new professor of bioethics at Princeton. A highly touted Jewish academic, Singer, among his other pronouncements, claims that a baby has to be at least one month old to be considered human. He also believes that killing a pig is worse than killing a severely brain-damaged infant.

#

Although he amputated the wrong leg of a diabetic and inserted a catheter in the wrong vein of another patient, **Dr. Rolando Sanchez**, his nine-month suspension ended, will be able to resume his medical practice in Tampa this month.

#

Despite Pope John Paul II's and the Thai government's plea for clemency, onetime Buddhist monk **Jaturun Siripongs** was executed in San Quentin last February for killing the manager and a clerk of a small market where he had once been employed. The murderer was not afraid of death because he knew he would be reincarnated.

#

He should have been thrown in jail for assaulting his mother-in-law, but **Iyke Louis Udobi** father of the Houston octuplets (now septuplets), was given probation.

#

Poor Denny's. In 1994 the restaurant chain had to pay \$45.7 million to **black customers** who claimed they were ignored while later-arriving whites were being served. In 1997, Denny's white security guards allegedly beat up **six Asian**

students who complained about being denied service. Now **two Muslim customers** charge that a Denny's restaurant in Montana laced their food with "unclean" pork and bacon. Each wants \$1 million.

#

When **Terrence McLaurine** was 12 he shot and killed a Nashville electrician, presumably a white. Now 14, the young black pleaded guilty to murder and was handed a 15-year jail sentence. Had he stood trial and been found guilty, he could have received life.

#

Pablo Vasquez of Edinburg (TX) contended that the Devil commanded him to kill a teenager and drink his blood. He dutifully obeyed.

#

While black **Roosevelt Weems** was beating white Tommaso Provenzano to death with an iron pipe in a Long Island building project, he kept screaming, **Martin Luther King Jr.** told him "to kill." **Morris Seligman Dees** should look into the matter.

#

Cheryl Mills, black female shyster on Clinton's legal team, was given sterling treatment by the media for her defense of the President in the Senate impeachment trial. Unmentioned was that she herself is being investigated by the Dept. of Justice for hiding subpoenaed documents from a Congressional committee looking into the illegal use of FBI files.

#

Since blacks consider him "the first black president," it behooved **Clinton** to posthumously pardon the first black graduate of West Point, **Henry O. Flipper**. In 1882, President Chester Arthur gave Flipper a dishonorable discharge for conduct unbecoming an officer. Colin Powell kept Clinton company in this long-delayed race-massaging ceremony.

#

In a speech to a family planning organization in Washington (DC), blabbermouth **Ted Turner**, a.k.a., Mr. Jane Fonda, went on record as saying that the Ten Commandments should be rewritten to remove the prohibition against adultery. Still tongue-wagging, he advised the Pope, "to get with it, welcome to the 20th century," words not too well received by many Catholics.

#

More than **90 United Methodist ministers** convened in Sacramento (CA) to "bless" the same-sex marriage of two lesbians. Church law forbids such unions.

#


In Miami a gang of **20 Hispanics** were arrested and charged with forging \$3 million worth of car insurance claims.

Talking Numbers

1 .83 71 12 100.013 .03% 43 31425 77

The earth will be loaded with an estimated 8.9 billion earthlings in 2050; 11 billion in 2150.

Ex-Prez George Bush pockets from \$80,000 to \$100,000 for a so-so talk to



Expensive words

the rich and underservedly famous. O.J.'s shyster, Johnnie Cochran, charges \$50,000 a speech. Another grandstanding black, Colin Powell, gets \$60,000 to \$70,000 for an hour or two of babble. Bill Gates receives the most dough for a speech, but he turns his loot over to charity.

Today's population explosion is history's third. The first began with the development of farming at about 5000 B.C. and ended around A.D. 200. The second began in A.D. 600 and fizzled out by A.D. 1200-1300.

The Hate Crimes Statistics Act lists 8 Americans who were murdered in 1997: 5 for racial reasons; 3 for gender differences. The race of the perpetrators and victims was not given.

Jesse Jackson claims he will register 2 million new Southern voters for the Y2K election. By doing so he promises to "change the face of Southern politics."

A Jewish hater named Sid Wolinsky has boosted the number of Holocaust dead 300,000 by including the disabled who were victims of Nazi attempts to improve the white race by euthanasia.

The Armed Forces discharged 1,145 homos and lesbians in fiscal 1998. 414 were kicked out of the Air Force; 345 out of the Navy; 310 out of the Army; 76 out of the Marines.

The professed religion of members of the 106th Senate: Catholic 24; Episcopalian 12; Methodist 12; Jewish 10; Baptist 9;

Presbyterian 7; Congregationalist/United Church of Christ 5; Lutheran 5; Mormon 5; Protestant 2; Greek Orthodox 2; Eastern Orthodox 1; Unitarian Universalist 1; Assemblies of God 1; none 4.

Less than 4% of all U.S. doctors are black.

Wife beating seems to be a favorite occupation of the military. The Armed Forces reported 50,000 cases of spousal violence in 1992-96—5 times the civilian rate.

Boeing was bulldozed into settling a \$15-million lawsuit brought by minority members. \$7.3 million went to the alleged black victims of discrimination. \$3.68 million was set aside for an equal opportunity program. More than \$4 million filled the wallets of lawyers.

Texas has executed 117 criminals since 1992. Virginia comes in second with 45 executees.

Blacks, 12% of the population, supply 22% of the military; Hispanics, 11.2%, 6.9%.

Immigrants accounted for 14.7% (mostly white) of the U.S. population in 1910; 4.8% in 1970; 9.8% (mostly minority) today.

The U.S. is dotted with 97,448 gas stations.

How House members of the leading religions voted on sending articles of impeachment to the Senate: Catholics 49 yea, 72 nay; Methodists 31 yea, 11 nay; Presbyterians yea, 14 nay; Episcopalians 18 yea, 11 nay; Jews 1 yea, 22 nay.

Of the 6 members of the International Olympics Committee expelled for shady doings in obtaining the Winter Games for Salt Lake City, 4 were black. Of the 3 members who resigned, 1 was black.

With only half his wealth, Bill Gates could buy 12 World Trade Centers, 10 Empire State Buildings and 10 Rockefeller Centers. (Vanity Fair, Oct. 1998)

Jewish population of the U.S. decreased from 3% to 2% in the last half century. At present Jews lose 20% of their number in every generation. Only 75% of the Chosen raise their kids as Jews.

Californians gave \$83.9 million to federal candidates in the 1998 elections, more than members of any other state.

Biggest individual donor was Walter N. Shorestein, a real estate speculator, who handed over \$467,000 to the Democratic National Committee.

The 7 Shakers in New Gloucester (ME) are the last remaining members of a religion that prohibits procreation.

50% of Americans believe that libel laws should be changed to make it easier to sue the news media for biased and inaccurate reporting.

First-time black blood donors are 25 times more likely to be infected with the AIDS virus than first-time white donors. As for the origin of this lethal disease, it originated in Africa, specifically in Gabon, Cameroon and Equatorial Guinea, and was passed to the populace by chimpanzees, no doubt as a result of the Africans' diet. They are hearty monkey eaters.



Gwyneth Paltrow, heroine of *Shakespeare in Love*, claims descent from 33 rabbis.

Dr. Moshe Hachamowich owns or did own 13 abortion clinics, some of which were responsible for bungled operations that killed 6 women.

Mr. and Mrs. Juan Soto had what must be described as an extended family—very extended—that includes 15 children of their own, plus 8 other nephews, nieces and grandchildren. Compassionate San Antonians are giving the Sotos a new home, the first they ever owned.

Stephen Flatow has obtained a \$247.5-million judgment against Iran from a sympathetic Jewish judge. Before going after the Iranians, Flatow wangled a meeting with Clinton at a National Prayer Breakfast. The rapist assured Flatow of his complete support.

Every year America's biggest tycoons get together in Aspen (CO). 37 fat cats attended last year's gathering. At least 17 (46%) were Jews or part Jews. 1 was a Jap, 1 was a Negro. (Vanity Fair, Oct. 1998)

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

It would be difficult to find a more effective champion for revisionism than David Irving. He gave us an accurate picture of the massive bombing raids on what eventually became the open cities of Germany during WWII. From him we learnt the true scale of the massacre of enormous numbers of refugees at Dresden in 1945, again by British and American bombers. He gave us a clear view of the Hungarian revolution of 1956: the role played by Jews and the way the revolution was exacerbated by the Voice of America, then betrayed by the American government, which invited the Russians to return and crush it.

Irving's biographies of Churchill and the Nazi leaders are both revealing and fascinating. But there is one of his books that worries me: his biography of Joseph Goebbels. It is filled with a personal animus which is the reverse of objective. The actions and thoughts of Josef Goebbels are faithfully recorded, and there is the usual Irvingian attention to diaries and people who had personal contact with the Propaganda Minister. The defect of the biography lies in its extreme animus against Goebbels, which comes out in various ways.

Unlike some revisionists, Irving is legally adept. When he is banned from visiting a particular country (Austria, Australia), he brings a legal action against his banners, usually with some success, though the enemy is always well-funded and ready to renew the litigation. At the moment, Irving is engaged in a battle against the enemies of revisionism, who always defame in order to dominate and destroy. The last I heard of the case, the Board of Deputies of British Jews had been driven into a corner for the time being and was actually pleading poverty to get off the hook! But Irving will need a lot more financial help if he is to win.

Why the animus against Goebbels? I don't think it is just a question of Irving's desire to distance himself from his subject under present circumstances. It goes much further than that. To begin with, Goebbels was an intellectual. This word has little to do with intellect. On the contrary, it means someone who seeks emotional solutions to intellectual problems. Irving is a careful and meticulous historian who usually allows the facts to speak for themselves. But where Goebbels is concerned, he goes out of his way to belittle and denigrate him. The fact remains that the Nazi *Machtergreifung* would never have been possible on the basis of an objective assessment. Even more important than the key part played by Goering in winning over the Prussian upper class was the brilliant exploitation by

Goebbels of the murder by Communists of young Horst Wessel. The haunting melody of the *Horst Wessel Lied* was a major influence in bringing Hitler to power. The Poles claim the tune as their own (rightly it seems), but it was Goebbels who saw its enormous political possibilities.

Another obvious reason for the bad chemistry between Irving and Goebbels is that Goebbels was what the British *class dirigeante* call a "squinge": a small and unprepossessing person. There is little or no attention given to his winning ways. Diana Mosley found him "very clever" and loved his wife, Magda. Tellingly, Goebbels preferred the company of a Czech film actress, who was much less attractive than Magda but perhaps had more oomph. It was Hitler who put the kibosh on Goebbels' desire to be divorced.

Back in the 1920s, William Sheldon showed us that physical and mental characteristics have a strong tendency to go together. Irving is a fine figure of a man, with a marked preference for pretty ladies. His present companion is a beautiful Dane, whose family strongly disapprove of her paramour's political stance. The only mystery is how he manages to write so much. He probably uses Napoleon's argument. "Ask of me anything but time." What matters most of all is that he has children and is fond of them. A good life is the best revenge.

Irving has made many copies of a photograph showing his father, also a fine figure of a man, standing between the big guns of the ship he commanded on the dangerous Murmansk convoys. Irving frequently uses the imagery of torpedoes to underline his (well justified) self-identification with his father.

Hitler came to power because he made best use of the human material at hand. It would be hard to imagine a greater contrast than that between Goering and Goebbels. They disliked each other heartily and it was Goebbels who won out by becoming, for a few hours, Chancellor of the Reich. (When Goering, down in southern Germany, had tried to claim the same position, Hitler [ineffectually] ordered his arrest as a traitor.) He did the same when Himmler was about to try it.

The most telling argument against Irving's animus towards Goebbels is the fact that *Doctor Goebbels*, published in 1960 by two Jews called Manvell and Frankel, presents a much fairer assessment of Goebbels' achievement than Irving's. But their praise of Goebbels is at the expense of other Nazis, including the brave woman flyer, Hanna Reitsch





Waspishly Yours

Clinton has overcome Cotton-mouth Mather with the AIDS of a Chosenite chubette. He has freed us from bondage to the Bible beyond Mencken's wildest dreams. Even far-out Oscar Wilde would be proud of Clinton's pudendal dexterity with rolled tobacco leaf. America has come of age and is now rocked in the cleft of dark ages indeed.

Free at last, free at last! Thank Gawd a'mighty. Who cares if the seegar is green or aged? Who cares about Martin Luther King, Jr.'s adulterous dalliances with many women? According to black novelist Toni Morrison, Clinton is the first black president. If nobody cares about King's peckerdilloes, why should anybody care about Clinton's?

Could the Clinton-Lewinsky case make the unimportance of sex any plainer? For what has been the satyric root, the panpipe depreciation of Clinton's defense? What else but the pandering panegyric, "It's only sex!" Thus sex doesn't matter any more, certainly as a cause for removal from the Offal Office. So what has the last 2,000 years of western history been "all" about?

In Deuteronomy, a woman who defends her fighting husband by grabbing the testicles of his enemy should have her hand(s) cut off. Even the phallus of her hubby's opponent is worth more than any number of female fingers. After all, fingers cannot reproduce, even if they can manipulate cigars.

What was Samson and Delilah "all" about? Hair? And what did *that* mean? Power? And what did *that* mean?

David and Bathsheba?

Sodom and Gomorrah?

Lot and his incestuous daughters?

Why did Solomon have 700 wives and 300 concubines? (So why was he considered wise? Did ole Sol need sexual spares "just in case?" After all, was their lunar calendar as reliable as ours?)

Why did St. Paul say, "It's better to marry than to burn?"

Why did Origen (one of the earliest—and still among the greatest—of the church fathers), why did Origen castrate himself?

The theology of St. Augustine dominated Christianity in the West for over a thousand years, only to be challenged by St. Thomas Aquinas's mythology in the 13th century. And the pillars of Augustinian thought are predestination and Manicheanism (i.e., the flesh is evil, sex is of the flesh; therefore sex is evil. (Naturally, Augustine was a reformed reprobate.) Crazy-as-a-fox Calvin was strongly influenced by Augustinian, as was Luther.

Doesn't the American Bible belt still garrote the groin? Didn't pore ole Jimmy Carter feel compelled to confess to

Playboy magazine the lust he felt "in his heart"? And what about pathetic Jimmy Swaggart? If "sex doesn't matter," what about Pope Alexander VI, Henry VIII, Casanova and Marquis de Sade?

Why did the lord of the castle demand the right of the "first night" with the bride of any of his serfs? Why all the fuss about Thomas Jefferson and trying to hem-and-haw him in with Sally Hemings? If it doesn't matter with Monica (and all the others), why should it matter if Thomas sallied down to Sally's alley—assuming Thomas ever sullied Sally?

If "sex doesn't matter," what is Lancelot and Guinevere "all" about? Tristan and Isolde? Abelard and Heloise? (And why did Heloise's family castrate Abelard, a dauphin of philosophy?)

Why did Dante fall in love with a nine-year-old Beatrice, and sanctify her as his uterine compass to salvation? Why does one of the most memorable episodes in the *Divine Comedy* deal with a love affair between Paolo and Francesca? Why did Petrarch dedicate his love poems to Laura? Why did he even bother to write them if "sex doesn't matter?"

If "sex doesn't matter," why did sex sink Parnell and Oscar Wilde and force Byron to flee England?

If sex didn't matter, what would happen to opera in the West, including *Carmen*, *La Traviata*, *Salome*, not to mention the non-operatic repertoire including *Bolero*, *Romeo and Juliet*, *Carmina Burana*, Grieg's piano concerto (just to cite a few of the more popular cum-notable examples).

Examples of "sex doesn't matter" in literature are legion, literally are too numerous to mention, unless one is fond of plain litanies. Starting with sexy *Chaucer*, what is Marlowe's Faust fixated on? What is Shakespeare's *Othello* "all" about? And *Antony and Cleopatra*? And Ford's *'Tis Pity She's a Whore*? Aretino? Rabelais? Swinburne and Baudylayer? What's the theme of *Madame B(ovary)*, *The Great Gatsby*, *The Sun Also Rises*?

If "sex doesn't matter," what's the point of Eugene O'Neill's *Desire Under the Elms*? And Jonathan Edwards's *Great Awakening* (to a Calvinist sense of sin and damnation), which swept New England and environs in the 1740s? What was that "all" about? Free will? To do what? Snap your thong underwear in the Offal Office? Since Calvin encourages thrift and work and money-making as a way of keeping score on the way to salvation, what else is left but Cuban cigars?

Am I crazy? Am I starting to sound like that Viennese neurologist who was himself so fixated on cigars that he sucked on 20 per day until he developed the oral cancer which finally did him in, long after he had become afflict-



ed with Oedipalsy? But what about innocent fairy tails, like that beanstalk that Jack climbs—after it springs up overnight from throwaway seeds—to steal the goose that lays the golden eggs? And Cinderella (a.k.a., “dirty bottom”) and the glass slipper her fairy tail prince has to find a snug fit for?

I wonder if Monica ever heard the story of the big bad golf-playing wolf who had to huff and puff on his cigar in order to blow down the houses of the three little pigs? Isn’t that a cautionary tail, since the only pig to survive was wearing her presidential knee pads (unlike Paula and Kathleen)?

What does all this literary stuff start with in the West (start and perhaps also end with, since we’ve never surpassed all that Greek and Roman stuff)? What does literature in the West start with, if not sex? Never mind the Song of Solomon and Ecclesiastes and Herod giving Salome John the Baptist’s head in exchange for a strip show tamer than anything you can see now any night of the week at the local strip joint or on the Internet while Bogarting a joint in the comfort of your own knee-padded pad.

Never mind all that Boy Scout-Bible stuff. Don’t the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey* start with Paris stealing Helen of Troy, King Menelaus of Sparta’s main squeeze? And what was Odysseus trying to get back to Penelope for? And why was he sidetracked by Circe? And what were those Sirens promising in all those songs that lured unwary sailors onto the rocks? What’s *Oedipus the King* “all” about?

Joyce is always listed amongst the most memorable writers of the 20th century. One of his most remarkable fictions is the account of hell awaiting all those who are condemned for succumbing to illicit sex, as described in a sermon during a religious retreat attended by Stephen Dedalus in *Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man*. Joyce’s *Ulysses* climaxes with the long sexual fantasy of Molly Bloom as she falls asleep.

Speaking of retreats, why were so many of those early Christian saints (Anthony, Simeon Stylites, to name two), always hieing off to the desert to become hermits or crawling into some cave to hide from temptations of the female flesh? And what were their harrowing tails of temptation “all” about? Sainly Simeon Stylites sat for 40 years atop a 70-foot-high pillar near Antioch (now there’s a phallic symbol for you!).

D.H. Lawrence is cited as another of the “greats” of 20th-century literature. So what is *Lady Chatterly’s Lover* “all” about? *Sons and Lovers*? *Women in Love*? (Read his story, *The Horse Dealer’s Daughter*, if Freudian novels are not your subconscious taste.)

Since brevity is supposed to be the soul of wit, let’s

summarize: What is Freud “all” about? Where do the Clintonites now get the gall to revise, twist and spin the last 50 years of popular history by contending that “sex doesn’t matter”? That Clinton’s impeachment was “all about sex.” Or was it “only about sex?”

Obviously sex matters to Clinton, almost as much as it matters to his defensive breast-beaters who beat his lusty loins with a broad Bible belt. And certainly sex matters to Clinton’s mea culpas almost as much as it matters to Madison Avenue. To put it bluntly, how many Fords have been sold by Ford models wearing Calvin Klein’s fig-leaf underwear?

Wasn’t the Internet overwhelmed—actually jockey short-circuited from a lewd overload—didn’t the Internet crash when Victoria’s Secret exposed leggy ladies on its black widow Web site modeling spider-web underwear? What does it say about “it’s only sex,” when hour-glass ladies in postage-stamp underwear can knock out the Internet with a million hits a minute below the skimpy bikini belt? What does it say about the busted Bible belt when Victoria’s Secret can bust a Web site with a busty message sent from the bra-less world of virtual reality? Maybe Victoria’s Secret isn’t so secret any more.

I’m reminded of the market study which claimed that the Edsel—an interesting automobile, touted as “way ahead of its time”—didn’t sell because of the female configuration of its grill. Tell it to the feminists who rewrote the Lord’s Prayer because they resented all its chauvinist assumptions (God the father, etc.). Tell it to the feminists who did their best to bork Clarence Thomas because of sex. If “sex doesn’t matter,” then Clinton needs a lifetime regimen of lithium.

And “if it’s only sex,” I’m sure that all the Democrats—and only the complicit Republicans, who voted en bloc to exonerate Slick Willie, will also lemming en masse to welcome into their neighborhoods the next sex offender who is unleashed upon the world (after having been paroled by the Senate).

Incredible. All these Tom Harkinites running around now saying that “sex doesn’t matter” (as much as becoming Gore’s running mate does), all these Clintonites are the very same ones who would have grown hair on their palms and been struck blind, if their hands hadn’t been strapped above the covers when they were adolescents.

But, ah, you say, they’re not adolescents anymore. Well, if that’s so, why are they defending Clinton? And if they have grown up, does that mean there’s also hope for Slick Willie and his addiction to female humidors and Cuban cigars?

V.S. STINGER

Shock jock Doug Tracht, reacting unfavorably to a song by Negro hip-hopper Lauryn Hill, commented, "No wonder people drag them behind trucks." Who else but a mentally calloused Jew could erupt such words? Tracht was quickly fired from his perch from WARW, Washington (DC).

In February, C.S. Keys, the dusky weatherman on WPXI-TV Pittsburgh, was arrested and charged with assaulting his black 21-year-old girlfriend. He dragged her out of his townhouse by her hair, ripping off her nose ring in the process.


Majority members are so cowardly that apparently only unassimilable minority members are willing to talk turkey, at least momentarily, about race. Howard Stern's Ku Klux Klan character spouts anti-Semitism so blatantly and repeatedly that he must actually be making converts to the cross (crooked cross, that is). Stern is as disgusting as Clinton, but whereas the President's subservience to the Chosen is total, Stern, in his indecent tirades against all humankind, occasionally festoons his filthy talk with Jewish racism.

The public is bored stiff by the impeachment proceedings, or so we have been incessantly told. Why then did prime-time ratings of Fox News and CNN fall 21% and 20%, respectively, after the sex maniac was acquitted?

Morris Seligman Dees's supersnooping Southern Poverty Law Center declaims that there are now more than 250 hate groups on the Internet. Maybe so. The question is, when are these self-appointed monitors going to be sued for invasion of privacy? Dees and the ADL keep screaming about hate, but aren't they themselves the loudest haters?

PBS received four stars for its fawning documentary on the life of Paul Robeson, not a fellow traveler but an unreconstructed member of the Communist Party. Robeson had a great voice, but he hardly deserved the encomia PBS bestowed upon him. Basically a shoddy character, Robeson expended much of his energy chasing white women, the whiter the better. Frequently succumbing to bouts of paranoia, he ended his life by suicide. His son was as conspiracy minded as Mrs. Clinton, claiming that his father was fed LSD-type drugs by "intelligence agents."

The Federal Communications Commission, so far unsuccessfully, has been trying to get Congress to approve a measure to force advertisers to buy more time on minority radio stations. According to William Kennard, Negro head of the FCC, minority stations get a disproportionate 29% less of "all-race" radio's advertising dollar.



Larry King described Clinton's politics as "moderate to conservative."

Blond but still a Jew, Bill Kristol predicted that the House Republicans would win 15 more seats in last November's election. Cokie Roberts, a non-Jew but married to one, crystal-balled that the House would defeat the articles of impeachment. That inglorious deed was performed by the Senate. Sam Donaldson forecast in January that "Clinton will resign, perhaps this week."

PBS partially made up for its Robeson puffery by airing *Stolen Years*, about Stalin's death camps. The world has been forced by Jewish fanatics to see hundreds of shows about Nazi concentration camps, but hardly a film clip about Stalin's, which killed four or five times as many people as Nazis ever did.

Monica Lewinsky's interview with Barbara Walters drew 70 million viewers, more than any TV program in history. Praising her fellow Chosenite, Barbara purred, "She's no Valley Girl. She's intelligent and forthright." (For another opinion of Monica, see *Cultural Catacombs*.)

ESPN ran a half-hour bio of O.J. Simpson, saluting him as one of the 50 greatest North American athletes.

Disheartening news! Black and white TV tastes are beginning to merge. Two years ago *Monday Night Football* was the only big-time show favored by both black and white couch potatoes. In the last three months of 1998 six shows were the choices of both races: *ER*, *60 Minutes*, *Monday Night Football*, *Touched By an Angel*, *NYPD Blue* and the *CBS Sunday Movie*.

Bryant Gumbel, who rakes in \$5 million a year from CBS for doing nothing, has discarded his long-suffering wife for a bottle blonde.

It's getting more gooey every minute. The *Ally McBeal* show features a lot of black and white romancing. Lingering interracial smooching is commonplace. Yet the word race or any allusion to race is conspicuous by its absence. The silence on the subject is intended to make viewers believe that everybody's doing the mixed-race thing and it's no longer a big deal.

From Zip 866. Now and then Jay Leno jokes about the scarcity of white NBA players. Any black chess masters? Nobel prizewinners in the hard sciences? Any jokes about this?

From Zip 782. In the first week in February, A&E overloaded its biography series with a heaping helping of Jewish gossipers:

Monday: Dr. Laura Schlesinger, Tough Talker
Tuesday: Dr. Ruth Westheimer, Sex Talk
Wednesday: Tony Robbins, Motivational Speaker
Thursday: Ann Landers, Advice to the Lovelorn
Friday: Dr. Joyce Brothers, Celebrity Shrink

From Zip 329. Humor on prime-time network TV: "I'll pee in your Perrier and you'll think it's champagne."

From a Canadian Subscriber. Even if you don't watch a lot of television, you have probably become aware of the ever-increasing denigration of the Majority male in TV commercials. Whether these 30-second blurbs are trying to sell drain cleaners, lawn mowers or computers, they invariably depict a ham-fisted, bumbling, incompetent white male who cannot deal with the problem at hand until a totally-in-control female comes along to show him which buttons to press.

It is always the white male who is the stooge. Never do we see any dopey white females or, God forbid, any displays of black, Oriental or Hispanic female stupidity. The message—it's never a subtle one—is always the same: men are idiots; women know exactly what they are doing. The number of TV ads running down white males is in direct proportion to the number of diehard feminists employed by any given advertising agency.

Carrying on the war against men that their mothers began in the early 70s, feminists carved out a niche in the ad biz and are now busily reshaping the world to fit their warped concept of right and wrong. Pushing the envelope at every opportunity, they have redrawn the boundaries of TV acceptability by giving us those repulsive commercials for feminine hygiene

products that tell us more about "that time of the month" than we ever wanted to know. They have created Fruit of the Loom underwear ads for men which use "funny" references to "nutcrackers" and "ballroom." Put a reverse spin on this and you can imagine the outraged screams from feminists if similar jokes showed up in spots for female undergarments.

Presumably depiction of kiddy genitalia will be the next big breakthrough in TV. Champagne corks will pop in the pedophile community when that day comes! Nevertheless all the politically correct left-wing ideologies play a big part in modern-day commercials. When a white housewife can't get her laundry clean, it is her best friend, the black housewife, who tells her which detergent to use. A cross-section of teenage racial types who hang out together in perfect harmony tell us which soft drink or pizza we should be consuming.

In their all-out attack on masculinity, feminists have wielded a very old and very effective weapon: ridicule. The results have been devastating. It is extremely difficult to look up to someone who is constantly portrayed as an ineffectual clod. Role models cannot have feet of clay. The only dashing he-men to be found on the screen today are usually played by Denzel Washington, Will Smith or Jackie Chan.

As if TV ads were not demoralizing enough, Hollywood film and TV mills crank out a steady supply of lackluster male stars who inspire no one. TV sitcoms abound with bumbling oafs such as Tim Allen or Al Bundy who are constantly outwitted by a female. Men in movies are shown as weak, indecisive, mental basketcases who constantly look for a woman to turn to or a shoulder to cry on. Compare these weak-kneed ninnys to the characters once played by Gable, Peck, Cooper and Burt Lancaster. You will see how we have devolved into this present-day feminized state. Where once that lone cowboy up on the silver screen rode out to wreak vengeance on the villains who had gunned down his best friend, he would now be escorted to a room filled with grief counselors urging him to let it all hang out. He would be told to begin the healing process by dealing with his anger.

Those of us who have endured years of brainwashing by anti-male TV commercials and have grown used to seeing ourselves depicted as second-raters in films are not going to be *The Brightest* and *The Best* when the crunch comes. What can we do about it? For a start, the next time you see a TV ad that rubs you the wrong way, drop a line to the sponsor and tell him that, as a man, you don't like being ridiculed and dehumanized. Might as well steal a few buzz-words from all those whining minority groups whenever we can! Say you will no longer be buying the company's products. It's a small step but it can't do us any harm.



Canada. *From a subscriber.* In a culmination of events that began in 1993, Canadian Jews have finally had Doug Collins convicted under British Columbia's infamous censorship law. In February the B.C. Human Rights Commission fined the retired columnist and his former employer, Vancouver's North Shore News, \$2,000 for "exposing Jewish people to hatred or contempt." A similar charge by the Canadian Jewish Congress was leveled at Collins in 1997, together with a string of invective from the commission. Surprisingly there was no conviction. The litigation, however, did cost the defendants a cool \$200,000 (Canadian) legal bill.

The new charge concerned not only Collins' famous "Swindler's List" column from the earlier complaint, but three other columns. A one-man tribunal ruled that individually the mordant essays did not violate the law. But taken together,

they convey notions that Jews conspire to manipulate society's most important institutions for their own gain; and that, through control of the media, they have perpetrated a massive fraud to exaggerate their suffering during the Holocaust. The Jews are portrayed as selfish, greedy and manipulative.

The commission ordered the \$2,000 to be paid to the complainant, B'nai B'rith'er Harry Abrams. The inquisitors did not address Abrams' other grievance, in which he asserted that right-wing extremists were keeping him under surveillance and recording his conversations.

The defendants boycotted the proceedings, which Collins described accurately as a "kangaroo court" where truth is no defense, normal rules of evidence don't apply and impressions count more than fact. All the legal folderol derived from British Columbia's Bill 33, enacted with Collins in mind. So far he is the only individual convicted under this muzzling law.

The tribunal also ordered the North Shore News to print a summary of the judgment and barred the paper and Collins from publishing any further statements that may upset Jews.

Collins, needless to say, is unrepentant. He adamantly insists that he would write the same columns again: "I would do so under the principle that freedom of speech is important and we should be able to criticize anyone or anything."

The tribunal's decision also appears to ban Collins' recently published collection

of columns, "Here We Go Again." For the time being at least, it's available for \$15 plus \$5 shipping and handling from Doug Collins, P.O. Box 91831, West Vancouver, B.C., Canada V7V 4S1.

In an interview (Feb. 21, 1999) with CBC-Radio's Michael Enright, black poet George Eliot MacDonald opined, after condemning white resistance to colored immigrants, that the newcomers have made a great contribution to Canadian culture. MacDonald went on: "In fact, the most intelligent and sophisticated men in Canada today are black men!" No important public figure dared contradict this egregious efflux of Negro racism.

Each AIDS case in Canada costs taxpayers \$18,000 a year. The government has finally said it will review its policy of welcoming into the country every full-blown sufferer of the lethal and highly contagious disease. Can any sane person doubt that liberalism is some form of madness? The darkening of Canada is now so advanced even the torpid masses are murmuring—in private, of course.

Britain. Britain is staging its first war crimes trial. The defendant is the disabled Anthony Sowoniuk, 77, who is accused of murdering four Jews 56 years ago in what is now Belarus. The Holocaust lobby has succeed in corrupting what was once the world's least unjust legal system.

Jews represent about 0.5% of the population of the Sceptred Isle, yet the Jewish component of contemporary British culture is enormous. The latest evidence of this is *Kosher Sex*, a book written by American Rabbi Shmuley Boteach. The work, now in its 7th printing in England, has been recently published in the U.S. Rabbi Boteach is notorious for promoting "dirty sex." In spite of his tendentious sleaze, he has lots of boosters. The most prominent is the Jewish religious potentate, Chief Rabbi Jonathan Sacks, who glowingly endorsed the book, which is one more Jewish attack on the very fabric of Western civilization.

The Worship Book for British Methodists has as an alternate invocation, "Our Father and Mother and God."

Speaking of God, British churches are now displaying a portrait of the late Marxist insurrectionist, Che Guevara, wearing

a crown of thorns instead of his trademarked beret. Some churches in Britain are getting so permissive that they are making room for dyed-in-the-wool atheists.

Two of the five law lords in the panel that approved the extradition to Spain of Chile's ex-president, General Augusto Pinochet, were by no means impartial. One, Lord Hoffman, was deeply involved with Amnesty, the organization that pressed hardest for Pinochet's extradition. Lord Steyn, the other Jewish law lord, is chairman of Britain's Race Relations Committee. Both these appointed milords came from South Africa. Now that apartheid, which they hated so much, has been ended, why don't they return to whence they came?

Conservative papers made such a row about the biases of Hoffman and Steyn that the panel was dismissed and a new tribunal impaneled.

Home Secretary Jack Straw bears the ultimate responsibility for General Pinochet's fate. If he so chooses, he can overrule the decision of the law lords. Brits who want to let Pinochet return to Chile unscathed should keep their fingers crossed. Straw has some Chosenite genes.

Britain's prominent Amery family was ideologically divided. Leopold wrote the Balfour Declaration, which provided for the establishment of a Jewish homeland in Palestine and triggered unending violence that could possibly culminate in WWII. John, Leopold's son, was infatuated with Nazism and moved to Germany. After WWII the British arrested him and hanged him for treason. Julian, the other son, was, like his father, a leading Churchillian warmonger.

The Amery family was recently in the news when William Rubinstein, a professor of modern history at the University of Wales, digging in dusty, moldering documents, discovered that Leopold's mother was a Hungarian Jewess. Britain's Jewish establishment made much of the fact that a "secret Jew" had been all his life in the highest echelons of the British government.

Holland. When an Israeli jumbo jet crashed into a populous area of Amsterdam in 1992, killing 43, a typical Zionist coverup moved into high gear. As the wreckage was still smoldering and emitting poisonous fumes, Israeli agents appeared in protective clothing and practically took over the site. That's probably the reason the voice recorder was never found, along with some important docu-



ments. Dutch authorities cooperated wholeheartedly with the Israelis, even while the people in the neighborhood were exposed to all kinds of lethal diseases, some of which Dutch doctors still don't know how to treat. Ten years later the suppressed story is leaking out in the Dutch press. Had the people been informed about the cargo, some of which was the stuff of which nerve gas is made, many more lives would have been saved. Everyone would have been cleared from the contaminated area immediately.

France. Ira Einhorn, the Jewish subhuman who murdered a pretty young blonde from Texas and kept her body in a trunk in his closet for 18 months, will be allowed to be extradited to the U.S., according to a ruling of the French court. Meanwhile, French authorities are letting him go free, a rather risky privilege for someone who has already jumped bail back in the States and who has vowed he will never serve time behind bars. In return for granting extradition, the French court demanded that American prosecutors give Einhorn a new trial. (He was convicted in absentia in Philadelphia.) The French legal beagles also demanded that if found guilty he would not receive the death penalty. The case is under appeal.

In the inner turmoil now plaguing the Front National, one of Le Pen's three daughters has sided with Bruno Mégret, the erstwhile second-in-command who wants to be first-in-command. Of the four cities controlled by the Front, two have stuck with Le Pen and two have drifted to Mégret's rump party. So far Le Pen seems to be weathering the storm.

Germany. Latest Holocaust howler! In his documentary, *The Last Days*, Steven (Schindler's List) Spielberg has collected the personal reminiscences of five concentration camp graduates. One, a Jewish lady, recounts how she saved her diamonds by swallowing them at night and retrieving them in the latrine the next day. Of all the Holocaust tales, this is certainly the smelliest.

Germany's new chancellor, Gerhard Schröder, has introduced legislation that would allow millions of Turkish and other immigrants to have dual nationality and hence become German citizens. The proposal caused such a political backlash

that it had to be withdrawn. A German continues to be defined as a person born of German parents.

Italy. Erich Priebke, the SS officer in charge of killing 335 Italian civilians in retaliation for a partisan attack that murdered 77 German soldiers, has finally been released from jail and placed under house arrest. Priebke was first cleared of war crimes by an Italian military court, which inspired Italian lawmen to resort to double jeopardy by hauling him into a civil court, where he was found guilty. Having been in one jail or another for ages, it's not surprising that the 86-year-old man is ailing. Priebke is not likely to enjoy his limited freedom for long.

Italian lawmakers have idiotically ruled that a woman who wears tight jeans cannot be raped unless she herself helps to remove them. Otherwise, if her attacker persists, the Levi look-alikes glued to her body would provide so much armor that any potential rapist would have great trouble in achieving his goal. Italian female pols are so incensed at the ruling that they have promised to wear jeans at all legislative sessions until the law is changed.

Kosovo. From a correspondent. News reports tell us that President Clinton is considering deploying 4,000 U.S. troops as part of a NATO contingent in Kosovo. Anyone who reads the history of the German army in Yugoslavia in WWII would think twice before committing ground forces there.

The Yugoslavian resistance movement in WWII was a medley of Communists, Catholics, Protestants, Muslims and liberal agnostics. Although the Germans officially occupied the country, they never subdued it. At one point there were nine German divisions and six Italian divisions attacking the partisans. The mountainous and heavily wooded terrain was ideal for guerrilla warfare. The partisans eventually triumphed and the Axis forces departed, suffering heavy casualties.

Serbia has been fighting with their Balkan neighbors for generations. As a result, many are seasoned soldiers. Pitting feminized, politically correct U.S. troops against a tough, savvy guerrilla force will be far different than what Americans have been facing in Iraq, where the Iraqi infantry is a joke and superior U.S. air power monopolizes the skies.

Russia. The big news in Russia continues to focus on General Albert Makashov, the Communist Party delegate who has been saying unkindly things about Jews. All kinds of ways have been proposed to punish Makashov, such as arresting him or expelling him from the Duma. But the plans have been scuttled by the reluctance of the Communists to join the crusade.

A recent speech by Makashov proposed that the Jewish participation in government, business and the media be proportional to the Jewish share of the population. The very thought drives Jews up the wall.

A public opinion poll (1,509 respondents) on the Jewish question found 15% approved Makashov's statements; and 51% opposed. Some 34% would limit the number of Jews in top-level jobs; 54% opposed; 23% did not deign to answer. Close to one-quarter reacted positively when asked how they would feel if Russia had a Jewish president; 64% reacted negatively.

Russian ambassador to Israel, Mikhail Bogdanov, stated: "We asked Israel to help us stop the smuggling of prostitutes . . . To our regret, we have not met with much response." He admitted that Israel treats Russian women badly, especially those with fair hair.

The Union of Councils for Soviet Jews, which monitors human rights in Russia, is due to receive \$535 million from the U.S. State Dept.

Israel. Those truth-tellers who dare say that Israel is a safe house for Jewish criminals from all parts of the world are automatically put down as anti-Semites. So what about Samuel Sheinbein, who murdered and dismembered a young Hispanic in Maryland, then took off for Israel? The Israeli Supreme Court has now ruled he cannot be extradited because his father is an Israeli citizen, which makes him one, although Sam has never lived in Israel. Israelis claim that Sheinbein will be given a fair trial. But will he? The mother of the young Hispanic murdered by Sheinbein is demanding some action from the U.S. government. Fat chance!

Against all entreaties and appeals of U.S. diplomatic authorities, Israel continues to pirate U.S. intellectual property in the form of software, music and compact discs. The International Intellectual Property Alliance, which lobbies for copyright protection, this week asked U.S. Trade



Representative Charlene Barshefsky (they're everywhere) to name Israel a "priority foreign country," a provision which would give Israel six months to take action or face sanctions. According to the Business Software Alliance, nearly 70% of all software installed on computers in Israeli businesses in 1996 was illegal. Even Deborah Schwartz (they're everywhere), economic affairs counselor at the U.S. Embassy in Tel Aviv, calls what is taking place in Israel plain burglary. However, given Israel's past economic trade record and the fact that U.S. policy toward Israel is heavily influenced by American Zionists, Israel can be expected to continue along its deceitful way.

Israeli "folk hero" Ehud Tannenbaum, 19, and four younger defendants have been indicted in Israel for vandalizing Pentagon and U.S. Army Internet websites. Using the code name "Analyzer," the hacker successfully broke into U.S. military computer systems and inserted unauthorized software, damaging the sites. Prime Minister Netanyahu has called the Jewish thieves' exploits impressive.

Unconfirmed but recurrent reports state that the Biological Institute in Nes Tziyona, an Israeli germ warfare research center, is trying to develop an "ethnic bomb" capable of killing members of races that do not have specifically Jewish genes. Converts to Judaism would not be spared. Since many Jews and Arabs stem from similar Semitic origins, the identification problem would not always be easy. Many modern Jews are actually not even of Semitic origin, but rather are descendants of Turkic, Slavic and European ancestors. So Jewish biologists have their work cut out for them.


Middle East. Saddam Hussein, according to Messrs. Bill Clinton and Tony Blair, has committed the following crimes: (1) refused to abide by countless UN Security Council resolutions; (2) continued to build weapons of mass destruction; (3) blocked the work of UNSCOM inspectors; (4) abused human rights; (5) used poison gas on his own people; (6) threatened his neighbors.

Who else committed crime #1? Israel and Serbia. Who committed the second crime? Iran, Israel, Syria, Pakistan, India. Crime #3 is temporarily moot because UNSCOM snoopers are not permitted to snoop at the present time for alleged

weapons of mass destruction. Algeria, Egypt, Iran, Israel, Libya, Palestine, Syria, Saudi Arabia, Turkey are guilty of crime #4. Crime #5? Only Iraq. Guilty of #6: Iran, Israel, Palestine, Syria, Turkey. (Edited slightly from an article in Free Speech Monitor (Feb.-Mar. 1999), P.O. Box 332, Station B, Etobicoke, Ont. M9W 5L3, Canada.)

Africa. Tourism is not thriving too well these days in Central and South Africa. In Uganda, eight white big game watchers (not hunters) were hacked to death, including two Americans. In South Africa a ten-man gang of blacks robbed 19 Americans as they were watching a play in a pre-school. They were forced to give up their passports, jewelry and cameras. White colonialism and apartheid were the glue that held most of Africa together. Without them there is creeping chaos and lawlessness. Large numbers of whites are racing to get out. Conrad's "The horror" is becoming more horrible than ever.

Mexico. Zorro, the slapdash hero of many a movie and folk tale, is generally



William Lamport,
the real Zorro

Hollywood Zorro,
Tyrone Power

portrayed as a Spanish aristocrat, a sort of upscale Robin Hood, who fights for the downtrodden. Recent historical researchers in the Vatican archives have discovered that the real Zorro was actually a bearded, red-haired Irishman named William Lamport, who was sentenced to be burned alive in Mexico City in 1659. At the last moment he foiled his jailors by strangling himself with the rope destined to tie him to the stake.

Panama. Even though a government spokesman has attempted to reassure Americans that the surrender of the Panama Canal to Panamanians later this year is not a matter of great concern because it is no longer vital to U.S. interests, most Americans who graduated from high school before 1970 remain unconvinced. No piece of American real estate is more closely associated with Manifest Destiny. Unlike other less glorious achievements of the day, the Canal showed the world the good sense, the industrial might and the determination of the American people. If the Canal, as government spokesmen say, is no longer crucial, why do countries, like Nicaragua, consider building their own trans-isthmus canal? Why are so imminently ambitious plans afloat to convert the Panama Canal Zone into another Singapore, another banking emporium? The Canal should never have been surrendered to local *bandidos*. It should have been permanently annexed to the U.S. In the past decade we have already been obliged to invade the Canal Zone once to remove a corrupt military dictator who is now lounging in a Miami hoosegow.

China. During the impeachment proceedings, how many times did we hear that Clinton's crimes did not reach the level of "high crimes, etc.?" Well, what about the massive espionage going on at Los Alamos, the laboratory that helps develop the latest model nuclear weapons? The chief suspect, a Chinese naturally, was suspected of spying for almost three years, but wasn't fired until a few weeks ago. Sandy Berger, the Jewish National Security Adviser, claimed he knew about it back then, but didn't bother to tell the President until quite recently. If true, Berger was derelict in his duty. Surely the President must have known about this espionage for almost as long as Berger. At any rate, how "high" is the crime that allows our most important nuclear secrets to be passed on to a potential enemy? How "high" is the crime of selling top-secret ballistic missile technology to China? How "high" is the crime of accepting huge Chinese financial contributions to Clinton's election campaign? Alas, what is the good of impeaching the President for crimes that do rise to the level talked about in the Constitution? His democratic clique in the Senate would easily prevent any new attempt to impeach him.