

illic heu miseri traducimur!
Juvenal

Instauration®

VOL 7. NO. 12

NOVEMBER 1982



JIMMY CARTER AND THE BLACK BOSS

In keeping with *Instauration's* policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip codes.

The cover editorial supporting Britain against Argentina on racial grounds is open to question. According to the 1982 World Almanac, Argentina is 97% Southern European and Britain is 98% Northern European. Are Majority members such purists that only the north-erners count?

554

On the whole the current world situation, particularly the obnoxious U.S.-Israeli connection in Lebanon, seems to offer a great opportunity for publications aimed at the segment of the public which is increasingly distrustful of the Establishment Press. Menahem Begin may be a blessing in disguise.

Doubtless, a certain degree of pro-Israeli sentiment will continue to exist among the general population for a long time, since America has been "holocausted" by an intensive and continuous propaganda barrage extolling the "sufferings of the six million." Under this bombardment by the intellectual artillery of the Establishment Media, hardly anyone can attain to the inward detachment of a clear view of the entire monstrous drama evolving around the Zionist state. However, the contradictions between the idealized and sanitized image of the Jews presented in the media and the utter ruthlessness of real-life Zionists, personified by Begin, are not passing without notice.

142

Though we tend to idealize the Nordic branch of our white family for having the desirable traits we hope to pass on to our posterity, let's not give short shrift to our Baltic and Celtic brothers. Our blood is so diluted that precious few of us are truly Nordic. A befitting name for all our people is Northfolk.

015

In the 1930s all America was goo-goo over a truly adorable 3-footer who represented the aspirations of parents everywhere. In the 1980s all America is goo-goo over a truly hideous 3-footer who represents the latest inversion of values planned in Hollywood. From Shirley Temple to E.T. in less than 50 years! How far we have come! Shirley is said to have bucked up the low Depression birthrate considerably -- people thought they might produce such an angel. E.T., on the other hand, should bolster the cause of interracial adoption. After all, if so much "wisdom" and "niceness" lurk behind a form so grotesque, then a baby from Calcutta or Colombia has got to be just super.

223

I once knew a personable young man who was disconsolate because he "couldn't boogie." He lived in a working-class area where many blacks had moved in and established so-cial dominance. He pointed out, "They don't like you if you can't boogie." This actually made him feel inferior. I wish he was an isolated case, but there are millions like him who have partly adopted the black value system and found themselves wanting. We Instaurationists commune so often with our Nordic racial god, who cheers us up and tells us we're A-1, that we forget how many young whites in multiracial environments are completely at the mercy of alien standards. They have no "fortress," no "deliverer," no "shield," no "stronghold," no "refuge" -- as King David once called his own racial god.

114

The recession is pretty bad everywhere we've been. If it continues, with its ever-increasing wave effect, we may just see that collapse yet. And, naturally, it will catch us entirely unorganized and unable to use it as an opportunity.

A touring subscriber

Guess what I just saw. A young black woman was seated on the railing in front of the corner grocery store with her black friends. Suddenly she pulled a big, thick wad of bubble gum from her mouth and threw it onto the hot pavement in the midst of strolling white shoppers. Every-one saw it, and, of course, no one (myself in-cluded) said a word. We added the incident to the lists of petty and not-so-petty racial resent-ments we carry bottled up inside. Take the way that many black women and children manhandle fresh fruit and other groceries. It adds to everyone's bills. Plenty of whites see it, though few ever protest. Why expose yourself to the Evil Eye or the Wicked Tongue when it won't do a bit of good -- indeed, will make them squeeze the fruit all the harder next time?

621

Having believed for my entire adult life that Jews were more objective, more capable of detachment than ourselves and better suited to science and history, I have discovered that they are really the worst bigots, liars and hypocrites on earth -- knee-jerk censors, habitual prevari-cators and schemers.

142

I remember reading some time ago in *Instauration* that it saw a certain beneficial effect in the continuing financial mess. It may force white Americans to suddenly face reality and consider their own interests before those of the brown masses. Well, the same is true in Europe; in both Britain and Germany the clamor for the removal of alien race immigrants increases as the unemployment rate goes up.

German subscriber

My favorite Harvard story is the one about a theology professor. In the late 60s he moved to deepest black Roxbury, wanting to be with "the people." After innumerable robberies and muggings, he moved back to Cambridge. When asked why, he replied, "My mission to the inner city was premature."

021

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

\$25 regular (sent third class)
\$15 student (sent third class)
Add \$10.50 for first class mail
\$32.50 Canada and foreign
Add \$17.00 for overseas air
Single copy price \$2, plus 75¢ postage

Wilmot Robertson, Editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen

Third class mail is not forwardable.
Please advise us of any change of address
well in advance.

©1982 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
All Rights Reserved

CONTENTS

Brave New Baby.....	5
Black Boss.....	8
Yahoos for Yahweh.....	9
Majority Estate Planning.....	10
The Milwaukee Project Hoax.....	12
Rancorous Red Man.....	14
Cultural Catacombs.....	18
Inklings.....	20
Cholly Bilderberger.....	22
Notes from the Sceptred Isle.....	25
Talking Numbers.....	27
Primate Watch.....	28
Elsewhere.....	29
Stirrings.....	31

□ The racial treachery of Roy V. Harris (June 1982) is proof to me that whites as a group still let their emotions overrule their intelligence. We also let custom overrule our intelligence and our language. For instance, conservatives continually refer to the enemy as "gentlemen." When men are bent on destroying our heritage and culture, including our codes of honor, truth, ethics and virtue, they are enemies and should be so designated. Also, a politician is not necessarily a "statesman." A statesman is a wise leader. By the way, I quit the Citizens Council when it supported an "integrated society." It does not embrace segregation and/or repatriation.

902

□ I was rather taken aback by Zip 208's description of Instaurationists as "Rearrangers of deck chairs on the Titanic." If true, let's approach the task in the manner of Japanese Samurai -- with the utmost confidence that even the most crass of acts can be imbued with beauty and meaning if accomplished in the proper spirit.

086

□ I find it exhilarating that a publication during these times has the guts and wherewithal to doggedly stick to a philosophy which has been so brutally repressed. There are so many of us who would gladly join your ranks and shout to the heavens the deceptions and decay being injected into our civilization by the lower races, but who for obvious reasons can only observe with sorrow and write anonymous letters.

I am only 30 years old, and I feel cut off from my own generation, a generation so incredibly duped and steeped in hedonism that it seems preposterous to imagine any regeneration of our race coming from this quarter. As I look to my future, my career, my family-to-be, I often wonder what kind of father I will be. What will I tell my children about the world they are entering? What kind of advice will I give them? I find myself becoming more and more cynical about world affairs, less involved in community affairs, uncaring about so-called "patriotic obligations." It is with a great yearning in my heart that I am compelled to turn away from these aspirations. Our race has excelled in these attributes, and I feel a great sadness because of their absence in my life. Your struggle, your magazine, your books, your reassuring voice somewhere out there in the darkness means more to me than words can express. The Gods be with you.

941

□ The commemorative stamp honoring the Touro synagogue, mentioned in Instauration (April 1981), was issued on August 22, a Sunday. "While Sunday dedications typically are avoided by the U.S. Postal Service, a special request reportedly prompted the Sunday Touro ceremony," asserts Linn's Stamp News. The "special request" was because the actual anniversary date of the founding was August 21, a Saturday. The Jews would not allow the issuance of the stamp to interfere with their Sabbath -- but insisted that it be held on the Christian holy day.

302

□ Jewish elements in our government and out of it are known to use almost any device to "keep the legislature in line" so that an issue dear to the heart of Israel will have certain passage. Did it not occur to you that the timing of the congressional page sex and drug scandal (no names publicized) was most interesting? It came at a time when "Jewish compassion" was being rained on innocent men, women and children in Lebanon. The massive horror just might cause some recalcitrance on the part of some legislators to continue our diarrhea of donations to Israel. So what happens? Threats of exposure of some of the more immoral representatives of the "peepul." Traps have been set before to be pulled, or threatened to be pulled, if the animals do not hew to the wishes of the hunter. It was too obvious a setup to pass without comment.

190

□ May I just say that I am a born Canadian, that I was a World War II combat officer -- as were all my brothers -- that I am not of German descent, that indeed Jews were among family friends, that soon after the war I came to suspect much of what we were being told, and that after intensive study of the so-called Holocaust I am altogether convinced -- without a single or partial reservation -- that it never happened. I am now completely certain that it is indeed a cruel, colossal hoax perpetrated for now obvious reasons. Six million Jews did not perish. Perhaps as many as 30,000 were lost as the natural consequence of a terrible war. The net result of all this is to feel like a man who knows through evidence that the world is round yet is being told every day that it is flat. The mindless clamor of the media sadly proves that mankind has progressed little from Copernicus and Galileo. Only the Jew, it seems, feels pain. Only his injury is worth regret (and monetary compensation). Thus do they slaughter and maim and torture in the Mideast; thus do they use barbaric cluster bombs against women and children huddled in refugee tents; thus do they, as the self-Chosen, thumb their noses at all the world, a world which now trembles in fear of their awesome international power.

Am I too theatrical? Have I overstated the real situation? Can even a dyed-in-the-wool "liberal" ever again perceive Jews as they so diligently portray themselves in the media -- as a fine, gentle, sensitive, terribly wronged little race? Ask yourself if the savagery they have unloosed on their defenseless neighbors is compatible with a compassionate people.

Stark reality, sir, stares us in the face.
The horror of it cries out.

Canadian subscriber

□ I have three children in their early twenties. For whatever reason, they state that they are not inclined to propagate our race. By our immigration policy and our refusal to propagate our own kind, we show that we are a genetically self-hating, masochistically, inferiority-complexed race. Maybe our racial pride is so much hot air; maybe we have no reason for pride. To paraphrase the handsome Groucho, we don't care to be a member of a race that would have us for a member.

142

□ One of my professor friends said that he was recently on a panel with two of Reagan's most influential advisers. They both made it clear that Arab relations meant nothing to them; all that counted was Israel. They also told him that the "firm face" that Reagan presented to the television audiences when announcing that he was going to be "firm," vanished the moment he was no longer being televised. He then began laughing and joking with the Israeli foreign minister.

805

□ The United States is a country afraid, not of its shadow, but of its reflection. The inferiority and inherent degeneracy, not only of the black, but of the Mediterranean race, is clear. Although these racial groupings produce some highly intelligent individuals, their inability to organize and discipline themselves and their tendency to political corruption account for their condition. In fact, a certain talent for intelligent action within the framework of a tribal grouping may be a good definition of racial intelligence. The white race is drowning in papists, homosexuals and faddish infertility, and the black race is overrun with criminal, welfare-bred scum who thwart and throttle the efforts of intelligent blacks. There must be some kind of internment process for the worst of the blacks and a new homeland, far from both Africa and whites, for the best of them.

211

□ Six weeks on safari and I'm doing quite well. We had a great time in the game parks of Kenya and Tanzania, also went through Rwanda. No problems with the military so far. In Zaire, three of us got sick, took a ferry down the Congo. Fellow passengers included monkeys, pigs, chickens and goats. The second day we got stuck on a sand bar, so we boarded a dugout canoe which took us to Bumbal, a large town nearby. From there we spent a week hitchhiking through the jungle. I'm lucky to be alive considering the state of the roads and the condition of the trucks. Incredible! When I return home I must sit down and write about it.


Globe-circling subscriber

□ I'm not familiar with the passages in Conan Doyle's Sherlock Holmes series which so upset Zip 191, although I have a two-volume set and I have dog-eared many pages which delineated a vehement race consciousness on the part of Holmes. I do recall sections wherein he railed against Jewish usury ("Do not put yourself in the hands of a Jew," or words to that effect), and another instance where he produced a large revolver when threatened by a hulking Negro and commended its utility for such a purpose.

606

□ The Salient has been widely touted by the media as Harvard's great new conservative magazine. The May 13, 1982, issue carried a front-page story by two Harvard conservatives named Jesse Fried and Stuart Levy "proving" that the Holocaust really happened. It is exactly the kind of story that the New York Times, the native Communist press, the Nation and CBS run, run and run. The Salient is in good conservative company.

411



Hurray for Cholly's July column. The sooner whites realize there is absolutely nothing to be gained from the Old Order, the better. For a number of interrelated reasons the U.S. is going to experience a collapse before the end of the century. Cholly's revolutionary attitude of mind is required for our survival. Our worst enemies are not the Jews or other minorities, but the puerile conservatives who want to "give Reagan a chance," and "go back to the good old days," and all the rest. Thanks to these gentlemen, our agony is being prolonged, not reversed. It is problematical whether there will be enough of us left to put up a good fight once the going gets rough. But I both believe and hope that even if only a few thousand whites remain, even if only one white man and one white woman are left, there is the possibility of a future.


604

Referring to Zip 111's letter (July 1982), no one should ever mention Bruckner and Mahler in the same sentence. Bruckner's sense of depth perception in his symphonies puts his music in a much higher category than that of the Bohemian-Jewish composer. Mahler, with the exception of his First Symphony, is superficial, superfluous and a veteran plagiarist. Let the child carry his lunch, but let the master teach. Please listen to Furtwangler's rendition of Bruckner's Ninth (recorded in December 1944). It explains all.

580

The WANTBIs are coming. WANTBIs (Who Ask Not To Be Identified) are seen more and more in newspaper reports. Almost every story about government has several of them. They are a prolific breed.

082



Mar
 Marshal Goering, the fat slob, was judged by an international tribunal. Marshal Sharon, who is not a fat slob -- just a little paunchy -- will be judged by his peers.

I plan to purchase two video recorders to facilitate the editing of TV, cable and videotape offerings (e.g., such movies as Grease, Woodstock and Portnoy's Complaint). Since one of the recorders will be portable, I will be able to record anything not readily available from existing sources (e.g., shots of Hassidic life in New York's Diamond Center, graffiti-decorated subway cars). A total investment of approximately \$2,000 will allow me to edit the results of millions of dollars worth of the culture distorters' output. I would suggest to all Instaurationists to try their hand at film-making and editing. The ability to dub in one's own voice over the teleprompted newscaster's contrived and superficial monologue can turn TV news into genuine information. Also, the availability of satellite broadcast time at approximately \$200 an hour in certain areas opens up a whole new range of endeavor for the alert and versatile Majority activist. Once a video presentation is completed, several copies can be easily and inexpensively duplicated for distribution to close friends and friendly organizations. So long as these are distributed freely, for donations and not for profit, I do not believe that copyrights would be violated. In any case, given the current widespread duplication of popular films, once ten or twenty copies are passed out, it is likely, given the quality of the production, that a chain-letter effect may result. Futurist guru Alvin Toffler and media critic Sally Bedell are among those who sense an approaching twilight of the media monopoly. As Ms. Bedell perceptively observes in the introduction to her recently published *Up the Tube: Prime-Time in the Silverman Years*:

The decade just ended may well mark the finale of television as we have known it, an industry dominated by three corporate mastodons, geared to the mass market. Crowding the horizon are new creatures -- videocassettes, videodisks, pay television, satellites, a growing public television system, ad-hoc networks, superstations.

For the first time since television entered our homes, the territory monopolized by the three networks is in danger of shrinking. The question now is whether the interlopers, if successful, will transform the wasteland into a forest of genuinely diverse vegetation or litter it with more of today's trash.

113

How many WASP males in the Movement have children? Very few. Apparently they are too dedicated to activism to have time for child-rearing. Also, they fear children would suffer persecution on account of their father's (or mother's) political views. It is a WASP standard that children should be reared in the best possible circumstances -- not persecuted by the Zionist machine or living on the run out of a suitcase. The best of WASP genetic dynamics is being wasted. Therefore I suggest the establishment of an Instauration sperm bank.

902

People agree to kill hundreds, tens of thousands, millions of other humans in war -- and their religions approve and bless these acts. Yet these same people demand the right to tell a woman she cannot kill a life that she alone must bear and perhaps die because she does. If our society passes a law forcing a woman to bear to term every conception to save the life of the fetus, then that society should also pass laws prohibiting a pregnant woman from smoking, using narcotics and drinking booze.

902

I occasionally amuse myself by looking up the past of prominent conservatives or Reaganites. George F. Will, the columnist who has a holiday in his heart every time an Israeli phosphorous bomb blasts a Lebanese hospital, was an ardent Kennedy booster in 1960. The man most responsible for his "thinking" was Leo Strauss, a refugee political scientist who hung out at the University of Chicago. U.N. Ambassador Jeanne Kirkpatrick, Reagan's favorite female diplomat, was a backer of Henry Jackson for the presidency in 1976 and then switched to Hubert Humphrey. Her mentor was Charles Frankel, a prominent Vietnam peacenik, civil rights activist and Columbia University egghead, who was murdered by Negro robbers in 1978.

327

Your Yousoupoff article (July 1982) returned me to a long-forgotten world. Not only have I known Prince Felix and played bridge with his wife, but I was also intimate with his mother. Moreover, I attended the wedding of his only daughter in Paris at the Russian Orthodox cathedral when she married Count Nicolai Scheremeteff. She is now, I believe, living in Athens. These days I frequently feel like the PLO, being the first victim of the same people who destroyed my former homeland. It must not be forgotten that the city of Ekaterinburg is still called Sverdlovsk (Yankel Sverdlov). Curiously, the actual murderer of the Emperor was another Yankel (Yourovski). Neither should we forget that the apparatchiks of today still include many Jews. However, I also much blame the ancien regime for having given up so easily. St. Petersburg was unquestionably rotten to the core, and those who could have eliminated the "100,000" were at the front fighting World War I.

087

As I have said many times, poverty has America by the neck, but our plenty is choking it.

303

For once I totally disagree with you, namely with the (last minute?) front page editorial on the Falklands issue. I too wish for Nordic/European/Germanic unity. But if at this stage of history we root for the governments of Britain, France, West Germany, Italy and what not, then we also root way too much for the very people who are the "wirepullers" behind all these governments, and you and I both know who they are.

922

BRAVE NEW BABY

This article is dedicated to Doron William Blake, who was born August 24, 1982
-- the day it was written.

Afton Blake, 40 and unmarried, was once a gifted child. Attractive, with an IQ of 150, she was encouraged to develop herself rather than her race. The result was a Ph.D., a successful practice in clinical psychology, two fine houses overlooking the Pasadena Hills, a hobby (since age 15) of breeding dogs, mainly salukis -- and childlessness.

As Afton's last years of potential motherhood slipped away, she contacted several conventional sperm banks. She rejected them because they told her so little about the man who would father her child. Then she heard about Dr. Robert Graham's Repository for Germinal Choice (P.O. Box 2876, Escondido, CA 92025). It keeps detailed records of about 30 sperm donors (so far), who happen to be some of the most brilliant men alive. It is the first sperm bank to give mothers something like the same freedom of choice in picking out a baby that they demand when choosing the baby's toys and bedclothes.

Afton Blake, a distant relative of the artist and poet for whom her son is partly named (Doron is Greek for "gift"), knows a great deal about his father. According to Marjorie Wallace of the *London Times*:

[H]e is a tall, charismatic, Nordic blond; in his mid-twenties and an assistant professor at a major university . . . His hobbies include sailing, skiing and hiking and he has won prizes for piano playing . . . He does, alas, have some defects -- impacted wisdom teeth and slight haemorrhoids -- but his health is excellent and he was voted the best-looking man in his department.

Bravo! Let's declare Doron William's birthdate National Eugenics Day.

As of early July, more than 100 women had requested insemination with Dr. Graham's high-destined sperm. In June new applicants were running at about 20 a week. Alas, nearly half had to be turned away, most often for having passed the age limit of 42.

Afton Blake's marvelous Donor Number 28 is a recent addition to the Repository. Her initial choice was a Nobel Prize winner, but his sperm count proved too low for fertilization. Then she tried a certain Jewish professor: "I inseminated myself but afterwards I thought of him being dark with thick glasses -- I wear glasses and they have been a pain all my life -- and I was glad I had an early miscarriage." Then Donor 28 came along, to Blake's delight:


Of course everyone in the bank is highly intelligent but, as I went on, looks became more important to me. I surprised myself. I wanted someone healthy, blond -- and with perfect vision.

The most popular donor is a young German: "He's a well-known athlete," says Graham, "remarkably handsome

and an international businessman. I heard about him and approached him . . . Up to now he has fathered three of the eight pregnancies."

Potential mothers learn practically everything about donors except their names. For example, an outstanding young mathematician with good musical and athletic abilities suffers from embedded third molars and -8 dioptries myopia. Furthermore, one grandmother, age 79, "may be developing a cataract." The conscientious Graham has calculated that the man's children run a 30% risk of developing myopia, a 40% risk of impacted wisdom teeth, and possibly a 10% risk of cataracts at age 80.

Farsightedness comes naturally to Graham, who, as a boy in a small Michigan town, began to wonder why the leading citizens, and the most creative ones, generally had one or no children. This early impression became a conviction as he rose in the world and traveled. Graham did not let developing the first hard plastic spectacle lenses and other contributions keep him from fathering eight children. The idea of a sperm bank for geniuses came from Hermann J. Muller, a Nobel Prize-winning geneticist who died in 1967. Graham's book, *The Future of Man*, developed some of his and Muller's ideas.



Robert Graham

The only point at which Graham's conscientiousness failed him was when he allowed a convicted felon, Joyce Kowalski of Phoenix, to become his project's first mother. Joyce and her husband Jack promised to remain anonymous, but then sold an exclusive interview to the sensationalist *National Enquirer* for \$20,000. That wasn't too terrible -- until Joyce's first husband, Eric Naunapper of Illinois, got word of it. He immediately ran to the *Chicago Tribune* with horror stories about Joyce Kowalski's past (all of them new to Graham, of course). Apparently, when she and Jack gained custody of the Naunapper children, they began whipping

them several times a week for not behaving like geniuses. Son Eric was once forced to go to school in pajamas and slippers wearing a sign saying he was a bed-wetter. Daughter Donna was made to wear a sign on her forehead reading "Dummy." Luckily, Naunapper was able to get his children back.

Needless to say, the news media exploded with self-righteousness upon learning that America's first eugenically conceived baby had been born to a pair of sickos who could be expected to revert to disgusting form if their infant grew up to be less than a Leonardo. Columnist George F. Will, who rarely misses a chance to inject tales of his own handicapped son's latest endearing antics into his blasts against abortion, almost exploded with pent-up resentments. "This Bank Deserves to Fail," his headline harrumphed. Line after line dripped with sarcasm:

The altruistic genuises who have taken upon themselves the task of planning a more genetically rational human race are off to a rocky start. The founders of a "sperm bank" for smarties want to take some surprises out of life by planning procreation. But -- surprise! -- the first baby born with that bank's help was born to, it turns out, a mother who, like her current husband, served a prison term for fraud and lost custody of two children by a previous marriage after allegations of child abuse.

But -- surprise, George! -- *euthenics* (the advancement of man by environmental rather than genetic means) has had millions of opportunities to prove itself, and has failed in the most unexpected ways.

As a letter-writer once told the late *Washington Star*:

There are almost 150 sovereign nations on our planet, and every last one of them currently puts 100% of its social resources into euthenics and 0% into eugenics.

In China not so long ago, they proposed "letting 100 flowers bloom." By this they meant that they were prepared to try more ways of helping the people rather than strictly adhering to one party line. The West applauded this apparent manifestation of broad-mindedness.

Why are some people so condemnatory when a small group of private individuals tries the eugenic alternative on a tiny scale? Is it the possibility of success which scares them the most?

Here is some more of George Will's latest condemnation:

I hope she [the Kowalski baby] grows up into a reader of the *National Enquirer*, thereby mocking the premise of eugenics.

The sperm bank claims to limit its donors to persons of high IQ, although having anything to do with it is evidence of terminal dumbness

The bank is called The Repository for Germinal Choice, "choice" being the weasel word preferred today by persons unwilling to speak plainly about what they are promoting. This bank is another crackpot project that ignores the fact that it is populations, not family trees, that evolve -- and languidly at that.

We are far from a capacity to fabricate man and may, I pray, remain so

Those who believe that man is necessarily enhanced by enlarging his dominion -- those who agree with [Professor Joseph] Fletcher that "the more rational, contrived and deliberate anything is, the more human it is" -- should be asked

this question: anything?

The destruction of European Jewry was a marvel of deliberation and contrivance. As Father Richard McCormick says, sin is by definition deliberate choice, but the wrong choice

. . . .

The grossness of the mentality behind the sperm bank can be gauged not only from the fact that the bankers think intelligence can be replicated like Fig Newtons, but also from the fact that the qualities that make life livable -- such as compassion, courage, magnanimity -- do not seem to figure in the bankers' scheme of things. Probably not even the sperm bankers are dim enough to think those qualities can be genetically packaged. In any case, what the world needs more than a biology of intelligence is a sociology of virtue.

It should be obvious from the preceding that Will has read a lot more theology (of many kinds) than biology. Even pop biologist Stephen Jay Gould, no friend of eugenics -- except, in all likelihood, for Jews -- has recognized that it is indeed small groups like families and subraces that evolve, *not* polyglot populations as Will ignorantly presumes. Gould, in the *New York Times* (January 22, 1978):

[E]volutionists believe that new species do not generally arise by the complete transformation of large, flourishing ancestral populations. Small subpopulations . . . usually serve as the source of new species. Here, favorable genes can spread rapidly and establish themselves; they are not diluted in frequency within a vast central population.

How would Will feel were one of us to write, "I hope black children grow up into morons, thereby mocking the premise of liberalism," or, "having anything to do with public education is evidence of terminal dumbness"? What if we were to bring up communism's 100 million or so murdered victims every time a forceful egalitarian had his say? And who says that Dr. Graham and other eugenicists are any less for a "sociology of virtue" than George Will? *Who isn't?* If Will dared to read almost any book advocating eugenics, he would find words like "compassion," "courage" and "magnanimity" in abundance.

Anyone who believes, with Will, that one must choose between traits like beauty, intelligence and capacity on the one hand, and charity and kindness on the other, is guilty of "mental grossness." As a matter of fact, those who have studied the question carefully have concluded that the two sets of character traits are usually related in real life: that is, groups or individuals high on one set *tend* to be high on the other.

It is interesting that nearly all of the "experts" sought out by the press for their opinions of the Graham project have belonged to ethnic minority groups.

For example, United Press International spoke with Dr. Ching Chun Li, a biostatistician at the University of Pittsburgh. Rather predictably, he called the whole idea "crazy." Dr. Arno Mitolsky of the University of Washington said, "What they want to achieve is unclear, peculiar." Dr. Charles Epstein of the University of California at San Francisco said, "What kind of individual is best for society? There is no rule for this." Dr. Aubrey Milunsky of Boston University pointed out (irrelevantly) that, "Just like the rest of us mortals, Nobel laureates have the chance of 3 to 4 percent genetic defects and mutation." Dr. Leroy Walters, director of the Center for Bioethics at Jesuit Georgetown

University, said (inaccurately) that a sperm bank "appeals mainly to the vanity of the sperm donors and the couples."

Why were these particular men at these particular locations contacted by UPI? Who did the contacting?

Meanwhile, the Associated Press ran off to a Majority setting, the University of North Carolina, to interview -- a Dr. Jaroslav Hulka! He said abysmally silly things like, "Another objection is the assumption that if you have a Nobel Prize-winning father, you'll have [only] bright children," and "Has anyone ever heard of Einstein's daughter?"

Even the otherwise excellent London *Times* account of Graham was marred by one false note at the end. A Luigi Mastronalli of the University of Pennsylvania was quoted as writing, "Many brilliant couples produce children of average or even subnormal intelligence. What they're doing at the Repository is crazy." (Arthur Jensen has demolished Mastronalli's claim regarding brilliant couples.)

In May a certain "Professor Cohn" hosted a scientific conference in Berlin, of all places, at which the Graham project was solemnly pooh-pooed. What makes a genius, explained one Nobel chemist on hand, was "the ability to explode traditional views of right and wrong."

One must sadly say that a fine article like Marjorie Wal-


lace's in the London *Times* (July 4) would scarcely have been possible in contemporary America. Afton Blake herself unintentionally explained why. A "highly organized woman," she talked about her dog-breeding hobby:

You look for what you establish as a quality. You see in your mind what you do to get the dog closer to your ideal. It's like sculpting with genes.

Beautifully said. As a healthy Nordic American, Afton Blake can be expected to desire healthy Nordic-American children. When shown Graham's photo of Donor Number 28, she must have thought, "He's not only brilliant and multitalented, he also 'looks more like me than I do!'"

So let us remember those two fine houses of Afton Blake, overlooking the Pasadena Hills. If Afton, and all those like her, were to go on looking after social misfits, immigrants and others -- rather than nurturing ideal children of their own -- quite obviously those "others" would eventually inherit those houses. It is happening right now -- all around us. But, in this case, a bright young boy now stands squarely in the interlopers' path.

Note: An estimated 20,000 to 30,000 artificial inseminations now take place in the United States each year. Between 2,000 and 4,000 of these result in pregnancy. (Source: Dr. Cappy Rothman, head of the Southern California Cyrobank, a non-freedom-of-choice sperm bank.) If someone you love needs or desires artificial insemination, let them choose their children for themselves (with Dr. Graham's guidance), rather than leaving it up to Cappy Rothman.



A new completely revised edition of Robert Graham's book, *The Future of Man*, is now available for \$5.00 (postpaid) from The Foundation for the Advancement of Man, P.O. Box 2876, Escondido, CA 92025.

Unponderable Quote*

Dr. Kligman: Black skin is terrific -- by far the best skin that we know of all the human races. Part of the reason has to do with the pigmentation, which provides a kind of built-in resistance to sun damage. But blackness is only one aspect of it. The skin is biologically different. It heals faster. Black skin will heal in half the time of white skin. It has nothing to do with pigment.

As the white race went farther and farther north their skin got worse and worse. The Scotch-Irish skin is very bad. It dries out fast. It blisters rather than just burns. It doesn't tan. It takes forever to heal. That's why Scotch-Irish people should have special skin-care programs -- double thickness sunscreens, and great care about the soaps and other irritants they use.

Prevention Magazine
Nov. 1981, p. 61

* *Instauration* has been filling "holes" in pages with quotations classified as "ponderable" or "unponderable." All this is very well, except there is no such word as "unponderable." One would think it would be the antonym of ponderable. It is not. "Imponderable" has a broader meaning -- "incapable of being weighed, measured or evaluated with exactness," as the dictionary defines it. The word we are looking for should mean quite simply "not ponderable" and nothing more. When attached to a quotation, it should indicate that the quote contains nothing to ponder, unless it be that a prominent person in full command of his senses uttered it. Since imponderable doesn't fit the bill, we shall stick with our neologism, until some bright Instaurationist comes up with a more appropriate adjective, whose legitimacy is certified by its inclusion in a reputable dictionary.

BLACK BOSS

Most of Hancock County lies just above the "fall line" of Georgia and consists largely of rolling clay hills covered with forests.

Before the Civil War, Hancock and Sparta, the county seat, thrived on a plantation economy. Afterward, Hancock turned to sharecropping, as did most of the rest of Middle Georgia.

Until World War I cotton was king. Then came the boll weevil. The farmers of Hancock continued to plant their traditional crop, but nothing seemed to work out. The fields were gradually abandoned; the farms deserted. Today, Hancock County is 80% black, though whites still have a 60% majority in Sparta.

About ten years ago a Negro named John McCown showed up in Hancock. He was from "up nawth," very smart and smooth, and knew his way around the civil rights movement. He came with grants and gifts from big foundations and government -- about \$6 to \$14 million worth, a great deal of which has never been accounted for. Although he had been accused of raping a white nurse, he had the full support of the *New York Times*, the *New Republic* and other liberal organs.

McCown's self-proclaimed mission was to lift the poor, disadvantaged blacks of Hancock out of poverty by founding a string of black-run businesses. His first two projects were a giant catfish farm and a pallet factory.

While McCown was handing out federal and Ford Foundation money, he organized local blacks politically. In no time the Negroes voted out the whites, and Hancock had an all-black government.

But McCown didn't stop there. He turned his political machine into a black Mafia. Anyone who objected to his rule was threatened with violence -- a threat that all too often was made good. His minions torched the home of a local black leader who objected to his tactics. A little later the most beautiful antebellum mansion in the county was burned to the ground.




This is the house that was burned.

While all this was going on, the liberal-minority coalition in Georgia was rendering due homage to McCown. Jimmy Carter, then the state's governor, actually made a special trip to Sparta to fawn on the rising black politician. The NAACP practically turned him into a hero.

Black Boss built himself a palatial home on the Oconee River, brought his black wife and kids down from the North, along with a white mistress, whom he installed in a trailer. He built a segregated (for blacks only) juke joint that included two basketball courts. He proposed the construction of an international airport for Hancock County (population 9,000), possibly to serve as a port of entry for drugs, since rumor had it he was getting into the narcotics trade.

McCown seemingly had it made. Then one night in the



This is the house that Black Boss built for himself

juke joint, when he and some of his underlings were saturated on beer, someone suggested taking a ride in his airplane. He piled a couple of his pals into his new Cadillac and headed for his private airstrip. After a wild but short flight, the Cessna-182 crashed. McCown, the pilot, did not survive, and his black empire went down with him.

Today in Hancock County times are pretty rough. The catfish ponds are silting in; only mosquitos and snakes are proliferating. Most businesses have shut down, but the juke joint is still going. The mansion on the Oconee is in a sad state of disrepair. Blacks still control the county government, but the salad days are gone with the ill wind.

McCown's meteoric career poses an interesting question. Was the black boss a political aberration, or the man of the American future?

The information for the above article was supplied by a local Instaurionist and by a new book entitled Black Boss by John Rozier (The University of Georgia Press, Athens, Georgia).

Unponderable Quote

I hate war.

*General Ariel Sharon
Washington Post, Aug. 29, 1982, p. A-19*

YAHOOOS FOR YAHWEH

The Occident lost its true religion as that creed (or rather, that temperamental bias) evolved fitfully into the scientific method. But the Oriental faiths, with their looser grip on reality, are flourishing yet. Modern Christians are still thinking the weird thoughts and doing the strange deeds that are sanctioned and sanctified by their ancient scriptures. Just last March, Roger Cox, 35, a Church of England preacher and father of eight, cut off his sex organ and threw it on the fire while he prayed with his wife. The couple had talked about the operation for 12 years: now, at last, Cox can serve the Lord "without distractions." That means gallivanting around the countryside in his double-decker bus and passing The Word.

In April another itinerant preacher, Patricia Haywood, did her best to spread tuberculosis across three northern Michigan counties, along with news of her "miracle cure." Haywood knew she had TB, but refused treatment and willfully exposed 2,500 residents to the disease.

The Wacky Christian of the Year Award must go, however, to the National Coalition of American Nuns, which nominated Israel for the 1982 Nobel Peace Prize only a few months before the Shatila massacre. The nuns have plenty of wacky company. A single church in Vancouver, British Columbia, has raised some \$300,000 for Israel in less than two years. The Glad Tidings Church, led by the Rev. Maureen Gaglardi, has pledged \$1 million under its "Christian Bridges to Israel" program.

Last autumn, more than 30 different groups of American "Christian Zionists" met in Washington, D.C., to coordinate their lobbying efforts. The participants ranged from representatives of the National Conference of Catholic Bishops and the National Council of Churches to fundamentalist TV gabbers like Jim Bakker and Pat Robertson (who underwrote much of the cost). The meeting was organized by the New York-based National Christian Leadership Conference for Israel (NCLCI), whose Executive Director is Isaac C. Rotenberg. Like Archbishop Jean-Marie Lustiger of Paris, Rotenberg cannot quite decide whether he is a Catholic or a recidivist Jew.

The NCLCI is closely linked to the International Christian Embassy (ICE) in Jerusalem, whose chief spokesman, Jan Willem van der Hoeven, can always be counted on for some of the most chauvinistic commentary this side of Ariel Sharon. In an interview with the *Jerusalem Post* last year, the tall Dutchman announced:

The Jews are meant to lead the world, they have it in their blood. If you Jews were to say that, you would fear to be clobbered as a bunch of racists. But I'm not a Jew, and I say it.

"We are better Zionists than you Israelis," boasts van der Hoeven. "You don't fully believe in your own cause." Meanwhile, the West is sunk in an unparalleled materialism: "Go to Stockholm and Amsterdam," he advises, "and see the situation the people face. There are no moral stan-

dards any more, everything is permissible." Rather than leading a movement of national redemption in the West (and getting quickly shot down by the Jews and their allies), van der Hoeven flees to Israel, takes an Arab wife, and tells the Chosen to become even more Chosen.

Not a bit surprisingly, ICE maintains its foreign offices in the United States, Canada, Britain, Northern Ireland, Norway, Denmark, Finland, West Germany, Holland, Switzerland, South Africa, Australia, New Zealand -- and Ghana. But *not* in the Republic of Ireland; *not* in France, Italy or Japan; much less in Latin America. The fact is that ICE does practically all of its fund-raising in *precisely the 13 most Nordic Protestant nations*. Yet Jews are demonstrably behind much of the moral rot in these same Nordic Protestant nations, in which they openly cultivate alliances with homosexuals, radical feminists, ethnic minorities and others in order to keep society in disarray. In short, they bite the hand which feeds -- and we kiss the teeth which bite.

The biggest ICE festival comes in autumn, when thousands of "nice," safely deracinated Nordics gather in Jerusalem for the International Christian Celebration during the Feast of Tabernacles. Last year's seven-day festival stressed "repentance for Christendom's past sins against Jews." The program explained:

Though we may not be accountable personally for the historic victimization of the Jews or the resultant dilemma in the Jewish perception of Christianity, we must still bear the guilt for atrocities committed against God's Chosen People.

Time magazine's account of last fall's gathering pictured one group of certifiable daffy old Danes hoisting signs with English-language slogans like "Out of Zion, the perfection of beauty, God hath shined."

Another "Christian-Zionist" outfit is Christians United for American [sic] Security. Their full-page ads opposing defensive weaponry for Saudi Arabia must have cost a small fortune. Everyone from Rev. Jerry Falwell to the nun-president of the Roman Catholic Manhattanville College signed their names to a manifesto warning of the "grave danger" to America posed by selling AWACs to the Arabs.

Falwell has said repeatedly that "God deals with nations in relation to how nations deal with Israel." From this principle he has concluded that the Soviet Union's greatest sin has been its recent harsh treatment of Zionist Jews. Forget that the Soviets slaughtered somewhere between 30 and 70 million Christians. Forget that Jews did much of that slaughtering (see Solzhenitsyn's *Gulag Archipelago*). But never forget that 20 or 30 Jewish "prisoners of conscience" are currently imprisoned by Moscow.

Falwell has repeatedly offered Menahem Begin "veto power" over his personal guest list. When one Arab Christian clergyman came to plead his people's case, Jerry told him (in almost these words), "Hold on, I have to get permission from the Israeli Embassy." Sternly and stentoriously his

master's voice said "no."

Logically, Falwell's next step would be to do as the French Dominican priest, Marcel J. Dubois, has done: move to Israel and take out citizenship. Good citizen Dubois teaches in Hebrew, recites Jewish blessings with fellow "Dominicans," lambastes traditional Catholicism, calls Jews "the Chosen People," and says, "I believe in miracles when it comes to Israel." Even so, Dubois admits that "theological reconciliation [will] take a long time." He is surely right on that, because one side has lately done all of the reconciling. As Rev. Thom Werthman of Dudley, Georgia -- formerly Rabbi Thom Werthman -- recently pointed out, his is the only documented case of a rabbi converting to Christianity since Saul became Paul on the highway to Damascus. Even Werthman was raised in a devout Methodist home and converted to Orthodox Judaism only at age 19. He later received a prestigious pulpit in Brooklyn and a \$40,000-a-year salary: "My biggest problem was trying to decide what

color sports car to buy each year."

Then, in 1979, Werthman's late grandmother twice appeared to him in his room, telling him to take a closer look at his Holy Book. The scales promptly fell from his eyes, and he rushed down to a Christian bookstore. Forgetting to remove his yarmulke, he bought a painting of Jesus. He resigned his pulpit that same week, with no bad feelings on either side. It was only when he started a Christian ministry that the threats began. He was called a traitor, a child molester and a fraud who was never really Jewish. A rock came through his window. Part of his house was set afire. He hot-footed it to rural Georgia, but the death threats followed him there.

If Werthman is really the first *rebbe* to go goy in 2,000 years, then he may have some valuable insights. On the other hand, this too earnest young man was probably never permitted within earshot or eyesight of the Jewish Holy of Holies: that innermost kernel of tribalistic lore which, to this day, is passed down orally.

An Instaurationist attorney tells us how to write wills favoring our friends, not our enemies

MAJORITY ESTATE PLANNING

All too many Majority members are childless. Having escaped the financial burdens imposed by children, if they exercise reasonable financial acumen, they have a better than average chance of accumulating a sizable estate. Eventually the time will come when these well-to-do or moderately well-to-do individuals will write their wills.

At least a few of them may stop and consider that in a sense their race is their family, since they have had no children of their own. They may feel, as I do, that some special act on their part is called for to compensate for their failure to reproduce.

Why should committed Majority members leave their estates to distant relatives who share none of their racial commitment and who may be dedicated race-mixers and professional liberals? Why should they help subsidize institutions and colleges (often their alma maters) which have fallen into equalitarian or minority hands and for years have used their ample educational resources to denigrate and demean Western culture? Should not the last act of a dying person reflect his or her most deeply held convictions?

While particularly appropriate for the childless Majority member who leaves no direct heirs, this all-important "last act" should also be taken by the Majority member with substantial financial assets who has children, but who can still afford to make a bequest, even though small in comparison with his or her entire estate.

There are basically two forms of bequests that should be considered by people about to write or rewrite their wills. First, and most obvious, is the direct gift in money, stocks or other assets. The second consists of items of intrinsic value such as books, pamphlets and records, even libraries. Whoever possesses literature of a special value to the Majority cause should see that it is preserved and placed in the hands of those who can put it to the best use.

Several years ago I met an outstanding Majority activist.

Advanced in years, she now lives in seclusion surrounded by her vast collection of irreplaceable books, newspapers and records, accumulated over half a century of searching for reasons for the decline of her people. Such an archive is now virtually nonexistent, since most of them were systematically destroyed or thrown away in the hysteria and repression that followed America's entry into World War II.

How tragic that such valuable writings, on the off chance they manage to survive, should pass into the hands of relatives who view them as worthless junk and who will probably destroy them in the course of the administration of the estate!

How to Avoid Challenges

Majority members who desire to make bequests to Majority causes should prudently and carefully draw their wills so as to anticipate and forestall challenges. A number of significant legacies and bequests of this type have been overturned because of a lack of foresight. For instance, an American multimillionaire left a fortune in Chilean minerals to the British nationalist journal, *Candour*. His relatives, aided by minority lawyers, quickly and easily overturned the will, and *Candour* received nothing.

Here are a few ways a wary testator can defeat or discourage challenges:

First, he should realize the foolishness of incorporating racist credos or other statements in his will. He should understand that any blatant or controversial statements will immediately tip off leftist and minority group members who will then be inspired to have the will set aside. Many liberals actually view a respect for one's race as a form of mental illness. Any racial statement or connection appearing in a will may be taken as *prima facie* evidence that the testator was deranged or incompetent. For this reason the bequest should be worded in terse, subdued, standard legal lan-

guage, omitting any and all ideological remarks or allusions.

The testator should *never* include such a statement as: "In order to perpetuate my lifelong opposition to the dangers presented to this country by blacks, Mexicans and Indians and to promote the welfare of the superior white race, I give and bequeath the sum of \$10,000 to the Anglo-Saxon Federation, Inc."

Instead, the will should simply read, "I give and bequeath the sum of \$10,000 to the Anglo-Saxon Federation, Inc., to be used in such a manner as its directors shall decide."

The testator should always anticipate that his relatives may challenge the will unless it is carefully worded to discourage any contest. Since money motivates even the best of us, it is unwise to indulge in the illusion that our relatives and heirs at law will not attempt to challenge a will if a successful challenge will bring them financial gain.

To forestall the contesting of a will, it is necessary to understand something about how such contests come about. Wills may be caveated (the legal term for contesting or challenging) only by those with proper qualifications. This means that in most cases wills are challenged by the heirs at law. Who is an heir at law? This depends on the laws of the particular state in which the testator resides. Before a will is written the laws of one's state must be carefully checked to find out who exactly qualifies as an heir at law. As an example, Georgia law states basically that a person's heirs at law are his spouse and children. An unmarried person's heirs at law are his parents, brothers and sisters. Nephews and nieces of a deceased brother or sister inherit in the place of their deceased parent. If the testator has no wife, children, brothers, sisters or parents living, then his grandparents and any aunts and uncles and their offspring become his heirs at law.

Wills are usually challenged on two grounds: (1) undue influence, (2) incompetency. Undue influence is influence which prevents the testator and his natural heirs from enjoying a normal affection and prevents the testator from expressing his true intent. For example, the son who persuades his mother to move into his house and then intercepts letters and phone calls from another son is exerting undue influence.

The greatest source of danger for the testator who wants to aid organizations or individuals who share his beliefs is the challenge based on incompetency. The usual form of challenge on these grounds is that the bequest demonstrates the testator to be mentally incompetent, because only a mentally incompetent person in this era of berserk equalitarianism and liberalism would prefer his own kind over another ethnic group or would wish to support an organization or person publicly advocating racial differences. This type of attack is certain to become more successful as the country's demographic complexion darkens. Attorneys representing Negroes, Hispanics, Jews and other minorities will be quick to set such wills aside in order to reduce the threat of an effective opposition to minority racism. The recent attempt of various Jewish organizations to overturn the will of a fellow Jew, who left \$25,000 to the PLO, has been in all the newspapers. In my own law practice I was once engaged by the heirs of a Palestinian who died intestate (leaving no will) in New York. The Jewish judge appointed a swarm of minority lawyers as administrators and guardians with the result that every cent of the Arab's small estate was eventu-

ally depleted in the form of attorney fees. The judge found nothing amiss in all this and denied my objections on behalf of the heirs. With this example in mind, it is not difficult to predict what would happen to a will that contained even the slightest connotation of "white racism."

In Terrorem Clause

A will, as previously stated, can only be challenged by an heir at law. The general public does not have the right of challenge. One effective means of reducing the possibility of challenges is to leave the heirs at law a fair and equitable portion of the estate and to write into the will what is known as an *in terrorem clause*. This provides that anyone who challenges the will and who is unsuccessful in his challenge will lose everything he would have inherited under the will. Below is a typical *in terrorem clause*, which I have used successfully for a few of my own clients:

It is my wish and I do hereby direct that, if any legatee or legatees named in my will shall contest this my last will and testament in any court, or if they or any one of them shall aid or encourage any other person in the contest of this will, he, she or they shall forfeit all interest in my estate and the legacies given them shall be forfeited and become a part of my residuary estate. I do not anticipate, nor have I any reason to anticipate, that any legatee provided for in this my last will and testament will contest same, but I make this provision so that there will be no contention or litigation over any matter relating to my estate or the disposition of the property of my estate as set out in this my last will and testament, which I deem to be just and equitable.

Obviously such a clause will have no deterrent effect if the heir is left out of the will completely. If an heir stands to receive nothing at all, then he has every reason to challenge the will.

As to disinheriting wayward heirs, I am afraid I must sound a discouraging note. We have all read of parents writing straying offspring out of their wills -- an all-too-human attempt to get in one last lick at some obnoxious relative. Alas, the Majority testator with the best interests of his race at heart must forget this idea. A disinherited heir at law is an heir at law who will have everything to gain and nothing to lose by challenging the will. No matter how annoying and embarrassing this relative may have been to the testator in the latter's lifetime, imagine the effect on a jury, especially one weighted down with several minority members, when the disinherited heir breaks down on the stand into sobs about poor, beloved Aunt Agatha, whose mind was poisoned against the heir by conniving racial bigots who surrounded her in her last moments. Most of us have been required to do many distasteful things and endure many unpleasant associations in our struggle for racial survival. Including the obnoxious relative in your will will be the last manifestation of this regrettable necessity.

The strategy, then, is to include all your heirs at law in your will and add an *in terrorem clause*. Since we live in a legal environment hostile to our ideas, we cannot expect to leave *all* of our estate to further the Majority cause, even if we so desire. Those who wish to leave us money and those who wish to receive it must be content with a reasonable amount.

It is up to the testator to determine what proportion of his

estate should be left to the heirs in order to make a challenge or series of challenges not worth the risk of losing more than the challengers would gain, not worth the risk of paying out more in legal fees than they could possibly win even in a favorable outcome. Perhaps I can best explain how a prudent person would dispose of his estate by giving the facts of my own situation.

My heirs, provided both my parents die before I do, would be my two brothers. One is an avowed Marxist who has been commissioned by a large foundation to draw up a program to eradicate racial and religious bigotry in America. It is clear that he would be delighted to challenge my will to head off any pro-Majority bequest. However, he has one vulnerable point. Although he loves Lenin, he loves money even more, perhaps because he has never been able to accumulate much of it.

I bequeathed this particular brother a substantial inheritance in my will, but an amount less than he is legally entitled to by law. I am relying on the *in terrorem clause* to scare him away from any challenge. Since he would receive one-half of my estate if I died intestate, I have left him one-third.

This means that my Marxist sibling will be confronted with a choice of taking his third under the will or placing himself in jeopardy of losing out altogether by filing a challenge and losing. If his challenge should be successful, he will take one-half of the estate, minus his legal fees and expenses. I anticipate that, after weighing the odds, he will settle for one-third. As for my second brother, who shares some of my ideas, I know he will be quite content with his one-third share and will have no objection to my bequest of the remaining third to a pro-Majority organization.

Independent Executor

The Majority testator should name an independent executor under his will, preferably a capable, trusted and honest lawyer (a few such still exist). The reason is that the will must be defended by the executor. A lawyer named as executor will have a direct pecuniary interest in sustaining the will against any and all challenges because he wants to get his fee for administering the estate. A relative and heir as an executor might be tempted to give up or compromise rather than fight a challenge to the bitter end. The lawyer-executor has another good reason for doing his best to defend the will. He is entitled by law to a small percentage of the estate, which he would lose if the will were overturned.

Some Majority members may fear adverse publicity as a result of their bequest. They should be reassured that only rarely is any publicity attached to the probate and administration of estates. There will almost certainly be no publicity at all if the will is written so as to minimize the possibility of a challenge.

Those sensitive souls who, for one reason or another, still cannot bring themselves to make a bequest to the defenders of their people, might investigate the idea of a small life insurance policy, naming some Majority activist as the beneficiary.

To sum up, the Majority member who leaves a properly drawn will benefiting one or more members of his extended racial family or benefiting some Majority activist group will have the comfort of knowing that even if he hasn't done as much in his lifetime as he would have liked, he will be fighting the good fight for years and years after his death.

The man who "raised" black IQs by 33 points is now in jail!

THE MILWAUKEE PROJECT HOAX

Back in July 1971, a writer named S.P. Strickland told readers of *American Education*, a federally sponsored magazine, that intensive work with ghetto children in Milwaukee had succeeded in raising their IQs by an average of 33 points. Dr. Richard Heber, the director of the Milwaukee Project, as it was called, made claims far beyond those seen in other child-care intervention programs. Since Heber told the press what it wanted to hear, he received enormous publicity.

Immediately after Strickland's article appeared, *Time* magazine told its readers that the Milwaukee Project "offers persuasive evidence that mental retardation in the offspring of mentally retarded mothers can be prevented." The *Washington Post* had this to say:

Heber's group at the University of Wisconsin may have settled once and for all the question of whether the disproportionate mental retardation of slum children is the result of heredity or environment.

The *New York Times* chimed in:

The Milwaukee Project, an experiment in intensive preschool education for [potentially retarded] children, has proved that they can be raised more than 30 test points higher than other children from the same environment and with the same type of mother.

Soon the President's Committee on Mental Retardation was announcing that IQ similarities between parents and children were "mainly because of the environment that the parents create for the young child" -- and the Committee's decisive evidence for the claim was Heber's Milwaukee Project. Warnings about Heber's findings were voiced immediately by individuals like Professor Ellis B. Page of the University of Connecticut (*Educational Researcher*, 1972, 1 (10), pp. 8-16), but were never allowed to get past the scientific press.

It was only in August 1982 that the wider American public

was given its *first* chance to learn that Heber and his associates were big-time swindlers and their project a fraud from beginning to end. In what may be the most devastating critique yet of newsmedia irresponsibility in reporting the so-called "IQ controversy," Professor R.J. Herrnstein of Harvard revealed to readers of the *Atlantic* how they have been lied to for years. There is no "IQ controversy," Herrnstein asserts, because the men who actually study the matter are in basic agreement the world over. Moreover, they have repeatedly and patiently advised reporters of the media's persistent error. Even in East Germany, Poland, Russia and other Communist countries, researchers are now convinced beyond any reasonable doubt that variation in intellectual ability is about 70 to 80% due to genetic causes, and 20 to 30% due to environmental ones. Says Herrnstein:

[This] is an ersatz controversy, a creation of the press itself. In the technical literature, virtual unanimity reigns: most of the variation among individual IQs is due to variation in genes. In public discussion, however, the idea that genes account for most variation among IQs seems not only controversial but also associated with a few men of allegedly questionable character.


Herrnstein concedes that "a few professors are available" to provide anti-genetic arguments. However,

They rarely publish their arguments in the technical literature; when they do, the arguments usually fare poorly. They gather little or no data of their own, but instead tend toward ad hominem charges against the scholarly consensus

As for Richard Heber, who once chaired President Kennedy's Panel on Mental Retardation, he is now serving three years in federal prison in Bastrop, Texas. After that will come four years in a Wisconsin state prison. Heber and an associate, Patrick Flanigan, were convicted of numerous counts of diverting institutional funds to private use. While receiving millions of dollars in federal funds for his IQ "miracle" in Wisconsin, Heber actually resided in Colorado Springs. People often wondered where he was all the time. He was in Iowa, Florida, Colorado and other places, buying land and -- breeding thoroughbred horses!

Professor Ellis Page has recounted his own Herculean efforts to obtain whatever fragmentary data on Heber's project existed. His quest was obstructed at almost every step, not least by Heber's agency, the Social and Rehabilitation Service in Washington. Even today, reports Herrnstein, "more than 14 years after the Milwaukee Project started, and long after its spokesman's claims have been absorbed into the consciousness of editors, politicians, judges, school boards, parents, and social theorists, as well as into countless textbooks and lecturers' notes, no scientific evidence has been published." Yet until the scandal broke in December 1980, Heber remained the director of the Center on Mental Retardation and Human Development at the University of Wisconsin.

What about S.P. Strickland, the writer whose article in the July 1971 issue of *American Education* first got the hoax rolling? Incredibly, "more than ten years passed before a reporter at the *Capital Times* of Madison (Wisconsin) discovered that Mr. Strickland, in mid-1971, had become a stockholder and officer in a corporation set up by Heber to



R.J. Herrnstein

sell the very techniques of educational intervention that Strickland credited in his article." A series of *Capital Times* articles from January to October of 1981 "paints a picture of flamboyant misconduct" on Heber's part.

For Herrnstein, the galling part of this "episode of incomparably greater meaning for testing than all of [Cyril] Burt's lapses" is that it remains unknown to the public outside of Wisconsin. "The national press, which found Cyril Burt interminably fascinating, has published nothing" on Heber -- not one word in the newsweeklies, the big papers, national TV or even in *Science* magazine.

Even as the *Atlantic* was presenting Herrnstein's case, the August issue of *Psychology Today* featured a delirious piece entitled, "The Case of the Suggestible Psychologist." It seems that, as a boy, Cyril Burt was an inveterate reader of Sherlock Holmes stories. It also seems that the Holmes literature (like all literature of the day, by the by) was full of musty anthropological allusions -- you know, body types, races and such. The reader then has a less-than-Holmesian deduction sprung on him: poor old fuddy-duddy Burt was so "suggestible" by nature that he never realized that

while the theories of the heritability of personality, criminality, and intelligence employed so successfully by Holmes were feasible in terms of late 19th-century science, they have been largely disproved by 20th-century research

It is strange that Cyril Burt . . . was not more aware of, or influenced by, the changing climate of scientific opinion during his own career His fixation on the importance of heritability led him to ignore the increasing evidence for the effects of environmental influences.

The deeper problem with this sort of journalistic drivel is that Burt's distinguished student, Professor Hans Eysenck --

among others -- has reanalyzed all of the *valid* published data which pertains to Burt's suspect data and has found the two sets to be "practically identical." Burt got away with his cheating for as long as he did because he made his phony results mesh perfectly with the honest findings of many others. Heber, on the other hand, produced data (that is, a few fragments of it) which were totally out of line with those of everyone else. That is why every reputable scientist suspected him from the start.

The Totalitarian Plan

Media silence about the Milwaukee Project hoax impelled Herrnstein to write:

After more than a decade, and scores of contacts with the press, I can count on the fingers of one hand all the journalists whom I have met who are committed to telling the truth about my field as well as they can discover it. No one could organize censorship so effectively in America, so the distortions in the press must reflect countless more or less independent decisions by editors and reporters which lead to the perpetuation of a misleading and intellectually fallacious understanding of a serious scientific subject.

We wish this point had been followed up. If hundreds of American reporters are both independently and consciously slanting the truth in the same way -- and Herrnstein's grim personal experiences warrant this conclusion -- then something is dreadfully rotten in the 50 states. Herrnstein does not seem to realize how rotten:

Most people would have trouble conceiving of the enormity of the bias against testing in the national press. I am willing, indeed eager, to believe that so severe a bias is atypical; that most aspects of a story usually get told, because of the sheer anarchy of the national press, if for no other reason.

Here Herrnstein seems to forget that few American cities now have more than one "independent" newspaper, that a small group of interrelated men wields most of the power in Hollywood, that *many* aspects of *many* big stories are simply not getting told -- in short, that his vision of "sheer anarchy" in the national media is at odds with a reality of increasingly tight quasi-control. This control is all the more insidious because it is usually covert. By far the greater part of it is exercised through intense *moral bullying*.


Herrnstein himself points out that while most scientists privately support Arthur Jensen on the question of black-white IQ differences, very few will defend him publicly. Why? Because to do so is to be linked to visions of lynch mobs. But if most informed scientists, who are *certain* that Jensen is right, can be bullied into self-censorship, how much easier it is to muzzle journalists, who are less sure of themselves and a lot more vulnerable. Herrnstein's blistering attack on the state of media morality leaves one wondering about scientific morality.

Society is paying an awesome cost for scientific timidity. "Tests are being banned all over the country by courts and legislatures," writes Herrnstein. But "schools cannot tailor programs of study to children throughout the range of ability if ability cannot be asserted. The restrictions on job-placement tests [alone] may be costing billions of dollars annually in lost productivity."

Herrnstein concludes:

Powerful pressures -- even legal restraints -- inhibit analyses showing how individual traits correlate with education and job training, with the conditions of learning and working, and with the quality of people's personal experience. Instead of learning more about how people function in a world whose intellectual demands are growing, America has all but outlawed the data, encouraged to do so by what it encounters in the national press.

RANCOROUS RED MAN



Russell Means of the Lakota (Sioux) nation, "a big, scarred man, a reformed drunk and heroin addict, a drifter . . . and a powerful propagandist," was recently on a subsidized speaking tour of England. There, all too predictably, he called the backward tribes of the world "correct peoples" while denouncing his hosts as "incorrect." He also made a virtue of Indian necessity: "We did not make history because we were happy. Only unhappy people make history." (Means himself made history by helping to lead the 1972 trashing of Washington's Bureau of Indian Affairs and the 1973 occupation of Wounded Knee, South Dakota.)

Means was the cover boy of *Mother Jones* magazine (December 1980). Beneath his picture was the chilling, genocidal caption: "Russell Means: For the World to Live, 'Europe' Must Die." The staff at *Mother Jones* had been enthralled by a 1980 Means speech at a left-wing "survivalist" rally in the Black Hills.

Mother Jones likened Means's address to Martin Luther King's "I-have a dream" speech. Here is how he began:

The only possible opening for a statement of this kind is that I detest writing. The process itself epitomizes the European concept of "legitimate" thinking; what is written has an importance that is denied the spoken. My culture, the Lakota culture, has an oral tradition, so I ordinarily reject writing. It is one of the white world's ways of destroying the cultures of non-European peoples . . .

So what you read here is not what I've written. It's what I've said and someone else has written down. I will allow this because it seems that the only way to communicate with the white world is through the dead, dry leaves of a book. I don't really care whether my words reach whites or not. They have already demonstrated through their history that they cannot hear, cannot see; they can only read (of course, there are exceptions, but the exceptions only prove the rule).

Means forgot to mention the white invention of radio, television, moving pictures, etc., which has given new life to his beloved "oral tradition."

Here, we can only touch on the highlights of Means's all-out hate attack. After complaining of "a few thousand years of genocidal, reactionary [!], European intellectual development," Means explained what he meant:

Newton, for example, "revolutionized" physics and the so-called natural sciences by reducing the physical universe to a linear mathematical equation. Descartes did the same thing with culture. John Locke did it with politics, and Adam Smith did it with economics. Each one of these "thinkers" took a piece of the spirituality of human existence and converted it into a code, an abstraction. They picked up where Christianity ended; they "secularized" Christian religion, as the "scholars" like to say -- and in doing so they made Europe more able and ready to act as an expansionist culture. [Sarcastic quote marks courtesy of the "intellectuals" at *Mother Jones*.]

These Europeans always needed a Messiah, said Means,

whether Jesus or Marx or Einstein. But even Marxism was just the old "imperialist lie." European real-estate speculators were wrecking the planet's surface, and they alone "feel no sense of loss in all this . . . [T]here is no satisfaction (for them) to be gained in simply observing the wonder of a mountain or a lake or a people *in being*." (Means neglected to say that most of the great 20th-century naturalists have been Nordics, and that wilderness preservation is currently succeeding only in the whitest areas.)

Clearly, capitalism is *not* the culprit: "No, it is the European tradition."

Means was fed up with renegade Indians -- "apples" he called them: "red on the outside . . . and white on the inside." No less reprehensible were the black "Oreos" and Hispanic "coconuts." White racial renegades, on the other hand, were wonderful: "I'm not sure what term should be applied to them other than 'human beings.'" Only moments later, Means added, "I believe in the slogan, 'Trust your brother's vision.'"

But, "there is a peculiar behavior among most Caucasians. As soon as I become critical of Europe . . . they become defensive." Imagine that! Caucasians could not be trusted until they attain an entirely new (for them) vision. Meanwhile, it was okay for Indians to enter the white man's universities and other institutions -- but only if they were "there to learn to resist the oppressor." Remember, "Europeans have long since lost all touch with reality, if ever they were in touch with it. Feel sorry for them if you need to."

No wonder Means fears and detests writing. The written word captures his illogic before it can take wing.

That a primitive like Means -- in and out of jail 16 times -- should voice such idiocies is not remarkable. What is amazing is that the nation's biggest-circulation leftist publication finds him inspiring -- and that white Americans let his venom pollute their drug store and supermarket magazine racks.

Begelman VI

"Rocky III" is a hit, so the Hollywood moguls are now talking "Rocky IV." But "The Amazing, Sleaze-filled Adventures of David Begelman" have left even Sylvester Stallone far behind.

Begelman I transpired when Columbia Pictures Industries, one of Hollywood's seven giant studios, handed its presidency to David Begelman, who had left previous jobs at an insurance company and as Judy Garland's agent under clouds of suspicion. Begelman I may have been excusable.

The plot coagulated in Begelman II. Actor Cliff Robertson discovered (in 1977) that Begelman had forged his (Robertson's) signature on a \$10,000 check. The prez, it developed, had also forged and cashed at least three other checks totaling \$65,000, while illegally padding his Columbia expense account. In May 1978, Begelman pleaded no contest to grand theft and was fined \$5,000 and put on three years' probation. After he had completed a public

service film on drug abuse, Begelman had his parole terminated and his grand theft conviction reduced to a misdemeanor. His record was clear again. For a while he was on "leave of absence" with a full salary, but was soon rehired: a majority of the board members at Columbia wanted an admitted crook to lead them. That was Begelman II. (Meanwhile Cliff Robertson, the too-righteous Gentile, not only was blacklisted by Hollywood for four years, but sometimes had to be chauffeured around with a blanket over his head for protection.)

Begelman III occurred when the news media heated up Begelgate. Columbia was forced to fire him -- but immediately helped him get a fat independent production deal.

Begelman IV was when MGM, another of Hollywood's big seven, decided it too had to have a convicted criminal as production chief.

Begelman V was when United Artists, yet another of the seven shysters, decided that a crook was needed to lead them, despite the crook's string of box-office bombs at MGM.

Which brings us to Begelman VI, in which the newly-formed movie company, Sherwood Productions, decided on August 4 that no one other than David Begelman could possibly handle their presidency. "We are delighted and look forward to the benefits of David's knowledge and experience and an aggressive expanding productive effort," gushed chairman and chief executive officer Alan E. Salke.

Begelman I through IV or V are recounted in David McClintick's meticulous exposé, *Indecent Exposure: A True Story of Hollywood and Wall Street* (Morrow, 544 pp., \$17.50). McClintick, a circumspect Kansan, has been called "a perfect anti-thesis of the Hollywood type." The former investigative reporter for the *Wall Street*

Journal says that actually helped him: the flat sentences, scuffed shoes and prudent pauses in his speech put all the Hollywood heavies at ease. Many now wish they had shown him the door.

William Dozier of the *Los Angeles Times* lists the power-brokering characters in McClintick's book: Alan Hirschfeld, Herbert Allen, Jr., Marty Rosenhaus, Ray Stark, Charlie Allen (Herb's uncle), Norman Hirschfeld (Alan's dad), Leo Jaffe, Milton Rudin, Dan Melnick and Sy Weintraub. We have not omitted any names. McClintick makes it abundantly clear that Holly-

wood power is often a family affair.

The maneuverings and cover-ups at Columbia during Begegate would, writes Dozier, "have made Nixon and his cover-up artists look like clods." The "ugly, loathsome story" which emerges is really more about the incestuous and self-protective nature of the entire movie community than about its leading con man. Dozier advises "anyone who has ever had, or hopes to have any connection with the motion picture business or Wall Street" to consider the book "an absolute must."

In David McClintick's words, Holly-

wood is an institution with a great capacity for "resisting change," inhabited by people with "narrow, small minds" which come from "living in an insular sub-culture." If that sounds like a description of rural Mississippi in the 1950s, just remember that McClintick (unlike the late Reverend Martin Luther King Jr.) is pessimistic about the prospects for change -- and that the average American child doesn't spend anything like 30,000 hours crouching bug-eyed before a bale of cotton.

Bureaucratic Anthropology

An Instauratorist comments: The bureaucratic memorandum reproduced at right defies belief. Apparently the personnel offices in U.S. defense establishments have been converted into amateur do-it-yourself bureaus of physical anthropology.

You didn't know that "employees in Guam or Hawaii" constitute a new racial division, did you? I certainly didn't. I also tend to doubt the importance of "American Indians or Alaskan Natives" in Naval Air Engineering work. I looked expectantly for my own racial listing. As nearly as I can make out, I'm lumped with Bulgarians under "White, not of Hispanic Origin." However, if I went to Puerto Rico looking for a government position, I would be "Not Hispanic in Puerto Rico." One omission, though, represents progress -- they've finally dumped the Aleuts (but not in the 1980 Census). As for "Hispanic," on a recent trip to Ensenada I used Spanish frequently, much to the disappointment of retail clerks who have learned enough English to get a job handling the turistas. Zambos, quadroons, octoroons, mulattoes, mestizos, Alpines, Mediterraneans, even Nordics, Eurasians and Mongoloids, can be listed under "Hispanic," which is about as logical as putting the same groups under "Anglic."

There's literally no end to the nonsense that the bureaucratic Lib-Mins can come up with, whether it be in the "criminal justice system" or biology. Expect it from all quarters. H.G. Wells worried about the Morlocks. He never in his wildest imagination thought of the Lib-Mins. Note the term "Pacific Islander," which must include New Zealanders.

The memorandum closes by saying that if anyone doesn't like his racial or national-origin category, he may call up the EEO office, ext. 2656, and get another one.

Sorry, I have to sign off. I'm in need of smelling salts.

Note: We may expect some changes in some of these racial classifications. Mexican Americans prefer the term "Latino" to

"Hispanic," which they almost never use. The closer they get to the border, the more they like to describe themselves as Mexicanos. In New Mexico and parts of Colorado the descendants of immigrants who came here when Mexico was part of Spain

call themselves Spanish Americans or Hispanos. Incidentally, Latino is preferred to Latin because it eliminates Italians, who are Latins, but did not arrive here via Latin America or, as the Mexicans call it, Latino-america.



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
NAVAL AIR ENGINEERING CENTER
LAKEHURST N J 08733

IN REPLY REFER TO
121:MM:neo
8 December 1980

MEMORANDUM TO ALL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES

Subj: Standardization of race and ethnic statistical data

1. Since 1974, data on the race and national origin of all Federal employees have been collected under the provisions of the Federal Personnel Manual (Chapter 713). This has been done through visual observation by the Civilian Personnel Department, Equal Employment Opportunity Office, your supervisor, or other designated official. These data are then reported to the Office of Personnel Management as input to the Central Personnel Data File and are used for reports to Congress (required by law) on the status of minorities in the Federal Civilian work force and for special surveys to evaluate the effectiveness of Equal Employment Opportunity programs.
2. In May of 1978, the U.S. Department of Commerce began requiring that all Federal agencies collect and maintain such data using a common set of race and national origin definitions. To comply with this requirement, the Department of Navy has converted previous minority categories to the new set of categories shown below. For example, if you were perceived by your agency through visual observation as Negro, your category was changed to "Black, not of Hispanic origin."

Previous Minority Category

Employees in Guam or Hawaii
Negro
Spanish Surnamed
American Indian
Aleut (In Alaska Only)
Eskimo (In Alaska Only)
Oriental
None of these
Not Hispanic in Puerto Rico

New Race and National Origin Category

Employees in Guam or Hawaii
Black, not of Hispanic Origin
Hispanic
American Indian or Alaskan Native
American Indian or Alaskan Native
American Indian or Alaskan Native
Asian or Pacific Islander
White, not of Hispanic Origin
Not Hispanic in Puerto Rico

3. These are the only categories which are used for Federal civilian employees. Please note that, for the first time, employees who have origins in the Indian sub-continent will be coded as "Asian or Pacific Islander." Since such employees were not previously specifically identified, in the conversion process their group may have been changed to some category other than "Asian or Pacific Islander." If your origins are in the Indian Subcontinent, you are urged to identify yourself to Code 121 as being "Asian or Pacific Islander," or the designation with which you most closely identify yourself.

4. You have the right to know the race or national origin category under which you are coded. If you have any questions or are concerned that the above conversion process does not properly represent your correct racial and national origin designation, please contact EEO Office, ext. 2656 so that the correct data can be recorded.

H. M. Unger

H. M. UNGER
Civilian Personnel Officer

Classical and Rock Blacks

"Betty Allen -- the black mezzo who began to make her mark not long after World War II, along with Ellabelle Davis, Ella Lee, Gloria Davy, Mattiwilda Dobbs, Carol Brice, Reri Grist and Leontyne Price -- giggles at the thought of a black Lohengrin on the German stage. It would throw Germany into turmoil." So writes Harold Schonberg in the *New York Times Magazine*.

That a black singer cannot possibly assume the lead role in a Wagnerian opera without making a mockery of the whole performance is dismissed as "stupid" by black singer Leona Mitchell in *Opera News*. But Peter Hall, an English opera director, wonders, "How can a black man play a Nordic god?"

One black bass now being heavily promoted by the Jewish music critics is Simon Estes. Feature articles in *High Fidelity* and *Saturday Review* have focused on his upward movement in the musical world. He made his Metropolitan Opera debut early this year in *Tannhäuser*, and the critics raved.

Estes claims that he should have sung at the Met 16 years ago, after he had won the Tchaikovsky competition. "I would have had I been white." But Joan Ingpen, the Met's assistant manager, disagrees. "We don't care what color an artist is. The only thing we're concerned with is how well he sings." She also pointed out, "In the time she had been there, the Met offered Estes two previous contracts, both of which he refused."

Critic Mark Steinbrink had to admit in an otherwise fawning article in the *Saturday Review* that the 16 years it has taken Estes

to appear at the Met is not that unusual. "Many of today's best artists spent years abroad learning the operatic ropes." Schonberg confirmed this in his *New York Times* piece:

[I]t is very hard for a singer, black or white, after spending 15 or more years trying to hack out a career, to concede that he will never be good enough to get near the top. So the all too natural defense mechanism is to look around for someone else to blame.

Estes has one other brownie point that should endear him to the minority and liberal intelligentsia. In 1980 he married Yvonne Baer, a Swiss kindergarten teacher he met while singing in Zurich in 1977. "Yvonne and I have a wonderful relationship . . . we can't wait to have kids."

Resegregation

At the moment the classical music scene beckons ever more alluringly to blacks, a curious resegregation seems to be taking place in rock. *Time* (Feb. 15, 1982) notes:

The results of radio stations' demographic polls can be racist in fact, if not in intent. With some crossover exceptions like Michael Jackson and the Commodores, the place to hear black music on American radio now is either soul or oldies stations. And they play even less white music on black radio.

Says Jon Landau, the onetime rock critic who now manages Bruce Springsteen, "The cross-fertilization between black music and white music that created rock has

greatly diminished."

White Boy Singin' the Blues by Michael Bane notes that the reaction against disco music can be equated with the "white backlash" of the early 1970s. Bane writes:

I think the real answer to the rise of New Wave music lies in the reaction itself. New Wave music is self-consciously white. The beat moved on and New Wave is an attempt to redefine music without it.

Governor Jerry Brown's frontwoman, Linda Ronstadt, sounds off in *Playboy*:

For a while, it was very much an in thing for white musicians to be able to play with heavy black affectations; for instance, putting the rhythm emphasis way back behind the beat. If you could do that and keep the groove, that was a real hip thing to do and now it's the opposite. The grooves are very rushed and very fast and the emphasis seems to be very much on top of the beat. The moves I see are very white . . . like something in a Holiday Inn disco, sort of Ohio housewife dancing -- very white.

What does all this breast-beating mean? It's hard to say because no definite trend can be spotted. Young whites who have been closely associated with black music for a generation or more may be shifting back to their own roots. Or popular music may be in a transition stage. But whether we are leaving behind the minority-dominated past for something more authentically "us" is still unclear.

Zion in Santa Monica

Although Berkeley was really the first to deserve the honor, Santa Monica, California, has been given worldwide publicity for being the first "people's democracy" in the U.S. Under the thumb of Mayor Ruth Yanetta Goldway, the city's politburo, which like the first politburos of the Bolsheviks, is dominated by Jews, has come out strongly against American intervention in El Salvador. It has backed the nuclear freeze, yelled against the establishment (although it is part of the establishment), hated the rich, loved the poor, adored the minorities and supported gun control, forced busing and practically everything else in the ultraliberal book -- with one strange or not-so-strange exception.

In the Middle East it is not against militar-

ism, it is not for the poor, it is not against colonialism and aggression. In fact, the Santa Monica city council passed by a vote of 4 to 3 a resolution strongly supporting Israel's invasion of Lebanon (Operation Peace for Galilee). Instead of calling on Zionists to stop napalming and cluster-bombing the wretched of the Lebanese earth, it has asked Senator Alan Cranston to investigate the Arab connections of Secretary of State George Shultz.

Jane Fonda, who happens to live in Santa Monica, went to Hanoi to support Uncle Ho's militarists in the Vietnam war. She went to Israel to support Uncle Menahem in the Lebanese invasion. She visited the few hundred wounded soldiers in Israeli hospitals, but did not visit the tens of thou-

sands of wounded and maimed in Lebanese hospitals which Israel attacked with phosphorous bombs.

How does Jane Fonda, the antiracist par excellence, rationalize her collaboration with the world's most racist state? She doesn't bother. Her husband, Tom Hayden, one of the more despicable members of the despicable Chicago 7 and an ex-SDS hit man, is running as a Democrat for a seat in California's 44th Assembly District. Jane has to be for the Israeli killers because otherwise Tom wouldn't have a chance in his heavily Jewish district. That's the way American politics goes.

Aren't we all proud of being members of such a political system?

Nords and Meds

A paragraph buried in a *New York Times* story (Aug. 13, 1982, p. 6) sheds further light on why Britain clobbered Argentina in the Falklands. Discussing the components of the Argentina army, the *Times* reporter wrote:

The draft here is democratic, an honest lottery, but the assignments afterward are not. Many of the white sons of the middle class draw office jobs. But most of the fighting men for the Falkland war were one-year conscripts from poor and working-class families. Many came from the provinces, their dark, straight hair and wide cheekbones revealing their Indian ancestry.

So it was not just the old story of Northern Europeans beating Southern Europeans in mortal combat. Apparently the Argentine forces were composed of many members of a race with an even poorer military record than that racked up by Mediterraneans.

Mix-Up in Georgia

Those who think that black-white miscegenation is still largely confined to America's big cities had better recompute -- at least if tiny Millen, Georgia, is anywhere near typical. Millen, situated midway between Augusta and Savannah, has won notoriety over the past two years as the home of Kathleen Blackburn, a white woman from Minnesota whose illegitimate black baby has caused Superior Court Judge W. Colbert Hawkins to take away her 3-year-old white son. At the time Judge Hawkins awarded custody of the boy to his paternal grandparents, he responded to a reporter's question about interracial sex by saying: "You got a community here that isn't, shall we say, ready for that sort of integration, whether you like it or not." When any judge anywhere says that sort of thing these days, he triggers editorial righteousness from coast to coast. After Judge Hawkins had said his piece, no fewer than 20 special interest groups filed court briefs in support of Kathleen Blackburn's claim to her son.

Last June the Georgia Supreme Court reversed the lower court's decision, thereby forcing Nicolas Blackburn's grandparents to relinquish him. The town of Millen remained much less concerned about the merits of the case than about its national image as "a drab bastion of racism." Rev. Maurice Crowder, pastor of the Millen Baptist Church, explained that local racism wasn't the real issue: it was the mother's promiscuity. Why, said Crowder, he knew of "at least half a dozen" other white women in the town who had given birth to half-Negro children without suffering anything worse than gossip.

Considering the royal treatment that Kathleen Blackburn has been receiving, more Southern girls may be tempted to blackburnize. The new-style Georgia Peach was flown to California for talks with a movie producer at Post-Newsweek Productions, a film subsidiary of the *Washington Post*, and given a \$2,500 advance check for telling her side of the story (which is \$2,500 more than Judge Hawkins will ever get for his). When she returned to Georgia in February with the check, Blackburn did not post the bond that would have allowed her to see little Nicholas for the first time in eight months. Instead she spent the money on what she called "essentials" -- such as a leather coat and a high-priced stereo.

If all goes well, the Millen miscegenatrix stands to make \$48,000 from the docudrama of her salt-and-pepper life. Not bad for a mom who has male visitors at all hours of the day and night, who produced a daughter bearing no physical resemblance to her whatsoever, and who may even have let her son watch her animal high-jinks.

The Best and the Dumbest

A conference held in Racine, Wisconsin, last June sought to refute the idea that black soldiers are unreliable. Alvin J. Schexnider of Virginia Commonwealth University presented data showing that, in all branches of the military, the percentage of black recruits with high school diplomas is significantly higher than the percentage of whites with diplomas. In the army 90.6% of the blacks recruited last year had finished high school, as opposed to 76.3% of the whites. In the Navy the figures were 86.8% against 73.7%.

To Schexnider this was proof positive of black quality, although the less educated white GIs regularly demolish the blacks on mental tests. And this happens despite the fact that, as Northwestern University sociologist Charles Moskos put it at Racine, "In the volunteer Army you're recruiting the best of the blacks and the worst of the whites."

Idiot Savant

Mix Carl Sagan with Stephen Jay Gould, add a dash of Lenny Bernstein and a soupçon of Soupy Sales, and who (or what) have you got? You've got "a genius of the highest order," according to Professor Dan Segre of Haifa University. You've got "More Than a Touch of Genius," as the *Washington Post* shouts from a banner headline. You may not have God, but you've got the next best thing -- Talmudic scholar Adin Steinsaltz in neon lights.

How smart is Rabbi Steinsaltz? So smart that, "If [he] lived in the United States, he would likely be on the cover of *Science* or *Time*. His fiction might appear in the *New Yorker*, and op-ed pages of metropolitan dailies would invite his views on politics and society." These are the opening words of the *Post's* apotheosis. So smart that his "sort of mind comes around only every couple of thousand years." So says the *Post's* second paragraph.

Still not sold? Then hear this: Steinsaltz, with his scholar's stoop, his blue eyes and his scraggly red beard, "links worlds others see as opposites: religion and politics, mathematics and mysticism . . . sees connections no one else sees . . ." He has written a book linking modern physics (Einstein) to traditional Judaism. Although coming from a family of leftist intellectuals, he now sees Marxism as "a cheap imitation" of the Talmudic dialectic. In his spare time he is now translating all 36 volumes of the Talmud and adding his own commentary to every passage.

Steinsaltz! The man who is "interested in everything," who delights in the "secret sympathy" between Israel's far left and far right, yet regards Menahem Begin as "too much of a gentleman," who sums up his life, his worldview, all in one sentence: "To me everything is Torah." Steinsaltz, the Great Reductionist. Mr. Brains himself, the Mind of the Millennium. Tell us, Great Master, your views on the Lebanon invasion.

Slowly the hidden connection emerged: "Steinsaltz sees a parallel between his enlarged spleen and Palestinian terrorism: The invasion of Lebanon was 'inevitable' and 'a necessity.'" The spleen had to go, and so did the PLO.

Kissinger Libeled?

Lyndon LaRouche is the chairman of the almost nonexistent U.S. Labor party. Yesterday he was some kind of weird Trotskyite. Today he believes the earth is underpopulated and that the Queen of England -- and Arthur Jensen -- are part of a vast conspiracy to reduce the world population by 2 billion nonwhites. He calls Senator Moynihan and Averell Harriman racists and Kissinger a faggot. He is against drugs and for nuclear fusion. In all the newspapers, journals and intelligence reports he turns out (where he gets the money, no one knows), there is nary a word of criticism of the Soviet Union.

Lyndon has sued the ADL unsuccessfully and for his pains has been labeled an anti-Semite. One story has it that he is a French Canadian, but one of his recent statements indicates otherwise. In two press releases dated July 28 and August 3, he said the following:

Personally Kissinger is a nothing. Or, as we say in Yiddish, a *golem*. His first intelligence boss, back when Henry was

a Pfc. jeep driver in Oberammergau, says, "I invented Kissinger . . ." Kissinger is no ordinary, common, garden variety of homosexual. His heathen sexual inclinations are merely an integral part of a larger evil . . .

Psychologically, Henry is of a distinct species . . . the species some psycho-pathologists prefer to describe as an extreme anal variety of sado-masochistic personality, or the same pathological type as organized crime's Roy Cohn . . .

To understand the kind of taggot Henry Kissinger is . . . think back to the Emperor Nero and his court. Think of Studio 54, then of Nero's court, and then of Studio 54 again. Think of Roy Cohn's parties . . . Think of Nero, and then of Kissinger, and then of Nero and then of Roy M. Cohn. That is the kind of taggot Henry Kissinger is.

LaRouche, of course, is a raving nut. But in this age of the bridled tongue, only raving nuts dare to amuse us with insane attacks on worthless big shots who should be the targets of sane attacks.

We Ain't Seen Nothin' Yet

When legal and illegal immigrants plus special-admissions refugees are counted, more people have settled in the U.S. in recent years than at any other time in our history -- between 1½ and 2 million per annum. But, says Bill Butz, the senior economist at Rand Corporation, "legally or illegally, we're in for larger streams" of immigrants soon. He does not say "might be in for" or "will be in for unless we take certain measures." Top planners never talk like that. If they did, they would not be pillars of the establishment.

Butz was describing Rand's new 39-page report, based on a decade-long study (within carefully circumscribed parameters and assumptions) dealing with immigration and employment. The conclusion: while these two social factors clash today, they will begin meshing nicely in the near future. Twenty years from now, we are told, when few young white people are entering the job market, employers will be competing fiercely for the right to bring in immigrants.

Rand demographer Kevin McCarthy finds the present (terribly tepid) debate on immigration control to be possibly shortsighted, given the Baby Bust of the mid-1960s: "I think the conditions facing us right now, the debate, the hullabaloo and the climate that produced it will shift dramatically," he says. Bill Butz calls immigration "one of three safety valves for the labor market," the others being the employment of nonworking women and the elderly. What about increased fertility, specifically white fertility, which is currently well below the rate of biological replacement? Nobody -- but nobody -- talks about changing that factor to help meet econom-

ic needs. Keeping America white is an option far beyond the accepted parameters of our social planning establishment.

Yarn Unravels

Dr. Charles Drew was one of several people whose research helped to develop modern methods of blood transfusion and blood banking. But because Drew was considered black (he had a small Negro component), his name still pops up all across America during Black History Month (February). Part of the myth of Charles Drew pertains to his death in a North Carolina hospital on April 1, 1950. Supposedly, he bled to death because a whites-only hospital refused to treat him for injuries sustained in an automobile accident.



Charles Drew

A black physician who was at the fatal scene is trying to lay to rest what he calls the "perpetual lie" of Charles Drew's death. Dr. Charles M. Quick has traced the tale to 1964, when the late Urban League director Whitney Young told it in a syndicated column. By 1971 historian William Loren Katz was reciting it in a black history book. More recently, a character on television's *M*A*S*H* series told a patient, "If you don't behave, we'll let you bleed to death like that hospital in North Carolina did to Dr. Drew."

Dr. Quick admits that Drew was never "admitted" to the hospital, but only because, as an emergency patient, there wasn't time. This, and the misplacement of Drew's records when the hospital moved, supposedly explain why the yarn grew. Perhaps. But we suspect that another factor will keep it eternally alive.

The fact is that three white emergency room doctors struggled for two hours to save Drew's life.

Freudian Pervert

There are a lot more than 57 varieties of psychoanalysis to choose from, but few practitioners seem to know or care which ones (if any) are truly effective. Dr. John Nathaniel Rosen's specialty is called "direct analysis." The Rockefeller Brothers Fund gave Rosen \$150,000 in 1957 to study his own theories. Temple University put two houses at his disposal. Novelist Millen Brand wrote *The Savage Sleep*, partly based on Rosen's life. To this day many acolytes sing his praises. But as psychiatrist O. Spurgeon English observes, Rosen's "seductive tactics" with patients "may appear unconventional":

It may not be so easy to understand his saying, "You are the kind of girl who appeals to me. I'd like to have intercourse with you. Will you go upstairs to have intercourse with me?" Or to understand the same kind of remarks made to a male patient in a homosexual form.

A spate of lawsuits is revealing that Rosen did not stop with verbal seduction. Former patient Janet Katkow asserts that, between 1970 and 1979, Rosen forced her to engage in the usual perversions with him and with other patients (including a federal judge). A Pennsylvania state board is examining allegations by former patient Sally Zinman that she was stripped nude and beaten by Rosen and tied down for 30 hours, then kept in isolation in the cellar at Rosen's million-dollar home for two months, wearing only what you would expect. At least five female patients and therapists have made sworn statements concerning Rosen's sado-masochistic antics.

In 1972, Rosen was indicted for federal income tax evasion. In 1976 a former patient (male) sued him on grounds of imprisonment and abuse. Since then, litigation has been unending. So far, Rosen has been saved by either the statute of limitations on assault or his alleged victims' "poor mental condition."

Canine Dysgenics

Humans aren't the only species perpetrating a crime wave. Last year, about a million dog bites were reported to U.S. health officials, and animal behaviorist Alan Beck estimates an unreported total five to ten times higher. Faulty training is partly to blame, but the scientific director of the Humane Society, Dr. Michael Fox, points an accusing finger at irresponsible breeding practices. Particularly bad are the so-called "puppy mills" which carelessly stock the retail pet store trade. "What we're getting today are dogs with unstable temperaments," says Fox. Some are hyperaggressive, some are fearful, some are both at once. "And these [traits] can be eliminated through careful breeding." Sounds like good advice -- but why stop with dogs?

Shoot Down the Americans

More than a year ago Menahem Begin said he had been advised by "important Americans" that Israel should not object to the sale of AWACs to Saudi Arabia. Israel, Begin was advised, should simply shoot down the planes if they came too close to Israeli air space. The advice held even if the AWACs should have American crewmen.

Begin called it "strange advice." The *Los Angeles Herald-Examiner* (May 12, 1981), which carried the story, never ran a follow-up, although it certainly would have provided a sensational scoop if the names of these "important Americans" were revealed. It probably wouldn't be too hard to identify them since they must have rather close connections to the Israeli government. They were probably the same "Americans" who shed not one tear over the massacre of 34 Americans and the wounding of 171 when Israeli jets and torpedo boats attempted to sink the *U.S.S. Liberty*. It was interesting that that particular massacre raised almost no stir in the American media, which has recently been so concerned about Shatila. Admittedly, Palestinians have been treated rather shamefully by American press and TV ever since the founding of Israel. But when they are massacred, they are given a hundred times more space than that given to the American victims of an equally foul act by the nation of racist blitzkriegers to which we seem to have been indentured for all eternity.

Survivor Knives AT&T

How does someone who fled "the Nazi terror in 1943" (*The Press*, Oct. 1982) become the master of the fate of America's largest corporation? As reporter Gene Goldenberg tells it, Harold Herman Greene joined the American army six months after his arrival in the U.S., was quickly shipped back to Germany as an intelligence officer, returned to America, and in not too many years was helping to draft major civil rights legislation. When Plains moved to Washington, Jimmy the Tooth gave him a federal judgeship. A few months ago Greene hit the headlines when he demanded important changes in the settlement of the government's 13-year-old antitrust suit against AT&T. Although both sides had signed an out-of-court agreement, Greene stepped in and refused to approve it until AT&T promised to give up its Yellow Pages and allow the federal court to supervise the spinning off of 22 operating companies. Most important, Greene prohibited Ma Bell from entering into the "electronic information service"

business for at least seven years. The effect of this ruling is to prevent Majority-controlled AT&T from competing with the minority-dominated or minority-oriented media.

We wonder if Judge Greene, now called "the media's newest hero," ever thought about that aspect of his ruling.

23,000 to 1

When the brutal killer Frank Coppola was executed in Virginia last summer, one Jerry E. Rosenthal took it upon himself to write to the *Washington Post* asking, "Why can't Dan Rather show the legalized extinction of a single human being?" He wanted to know if President Reagan, Chief Justice Burger or Virginia Governor Charles Robb had actually seen a man being done to death in the electric chair. Perhaps not. But have they seen a brilliant young cellist like Lawrence Foster of Oak Brook, Illinois -- acclaimed as an authentic musical genius -- brutally slain by a black thug named Sanchez while on a concert tour?



Lawrence Foster -- murdered genius

As soon as Dan Rather televises 23,000 American murders a year, we will be all for his broadcasting one execution annually. After all, those have been the approximate rates of occurrence of the two ugly events in recent years.

Minority Ups and Downs

The Federation of Labor in Los Angeles recently held a seminar on immigration and refugee issues, in order "to develop common action by blacks, Jews and Latinos." Co-sponsoring the meeting were the Jewish Labor Committee, the A. Philip Randolph Institute and the Labor Council for Latin-American Advancement. No one seemed to care what Majority members had to say on the subject of immigration, and no one minded that only a few Majority members attended.

At about the same time, in Philadelphia, Jewish leaders eulogized Rev. Martin Luther King Jr. amid pleas for "restoration of the black-Jewish alliance," whose demise was somewhat exaggerated. Four hundred delegates from 117 Jewish organizations and communities applauded NAACP leader Althea Simmons when she promised to give her all to keep minoritydom united.

In New York last summer, the head of the National Urban League, John Jacobs, told the 93rd annual convention of the Central Conference of American Rabbis that it was time to forge a new black-Jewish coalition to break the grip of Reaganism.

In Atlanta minority coalitions were busting out all over. Mayor Andrew Young told 300 people at a local American Jewish Committee meeting that, "There is always a wealth of opinion on both sides because when you have three blacks you'll get four opinions, and when you have three Jews, you'll get six opinions." Cute. But Young neglected to explain why his hyper-individualistic blacks vote as a nearly perfect bloc in the halls of Congress -- or why, as he said in the same speech, "blacks and Jews are compelled to come together."

The most ominous new development on the anti-Majority circuit is the start of a serious dialogue between Hispanic and Jewish leaders. Tony Bonilla, president of the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC), and nine other Hispanic leaders were invited to Israel in June for a VIP tour. Bonilla predictably reported, "We came back with a sense that Jews and Hispanics share a common ground, in suffering and persecution . . ." He added, with a touch of avarice, "We feel that [Jews] can open doors for us in the private and governmental sectors."

But while America's minority leaders keep patching and repatching their differences for public show, the minority rank-and-file have increasingly taken to mutual bashing. During one week in June alone, two of California's largest prisons were turned upside down by nonwhite racial rioting. One man died and a dozen were injured at Folsom when Mexicans and blacks battle-tested their prison-made weapons. At San Quentin a thousand prisoners staged a minority racist free-for-all which injured at least 26. Elsewhere in the Golden State, growing numbers of Asian refugees in subsidized housing projects were harassed by jealous blacks. Some yellow families in San Francisco's Hunter Point project were even attacked with rifle fire and Molotov cocktails.

In Denver, blacks and Mexicans continued their intramural hatefest. And, in Chicago, where more than 60 fires have started in Arab-owned convenience stores in the past two years, most observers blamed the arson on black resentment against immigrants.

One of the newest and most explicit let's-exclude-whitey programs is the Rev. Sun Myung Moon's Minority Alliance In-

ternational. Its first meeting announced funds of more than \$2 million for 120 activists from assorted minority causes. Alas for the Moonies, not even \$2 trillion will buy peace among America's minorities if the great Majority scapegoat is itself reduced to minority status.

Oval Offal

In June 1973, when it was revealed that Richard Nixon had secretly taped many of his conversations in the White House, the prevailing sentiment among the Washington press corps was: who but Nixon would do such a thing?

Liberals everywhere just knew that the dirty tricks had commenced with Dirty Dick. JFK's court historian, Arthur Schlesinger Jr., said it was "inconceivable" that his hero had ever taped his visitors. One day when he wasn't drunk, Speaker of the House Carl Albert called the Nixon recordings an "outrage." AFL-CIO President George Meany said they were "so fantastic as to be almost beyond belief."

Over the past year it has become plain that every American president since Franklin D. Roosevelt has secretly recorded Oval Office conversations. Presidents Kennedy and Johnson taped White House visitors extensively without their knowledge. Even Herbert Hoover employed a stenographer who eavesdropped in shorthand. Historian Frank Friedel published transcripts of Hoover's 1932-33 stenographic notes in 1973, at the height of the Nixon taping uproar. Few noticed, because the few in a position to spread the word chose not to spread it.

Harry S. Truman made only 10 hours of tapes, according to *Strictly Personal and Confidential: The Letters Harry Truman Never Mailed* (edited by Monte M. Poen). The book reveals sides of Truman which he usually kept hidden.

Truman's relations with newspapermen were excellent, but he hated many of their bosses, particularly Hearst, Pulitzer, McCormick, Gannett and Scripps-Howard. He once said that if Joseph Pulitzer was in heaven, "I want to go to hell." In one of his unmailed letters he wrote:

Many a great and talented scribbler has sold his soul to these purveyors of "Character Assassination." The old Moslem assassins of Mesopotamia have a much better chance of a considered "judgment" in the end, than have these paid mental whores of the controllers of our so-called "free press."

Harry the haberdasher was notoriously not a speculative thinker or philosopher. Yet he was much better read than any president since, and his notes and letters, full of historical and biographical references, show it. Monte Poen writes, "His high-school theme book looks like a present-day college honor student's, with world-history

lecture notes neatly organized and detailed, English compositions that speak of duty, honesty, loyalty, and courage."

It is a long way down the presidential pike from Harry Truman to Richard Nixon, if there is any truth in the portrait of the latter drawn by Seymour M. Hersh in the *May Atlantic*. While President Kennedy allegedly liked to chase naked women around the White House, Nixon's favorite vice was getting drunk as a skunk around sundown and muttering harmless things like, "Henry, we've got to nuke them." By Hersh's account Nixon was "quite drunk early in the crisis" when North Korea shot down an unarmed U.S. Navy intelligence plane, killing all 31 aboard. When vital late-night cables arrived, Henry Kissinger would tell aides, "There's no sense in waking him up -- he'd be incoherent." Kissinger loved making fun of Nixon (and just about everyone else). He used to tell his staff how, at his first White House reception, he met Pat Nixon and "naturally began to praise the president lavishly, but Mrs. Nixon leaned over and interrupted him by saying, 'Haven't you seen through him yet?'"

Occasionally, Nixon would fume about "Jewish traitors" in Washington. World-weary Heinz would lift his eyes skyward and mutter in his gutteral, "Vell, Mr. Pres-seent -- dere are Joose and Joose."

All for Zion

No one was quite sure why Alexander Haig was fired from or quit the Reagan administration. The best bet is that it had something to do with Israel -- that Haig, knowing Reagan would have to make low-decibel objections to Israel's latest combination Holocaust-blitzkrieg in the Middle East, wanted to get out before he could be blamed for even being associated with a few reproving words to the Kosher Nostra gangsters of the Promised Land. This way he could position himself to be the 1986 Republican presidential candidate most favored by Zionists -- a sort of GOP edition of Senator Henry Jackson.

To speed this dream, which does not entirely emanate from a pipe, Haig persuaded his State Department aide, Sherman Goldberg, to come along and join him in his new America Last crusade. Now raking in buckets of money on nationwide lecture tours (\$25M for a speech before the American Bar Association), preparing the inevitable ghost-written memoirs and consulting for think tanks and corporations, Haig appeared before the United Jewish Appeal and came right out for further Jewish settlements on the West Bank.

Thus the supertruckling secretary of state who pretended to be all for Camp David while in office demonstrated that in his heart of hearts he was really against Camp David and for further Israeli land grabs.

If, God forbid, Haig should ever become

president, the 50 stars in Old Glory will be reduced for all intents and purposes to one -- and that one will have an extra point.

Pollsters or Manipulators?

George Gallup picked Thomas Dewey to win the presidency in 1948 but that didn't hurt his reputation as a "public opinion" pollster in the least. Neither, writes Stephen Chapman of the *Chicago Tribune*, have the "sloppy, biased questions" he has been asking Americans ever since. Take this gem from Gallup's mid-June poll: "Israel recently began military operations in southern Lebanon to stop Palestinian artillery attacks on settlements in Israel. Do you approve or disapprove of this action by Israel?" Chapman was amazed that only a narrow plurality of 40% to 35% approved in light of the loaded phraseology used:

In Gallup's unique view of the world, Israel did not invade or even attack, it simply "began military operations." Sounds like the army just went out on a 20-mile hike. The operations, said Gallup, were in "southern Lebanon." Well, the invasion did begin there, but unfortunately it didn't end there. By the time the poll was taken, the Israeli army was within a few miles of Beirut, which on my map lies in central Lebanon.

The question also provides its own excuse for Israel's "military operations" -- they were intended to "stop Palestinian artillery attacks on settlements in Israel." Gallup didn't explain to his respondents that the PLO had used artillery on only two occasions since July 1981 -- both times after the Israelis had violated a cease-fire by bombing PLO bases.

Worse yet were the methods of pollster Louis Harris. He asked 1,255 people if they agreed or disagreed that "Israel was right to take defensive action by moving into Lebanon, since PLO bases there were regularly shelling Israel." Fifty-seven percent said Israel was right and 32% disagreed. Chapman was left wondering how two shellings in nine months could be called "regular," and how Harris warranted calling the attack on Beirut "defensive."

The biggest part of the polling fraud, according to Chapman, is the supposition that "people have clear opinions on all sorts of issues, and that those opinions can be precisely measured and tracked over a period of time." In an age when young adults have trouble naming the last five presidents, few respondents will be on guard against the wording of questions designed to evoke answers that reveal not the opinion of the respondent but the opinion of the pollster.

~~~~~



# Cholly Bilderberger



The office of Menahem Begin, prime minister of Greater Israel. The furnishings are spartan, as befits a stern and unyielding leader. On one wall hangs a framed photograph of Golda Meir.

Enter a middle-aged woman and a young man. The woman is one of Begin's secretaries. She is speaking as they enter.

SECRETARY: Oh, no, the prime minister will be happy to see you. He is never too busy to see a relative. Particularly the nephew of one of his cousins, and a Holocaust survivor.

YOUNG MAN (*hastily*): I'm not a survivor. That was my mother.

SECRETARY (*smiling*): I understand. (*A slight bustle from beyond the open door*) Here is the prime minister. (*She stands straight and pats her hair. Begin enters.*) Prime minister, this is the young man you were expecting.

BEGIN (*all smiles*): Cousin! (*They embrace and break apart. Begin looks at his relative approvingly.*) You certainly look Jewish. Even with that white name — what is it again?

YOUNG MAN (*half-apologetically*): Winthrop Saltonstall. My parents landed in Boston in 1951, and it seemed the thing to do. Of course, now . . .

BEGIN (*waving off any explanations*): What's in a name? I've had half a dozen. The important thing is that big nose of yours and that marvelously kinky hair. You're a proper kike and no mistake.

WINTHROP (*a bit stunned*): Kike? But that's an insulting epithet.

BEGIN (*jovially, putting his arm around Winthrop's shoulders*): Not between Jews, it isn't. We have to raise hell when the whites use it, but in the profundity of our natures, we are kikes. Have you ever met a Jew who wasn't?

WINTHROP (*still amazed*): Well . . . (*He notes the photograph of Golda Meir and points to it*) . . . what about her? Don't tell me she was a kike.

BEGIN (*with a whoop of laughter*): The kikiest of kikes! If you'd only seen her in action. (*Suddenly serious*) Listen, you American Jews live in a dream world where you've forgotten your roots — what made Jews great: the fact that we are kikes. You should be proud of being a kike.

WINTHROP: I . . .

BEGIN (*going over to the photograph of Golda Meir*): I keep her on the wall, but I have my real hero on the other side. (*He reverses the photograph, revealing Adolf Hitler.*) Now there was a man who understood us, who knew how kikey we are. And who did something about it. (*Pensively, rather like Hamlet musing over Yorick*) But the reason he's my personal hero is because he *caused more trouble than any other man in history*. It's my theory, reinforced by careful study and conversation with endless Jewish scholars, that it is the destiny of the Jew to create discord. But we have never produced an individual to match Hitler. Is it immodest of me to try?

WINTHROP (*not understanding that the question is rhe-*

*torical*): I don't know . . . you're way over my head.

BEGIN (*coming out of his reverie*): But enough of that. (*He replaces the photograph, Golda facing out.*) You see, Winthrop, the white is a fool, and like all fools deserves to have his nose tweaked. Hard. In fact, you can't do anything with him but tweak his nose. If he were not a fool, would he put up with us? Of course not. But since he is a fool, and does put up with us, we have no choice, really, but to tweak that nose of his harder and harder. That's what we are doing here in Israel. That's what all serious Jews are doing in America. We are, quite frankly, driving whites crazy.

WINTHROP: You keep saying "whites" as though we Jews are not white. I thought we were. Definitely.

BEGIN: Think again.

WINTHROP: But . . .

BEGIN: Take a good look in the mirror. That's what I do.

SECRETARY (*coming back in*): Minister Sharon is here. He . . .

SHARON (*bursting into the room*): A PLO kid has thrown a brick at an Israeli soldier! It missed him, but I've ordered saturation air raids for the next three days. They broke the truce! (*He glares defiantly at Begin, then exits as tumultuously as he entered.*)

BEGIN (*sighing*): Ariel is so stupid — you'd almost think he's a white. He actually believes our propaganda.

WINTHROP: You mean the PLO kid didn't throw the brick?

BEGIN (*impatiently*): I mean it doesn't matter what the PLO does or doesn't do. Long before we went into Lebanon, we set up our invasion timetable. We knew the world would call for "cease-fires" and we knew we would agree to them — in order to give our soldiers time to regroup. Ariel knows how it works — he sat in on all the planning sessions — but he becomes so involved he forgets.

WINTHROP: You mean that Israel did not go into Lebanon to chase out the PLO?

BEGIN: What did they ever do to us? That is, compared to what we've done to them? The real reason we're in Lebanon is to speed up our overall drive to world domination.

WINTHROP: Wow! You mean like *The Protocols of Zion*?

BEGIN: *The Protocols of Zion* were a crude forgery.

WINTHROP: They were? But I was always told . . .

BEGIN (*angrily*): I don't care what you were told. Listen to what I'm telling you now. (*calming down*) Just because they were a forgery doesn't mean Jews aren't on the march. As I told you, it has always been our destiny to cause trouble and drive the whites crazy. We — at least some of us — have always known that, but we had to wait for centuries until everything fell in line. The rise of capitalism over the past three or four hundred years gave us our great opportunity. Under capitalism, the white lost all sense of social cohesion. He cares for nothing but material goods, and has abdicated the control of his society . . . to us. We control America completely, with Canada, Europe and South Africa not far

behind. Now most Jews in those countries — even the ones in the greatest positions of power — can't face the fact that they're in control, any more than the whites can. As with all profound historical controls, ours has to be cloaked in metaphor. In this case, the metaphor is Israel. We are the symbol, the banner — finally, the great noise in the sky, Yahweh himself. When we destroy Lebanon, we are sending a message to every Jew in the world: Destroy! And to every white in the world we are saying: Be destroyed! Those messages are received, I can tell you. Worldwide, Jews are immediately more aggressive, nastier, more destructive in the thousands of little ways which will ultimately bring the West — and the world — to total disaster. And, also worldwide, the whites are immediately more passive, thereby doing their part to bring about the same result.

WINTHROP: But . . . why? Why do we have to destroy the world? Is that a . . . desirable attitude?

BEGIN (*slamming his hand down on his desk*): For us, it is! It is great to make — as the best of the whites did — cities, art . . . civilization. But it is equally great to destroy. Read Nietzsche! Read the Bible! We are not called the Chosen People because we have been selected to create anything. We are "Chosen" to destroy! (*He draws himself to his full height, every inch the prophet even in his diminutive stature.*)

WINTHROP: Wow! You sound like the Grand Inquisitor himself.

BEGIN (*ominously*): When we're done, even the grandest of inquisitors will look compassionate. (*He shrugs, once again an ordinary mortal.*) Anyhow, we have no choice — we can't create stability, either as individuals or as a people. It's destruction or nothing. We must dominate by means of destruction, or be dominated by constructiveness. There is no middle ground.

WINTHROP: Are we that bad?

BEGIN: It's not a question of good or bad. And it really doesn't depend on us. Our position is given to us by the rest of the world. If mankind were intelligent and determined and healthy, it would recognize our destructiveness and the need to cast us out as sick and diseased. That has happened on occasion in human history — the healthier the society, the more adamant it has been about keeping us out. (*He gestures significantly toward the portrait of Golda, reminding Winthrop of his hero on the obverse.*) Today, however, the white is not intelligent, determined or healthy, but stupid, slack and sick. He actually has a suicide wish and we are the instrument of that wish. When I go to New York, and see the Jews enthroned there, I take our precedence as an accurate barometer of white American decadence. At no time in our history did we rise to such control over a society as we have in America. There is only one conclusion: Americans are the most decadent whites in history — with the rest of the white world going the same way.

WINTHROP: It sounds crazy at first, but I'm beginning to see what you mean.

SECRETARY (*opening the door and putting her head in*): President Reagan for you, prime minister. (*She disappears.*)

BEGIN (*winking at Winthrop as he picks up the telephone*): Shalom, Ron, what's up? (*He listens for some time.*) I get it . . . no, that's all right with me . . . Listen, business is business. We're all in this together. (*He hangs up.*)

(*The door opens and Abba Eban comes in on tiptoe,*

*shaking with laughter.*)

EBAN: I heard he called. I can't wait. (*In appearance and manner he is rather like Robert Morley, with overtones of Dom DeLuise.*)

BEGIN: I don't want to say it twice. (*He buzzes his secretary.*) Get Peres in here.

EBAN (*to Winthrop*): I'm Abba Eban.

WINTHROP: Winthrop Saltonstall. I'm a cousin of the prime minister's.

EBAN (*as they shake hands*): Divine name. But that gorgeous nose does rather give you away.

WINTHROP (*deferentially*): I guess you've been pretty busy this summer.

EBAN: My dear boy, it's been pure Marx brothers. Driving the whites right round the bend, as our fearless leader puts it. (*He darts a nervous glance at Begin, who is deep in paperwork, to make sure he is not being overheard.*) You know, we model a good deal of our operational strategy on the Marx brothers. When things get serious and we're about to be caught out, we do something zany. (*He titters knowingly.*) Of course, we had superb cooperation from Washington.

WINTHROP: You did? I thought Washington was sort of unhappy about . . . well, all the bombing and, you know, the defenseless civilians and everything.

EBAN: Delicious boy, think again, as our fearless leader says so often. Washington and Jerusalem orchestrate everything. We all practice our parts beforehand.

WINTHROP: But President Reagan did call (*he gestures toward the oblivious Begin*) to tell him to stop bombing Beirut so the PLO departure could be arranged.

EBAN: What was arranged was that call — months before. Washington told us in January that there would have to be some sort of token objection to the annihilation of Beirut. Nothing of substance, of course.

WINTHROP: Wow!

EBAN (*shaking all over with suppressed amusement*): As Washington puts it, "We are yours to command, but we mustn't be too obvious." We all play our parts — it was up to me to criticize the blasting of Beirut, for instance. And I did it. Just as our fearless leader did his part, and Ron did his. After all, we're professionals.

WINTHROP: You certainly are.

EBAN: You see — may I call you Winnie? — we always play for two to one or better. We put ourselves in positions where we have no business to be in the first place — in Lebanon, or right here in Palestine, if you come down to it — and then we say we'll get out, or stop bombing or whatever, if certain conditions are met. When they're met, we get no more than half-way out. We always end up with more than we started with. Of course, sometimes, after we've gone *too far*, we have to make readjustments. For example, after our fearless leader (*another quick glance at Begin, still immersed in his papers*) — our very own Führer, oh, my, yes — is no longer with us, and he does say he's going to retire in a couple of years — we may have a less fiery leader and policy. But the same two for one or better principle will still be going on. I myself think that the time will come when we can rule through puppet natives — much as the British did in India, and you Jews do in America — but we shall certainly have to develop, if I do say so, a rather more sophisticated local Jew to handle those natives. You have no idea how

crude the Israelis are getting to be. (*He rolls his eyes toward Begin in mock despair.*) Now there's even talk of setting up a "Christian" massacre of Palestinian civilians. But of course, as I said, we are professionals, and even the Führer realizes that if he does go too far he will have to step down and we shall all disown him . . . up to a point. But in our hearts we shall remember what he accomplished. And who knows? After a judicious period of temperate expansion, we may well give them another Begin. Isn't it a lovely game we play?

(*Peres enters.*)

EBAN: Shimon, come meet this divine boy. He . . .

BEGIN (*coming out of his papers*): We can postpone the "social" activities until later, Abba.

EBAN (*chastened*): Anything you say, of course.

BEGIN (*to Peres and Eban*): I've just had a call from Ron. He is under some pressure, and is going to have to placate the Arabs and his own whites temporarily with a peace plan calling for us to get off the West Bank. It will, naturally, all blow over soon. However, I don't propose to make it easy for them. Nor does Ron want me to. When he announces his plan, I'll throw a fit. So will you two.

(*Long silence*)

EBAN (*clearing his throat*): Well, I concur about your

throwing a fit. After all — no offense meant — that's what you do so well. So superlatively well. But Shimon and I are not that good at it. Also, we mustn't seem wholly in agreement. I suggest that Shimon actually endorse the plan, and I take the middle ground between you.

(*Long silence*)

BEGIN: You know, Abba, sometimes I think you're just excess baggage, and then every so often you come along with a really subtle and workable plan. I like it!

PERES (*anxious to please*): It certainly muddies the waters.

EBAN: Oh, it's pure Marx brothers.

BEGIN (*his face breaking into a broad grin*): That Harpo!

EBAN: That Groucho!

PERES: That Chico!

(*They break into immoderate laughter, rolling in their chairs. Winthrop looks on, smiling but rather outside the general hilarity and not sure how to enter into it. Then inspiration comes, and he leaps to his feet.*)

WINTHROP: That Zeppo! That Gummo!

(*The laughter, which abated for a moment as they listened to him, now breaks out louder than ever, with Winthrop's whoops as noisy as any of the others.*)

## No Racial Differences?

| Ethnic Group         | Disorder                               | Mode of Inheritance              |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Africans             | Hemoglobinopathies, namely HbS, HbC,   | Autosomal dominant               |
|                      | and persistent HbF                     | Autosomal recessive              |
| Armenians            | Alpha- and Beta-Thalassemia            | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Familial Mediterranean fever           | Autosomal recessive              |
| Chinese              | Alpha-Thalassemia                      | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | G-6-PD deficiency, Chinese type        | X-linked recessive               |
| Japanese (Koreans)   | Acatasia                               | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Dsychromatosis universalis hereditaria | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Oguchi's disease                       | Autosomal dominant or recessive? |
| Jews (Ashkenazic)    | A-Beta-Lipoproteinemia                 | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Bloom's disease                        | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Dystonia musculorum deformans          | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Factor XI (PTA) deficiency             | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Gaucher's disease                      | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Familial dysautonomia                  | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Niemann-Pick disease                   | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Pentosuria                             | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Spongy degeneration of brain           | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | Stub thumbs                            | Autosomal dominant               |
|                      | Tay-Sachs disease                      | Autosomal recessive              |
| Jews (Sephardic)     | Familial Mediterranean fever           | Autosomal recessive              |
|                      | G-6-PD deficiency, Mediterranean type  | X-linked recessive               |
| Mediterranean people | Familial Mediterranean fever           | Autosomal recessive              |
| Greeks               | G-6-PD deficiency                      | X-linked recessive               |
| Italians             | Thalassemia (mainly Beta)              | Autosomal recessive              |

Source: This material has been modified from V.A. McKusick. The ethnic distribution of disease in the United States. *J. Chron. Dis.* 20:115, 1967.

# Notes from the Sceptred Isle - John Nobull

Some years ago I wrote a piece for *Instauration* called "Conversational Propaganda." These notes are intended as a supplement.

All fruitful discussion demands agreement as to premises. Dead-end arguments are usually over premises, because intelligent people can normally come to some sort of agreement over the facts, each deferring to the other in cases where he appears to be better informed. There is not an extraordinary amount of disagreement over some of the more obvious facts, even among people who disagree wholly as regards their interpretation. Nobody who wishes to be taken seriously now denies, for instance, that the minorities contribute quite disproportionately to the soaring crime rate. All that can be claimed in extenuation is that the minorities also figure disproportionately among the victims of crime (for the very good reason that they live cheek by jowl with the criminals). In the same way, no one now denies that drug-taking and venereal disease are rampant. People who claimed that marijuana was totally harmless a few years back are now changing their tune, and the best answer to *herpes* so far found by homosexuals and promiscuous "swingers" is to learn to live with it. They have no choice! I think of this as I grind my coffee beans to a Turkish fineness: *Gottes Mühlen mahlen langsam, mahlen aber trefflich klein.*

Similarly, few people will now deny the very real danger of the Israeli-Arab conflict developing into a third world war. While such problems were in their initial stages of exponential growth, liberals did their best to minimise them, or even denied their existence altogether. For the liberal is a derivative thinker, who shies away from instinct (the assumption being that any response to instinct must ultimately lead to harming Jews!). The facts have to be filtered by sociologists and reinterpreted by the media before the liberal can trust himself to take notice of them. A case in point is the decisive influence wielded by the Jews over the press and television, which enables them to dominate culture, entertainment, politics and legislation. Lobotomized liberals (all of them goys) have not yet reached the stage where they can admit the extent of this Jewish influence. Jews are less squeamish, being inclined to boast about their power.

In the days when better-off neighbourhoods were relatively unaffected by riots and crimes, liberals, almost all of them middle class, comfortably explained away the dangerous symptoms of social sickness by claiming that they were a necessary aspect of "change" and should not be exaggerated or even mentioned "out of context." So it was that in the early stages, when the British immigration problem could easily have been solved by Mosley's methods (humane repatriation, together with economic development in the immigrants' homelands), the media in general, and liberals in conversation, deliberately minimised the extent of the problem. Sen-

ior civil servants regularly issued statistics which grossly underrated the numbers of the coloured population, and we owe a great deal to Enoch Powell for publicly demonstrating the falsity of those statistics. In these later days, when even liberals are being mugged, raped and murdered, the low-key approach is no longer deemed appropriate. So liberals join in deploring the problem, while at the same time proclaiming that, like it or not, "we are a multiracial society now" and must learn to accept the inevitable (*viz.* miscegenation on a grand scale). The strong implication is that the number of immigrants is now too big to be dealt with in any other way. In other words, they are appealing to the lethargy and inertia of the Majority. But the answer is that the biggest problems require the most drastic solutions.

The chief difference between liberals and ourselves may be summed up in the statement that we are people who want to solve problems under the guidance of instinct, whereas liberals prefer to live with problems and intellectualise their responses. Thanks to Richard Swartzbaugh (*The Mediator*, Howard Allen, 1973), we now understand much better what motivates the strange desire to live with problems rather than solve them. The existence of conflicting groups in the same living space increases the demand for the mediator's role. In other words, if there are not enough problems, the mediator has a strong motive for creating some.

Now that we have the enemy in our sights, it might be assumed that we should win against him pretty easily in argument. But that is not always the case, for the liberal has developed techniques of obfuscation which make it more difficult to nail him down. One favourite trick is to confuse cause and effect. The philosopher David Hume did indeed show that there is no *necessary* connexion between two sequential phenomena, but he did not deny that when a given phenomenon follows another given phenomenon in every known case we have to accept their causal relationship for all practical purposes. Science would be impossible without such assumption. The only hard and fast rule is that "cause" should precede "effect." But this rule is not observed by liberals and the unassimilables. Hence the so-called logic of the preemptive strike, which assumes intentions on the part of the enemy justify the attack in advance. The liberals claim, for example, that Negro crime is a response to white racism, whereas it is quite evident that most white racism today is a response to Negro crime. The ancestors of present-day Northerners in the United States tended to become very sentimental about Negroes in the South and about the token Negroes they occasionally met. Closer acquaintance seemed to modify this rosy view, and such is the usual experience of mankind where interracial contacts are concerned. At first, the other race inspires interest and sympathy, for it is the traits in common which most strike the new observer, but in the course of time



irreconcilable differences of temperament become evident. Hence Spengler's dictum that hostility between contiguous groups becomes greater with time. Proximity always precedes conflict, rather in the manner of a chemical reaction. That is why the use of the word "prejudice" is wholly unjustified in this connexion. Prejudice implies assumptions about other people which are not based on experience. In fact, the longer incompatible groups have experience of one another, the greater their hostility. *Postjudice* would be a much more appropriate word to describe this phenomenon.

Much more serious from the philosophical point of view is the liberal tendency to find a multiplicity of causes where one will do. This is obfuscation at its worst, and conflicts directly with Occam's dictum that entities are not to be multiplied needlessly. In other words, we may not be able to prove a necessary connexion between cause and effect, but it is unaesthetic, unsymmetrical and unscientific to claim a multiplicity of causes where one will do. A compulsive need to obfuscate explains the *odium theologicum* of liberals towards "terrible simplification," that is, reducing problems to their essentials. Hence also the compulsive liberal need to concentrate on analysis (guided of course by unexamined assumptions), rather than on synthesis checked by subsequent analysis. The synthesists are seen as "terrible simplifiers" because experience has shown that even the most liberal among them (Toynbee, for example), tend to reach conclusions which conflict with liberal assumptions. This is what a liberal meant when he told me that the exercise of logic, without regard to "what all decent people accept," was a certain sign of fascist mentality. The Marxist hatred of "bourgeois objectivity" is in line with this. Indeed, the differences between our opponents are largely a matter of tactics.

As a prime example of liberal confusion, let us take the explanation of Negro rioting as due to "complex causes." One of these is "relative poverty" (i.e., envy, because Negroes in English-speaking countries are far better off than in black Africa or the West Indies); another is unemployment (although the unemployed are taken care of by the welfare state, and there were no riots among the much larger numbers of almost destitute British unemployed in the 1930s); another is "white racism" (see above). In fact, any cause will do other than the obvious one of encouraging incompatible aliens to come here in the first place. As *Instauration* has often remarked, there are no race riots in Iceland, which may have something to do with the fact that the country has no coloured immigrants.

A further example of the liberal tendency to confuse cause and effect is the well-known phenomenon of civilisations breaking down. Such breakdowns have been ascribed to any number of complex causes: defeat in war, reaching technological limits, overpopulation, overtaxation, inflation, impoverishment of the soil, decline in agricultural productivity as slaves replaced free farmers, growth of emotional religious sects at the expense of sober traditionalism. Yet Elmer Pendell, in *Why Civilizations Self-Destruct*, has done us a considerable service by demonstrating that the only necessary precondition for the breakdown of civilisation is too many low IQs outbreeding too few high IQs. All the other phenomena are merely effects.

Beware also of the liberal trick of claiming all exceptions are refutations of your case. Exceptions do not invalidate

rules unless there are too many of them. But apart from the diversionary techniques of obfuscation there is also the lie direct. Thus, liberals refuse to see any connexion between the numbers of the unemployed and the extraordinarily similar numbers of unassimilable aliens. (The connexion would of course be much more obvious if public and private entities were not forced to employ a proportion of such aliens. Naturally, this leads to lower productivity, and so the downward spiral continues.) Liberals also claim that the immigrants are a necessary addition to the workforce, whereas the evidence of our own eyes shows us that the immigrants have merely replaced whites who have either failed to breed or taken to the suburbs. The real test of liberal sincerity in this matter is to ask whether your interlocutor would be ready to advocate that whites should have exactly the same rights in the Third World countries from which the immigrants come. Reciprocity is a great weapon, and can soon reduce the cocksure liberal to a miserable display of illogic.

However, I must warn my readers that they can only take up an impregnable position in argument if they grasp the nettle of racial incompatibility. But if they do, they know that liberals will brand them as racists and perhaps even destroy their careers. This explains the paradoxical situation of those who tell the truth up to a point being forced to lie, at least by implication, about their most basic and instinctual beliefs. At the very least, those who do not go the whole way leave an impression of partial insincerity, while the liberal tends to be left in possession of the field, although one knows he is lying with every breath he draws. The reason is that he and his kind have made the rules to suit themselves. On the other hand, I have found that a public proclamation of one's belief in racial selection makes the audience uncomfortable. So what to do? The answer is to employ the technique of ridicule. This really gets under a liberal's skin, and will often lead him to overstate his case in a way which forces the audience to reconsider its vague assumptions. In other words, ridicule promotes a *reductio ad absurdum* of your opponent's case. Either he can be provoked into absurdity, or else you can state his absurd beliefs for him, leaving him in the unenviable position of being forced to accept or reject an unfriendly description of what he really believes. A good way to force him into an absurd position is the Socratic method, whereby you appear merely to be seeking information. Here is a sample conversation, reduced to its essentials:

SELF (*after mugging has been discussed gingerly for about twenty minutes*): Of course, this is a terribly big problem. How do you think we can make it more tolerable?

LIBERAL (*giving a lead*): Well, of course the problem is basically one of white racism rather than black, however painful it may be for us to admit it. The situation will ease as we learn to accept every person as an individual, without undue reference to his race.

SELF: But surely (*one "surely," please. We mustn't gild the lily and awaken suspicion at this stage.*) a sense of ethnic solidarity is tremendously important to the human dignity of these people.

LIBERAL: Yes, I think they have a right to stress what they wish to retain of their own cultures, provided this is not made an excuse for some sort of modified *apartheid*. In fact, I

think all children should be taught just how much their culture has been enriched by these new citizens, who are irrevocably part of our society.

SELF (if you don't feel you can go on wearing the mask): Different immigrant cultures promote the enslavement of women, child marriage, the slow bleeding to death of animals, mutilating the genitalia, filing the teeth -- even bestiality and cannibalism. Should we encourage study of these customs in our schools, and if not, why not? (Or more safely, you can proceed as follows) But are we doing enough to promote integration?

LIBERAL: By no means enough. It is our only hope.


SELF: I was so appalled by the reaction to last year's riots that I made contact with a black youth group on Brixton Hill. They really are the most interesting young people. Some of them are Rastafarians, and you would hardly believe the amount of prejudice there is against their religious activities. After all, why shouldn't they smoke ganja if it's part of their beliefs?

LIBERAL (a little less enthusiastic): Of course, I feel as you do, but one must be very careful to present one's friends in such a way that sympathy is aroused.

SELF (emerging like the Dragon King in the pantomime): I can see that we are completely in agreement. I've been wanting to give a nice party for my young friends, but unfortunately I haven't a flat in London. Would you mind if I brought them over to your place, any time next week? They're all unemployed, so it won't make much difference to them which night you find most convenient.

This will really put him on the spot. A hundred to one he will think up some lame excuse. At least, all three of my victims so far have done so. They dare not challenge one's *bona fides* because one might really send some Rastas along. (I would.) Then one can appeal to the other people present, with the same result. It hardly matters whether they suspect one's motives. The important thing is to checkmate our enemies and force our friends to make sense.

## Talking Numbers



Fraud, corruption and waste cost taxpayers anywhere from \$2.5 billion to \$25 billion a year, says the Justice Department. The biggest rip-offs occur in Medicaid, welfare, crop loss loans, food stamps and school lunches.

#

Watergate added \$159,816,683 to the national economy, claims *Playboy*. Nixon was paid \$2 million for his memoirs. The government spent \$51,474,165 in its various investigations. Legal expenses for the defendants amounted to \$4,305,220. Senator Sam Ervin, who headed the Senate investigating committee, earned \$1 million from Watergate-related speaking engagements. Gordon Liddy made \$840,000; John Dean \$750,000. Books, articles, movies and TV shows generated from the Carl Bernstein and Bob Woodward exposé amounted to \$70,619,000, if *Playboy* is to be believed.

#

The St. Louis police department reported that 1,792 blacks and 341 whites were arrested for murder, rape or robbery in 1981. The population of St. Louis (453,085) is 46% black, 54% white.

#

Japan now makes 23% of the cars sold in America, 90% of the motorcycles, 50% of the recording equipment, 10% of the radios, 30% of the cameras, 25% of the TV sets.

#

Of the 1,739 daily English language newspapers in the U.S., 252 subscribe to both the AP and UPI press services. 1,087 take AP only; 381 UPI only. UPI has recently been sold to the Media News Corp.

A racial study of TV children's programs financed by the Ford Foundation indicated that out of 1,145 characters surveyed only 42 were black and 47 were members of other minorities. The only Indian noted was Tonto.

#

The World Council of Churches claims a membership of 400 million Christians, though the Roman Catholic Church has refused to join. Of the 301 member churches, 28 are American and one is the Soviet-controlled Russian Orthodox Church. The general secretary is a West Indian Negro, Philip Potter, who presides over a staff of nearly 300 paper shufflers from 40 countries. Potter, a Methodist, is an outspoken admirer of Malcolm X and Stokely Carmichael. Since 1970 the organization's Program to Combat Racism has donated some \$2.5 million to black guerrillas to hunt down whites in Africa. The United Presbyterian Church gives more per capita to the WCC than any other denomination.

#

413 cases of the "Gay Plague," 155 of them fatal, have been counted since 1981. 85% of the victims were under 45. Most were free-wheeling homosexuals who needled themselves with drugs. Two cases a day are now being reported nationwide.

#

The Quebec birthrate, once one of the highest in the New World, is down to 1.7 per mother. Immigration, however, keeps the population increasing. As the Anglos leave, French speakers (of a sort) from Haiti (20,000 to 40,000) and some 25,000 North African Jews, Vietnamese and Cambodians are taking their place.

In the Japanese invasion of Hankow in 1937, the National Relief Commission "served in and out of the refugee camps some 25 million meals . . . 25 million meals -- not people. By some garble in my own story, the figure was transmuted to the statistic that 25 million people had fled the Japanese invaders in the first years of Chinese resistance. The figure was cabled abroad . . . appeared in magazine articles, constantly appears in learned accounts of the China war. The figure has now become a part of history . . . It may have been 2 million or 5 million, but the 25 million figure remains locked in most history books." Theodore White, *In Search of History*, p. 79.

#

The first three weeks of its rape of Lebanon cost Israel \$2 billion, which means that the U.S. taxpayer will soon be out an additional \$2 billion. It is quite possible that before it ends the war will cost Israel \$10 billion, which means U.S. taxpayer will have to cough up \$10 billion.

#

Coca-Cola has agreed to spend \$34 million a year on various black projects; Heublein \$360 million in the next 5 years; Seven-Up \$61 million in the next five years. Edward Frantel, president of Seven-Up, took out full-page newspaper ads to boast of his deal with Rev. Jesse Jackson. He failed to mention that such contracts violate anti-discrimination laws as well as laws against extortion.

#

White Americans (80% of the population in 1980) will decline to 77% in 1990 and 75% in 2000. In the next two decades Asians will proliferate to 10.6 million; Hispanics to 23 million; blacks to 33.5 million. Source: *The Center for the Continuing Study of the California Economy*.



**JACOB GURYN**, a resident of Miami Beach, is a fifth generation rabbi from Yemen, one of the very few rabbis authorized by Jewish law to repair the hand lettering on Torahs. Jacob Guryn is also a crook, who fences stolen crucifixes, medallions of saints, silverware, watches and TV sets. After Guryn's arrest, Rabbi Pinchas Weberman, another Miami Beachan, said, "We are very disappointed."

☆ ☆ ☆

On Boston Marathon Day in 1980, **ROSIE RUIZ** emerged from a long subway ride to cross the finish line ahead of her honest female competitors. On Boston Marathon Day in 1982, Ruiz was arrested for stealing \$15,000 in cash and more than \$45,000 in checks from the New York real estate firm where she misbalanced the books.

☆ ☆ ☆

Dallas lawyer **WINDLE TURLEY** has filed eleven lawsuits against handgun manufacturers. Since they made the guns which people used to murder and maim, he reasons, they should be liable for damages. New York lawyer **STUART SPEISER** believes that Turley's tactics will soon prevail: after all, judges and juries (unlike legislators) are immune from the people's will. The first liability suits against gun makers were filed in 1980; by 1984, more than 200 may be clogging the courts. And even if the gun-grabbers lose every case, rising insurance costs could force an end to all handgun manufacture.

☆ ☆ ☆

The stats are in on the **REV SUN MYUNG MOON's** latest mass wedding ceremony, which united 2,075 couples at Madison Square Garden. Some 37% of the pairings were racially mixed and 35% were internationally mixed. We suspect an undercount on the former figure. Since the Moonies' goal is to "unite all mankind," many of the "all-white" marriages were probably off-white (Strong-Sharon, Nash-Nasser, Porter-Porcofacio). The most notorious son of one of the most racist nations on earth (Korea) boasted he had personally selected every one of the race-busting matches.

☆ ☆ ☆

Whites attain an IQ of 115 at approximately 7 times the black rate. They reach IQ 130 at nearly 25 times the black rate. By extrapolation they must attain IQ 200 at something over 5,000 times the black rate. Indeed, it is highly doubtful whether any Negro was ever so bright. So how come NBC chose 14-year-old **GARY COLEMAN** to star in "The Kid With the 200 IQ"?

**MAJ. GEN. TITUS HALL**, 54, who hastily retired from the Air Force on May 6, was one of the highest-ranking black generals in the U.S. armed forces. In August, the (Denver) *Rocky Mountain News* obtained, through a Freedom of Information Act request, a portion of the investigative report which triggered that retirement. Most of the 188 pages given to the News were partially or totally blank. All the specifics of Hall's alleged misconduct had been deleted for reasons of privacy.

Deborah Davis's biography of *Washington Post* chairman **KATHARINE GRAHAM** was on its way to excellent sales in November 1979. Then the *Post's* executive editor, **BENJAMIN C. BRADLEE**, threatened publisher Harcourt Brace Jovanovich with bad reviews and publicity forever after unless it scrapped *Katharine the Great*. At once, many copies were recalled from bookstores and warehouses, and many more were "pulped." Promotion ceased, the book died, and with it died Davis's future. Today, Bradlee laughingly says, "I was just letting off steam." But Davis is suing Jovanovich for breach of contract.

## Ignoble Prize



In 1978 Menahem Begin was given the Nobel Peace Prize. In 1979 the award went to Mother Theresa. In 1982 Mother Theresa was in Beirut caring for the sick and wounded Palestinian and Lebanese children that Begin's bully boys were trying to kill. This was only a few months after a group of nuns had nominated Israel for a Nobel Peace Prize. Previous Nobel Peace laureates have included Henry Kissinger, who turned South Vietnam over to Uncle Ho's killers; Willy Brandt, who in his Communist days may have murdered a political opponent in cold blood; Martin Luther King Jr., the black Don Juan of the motel circuit; Linus Pauling, the vitamin C health food nut and Soviet apologist; Ralph Bunche, the Negro diplomat who helped lay the foundations for the permanent reign of Israeli mayhem in the Middle East.

If there had been a Nobel Peace Prize for 1381, it probably would have gone to Tamerlane for piling up a huge pyramid of enemy skulls in his capture of Herat.

## Primate Watch



When the Northwest Airlines 747 arrived from the Orient at Seattle-Tacoma International Airport, eight of the 14 children disgorged were adoptees. **GENE MOEN**, an affluent attorney, and his wife **PEGGY**, greeted little Peter Hyuk Suk Moen, the wild seed of some black American serviceman and his Korean tramp, to add to the three other nonwhites they have adopted. (Quite likely, their dog is a purebred.) **SCOTT NEILSON**, a lawyer with the state attorney general's office, greeted his two little Korean castoffs. His father, **ROBERT NEILSON IV**, proudly noted that one would be called Robert Neilson V. The *Seattle Post-Intelligencer* called the fatal flight "a planeful of joy."

"It's a sin to make Christ a white man. I've got to make the public recognize this . . ." No, the Archbishop of Canterbury wasn't launching into another of his anti-race diatribes. This was former boxing champion **MUHAMMAD ALI** announcing his latest life's goal: ridding the world's churches of what he considers racially slanted pictures and statues. "Exodus 20:4 says, 'Thou shalt not make unto me any graven images.' Jesus Christ has always been portrayed as a white man. Black people have prayed to all white images." Ali wants an oil company to furnish him and a bunch of Islamic scholars with a 747 jet: "We'll hit London, Brazil" -- and teach the (Christian) infidels the error of their ways.

☆ ☆ ☆

Diamond merchant **MAURICE TEMPELSMAN** is now a regular weekend houseguest at **JACKIE ONASSIS's** estate on Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts. The pair strolls arm-in-arm in Nantucket and does other fun things together. Yet Tempelman's wife, Rena, denies they are separated.

## Elsewhere



**Canada.** In some ways, Canada, our buddy to the north, is in a more advanced state of decay than the U.S. The Trudeau regime, with the support of Jewish hectomillionaires and Majority rank splitters, is about what a Ted Kennedy administration would be if the best-laid schemes of America's liberal-minority coalition don't gang agley in the portentous Orwellian year of 1984. A flood of nonwhite immigration, inflation, usury, high unemployment, a torrent of foreign aid to such bastions of high civilization as Cuba and Tanzania, race laws to stop Canadians from criticizing minority racism, but not vice versa -- all the signs and signals of organic decomposition are there. It ought to make the Spenglerians happy.

But bad actions sometimes produce good reactions. There is a lot of separatism going on in Canada -- and separatism is the first step toward isolating the host from the ravenous parasites. There are also a few activist organizations fighting the good fight for the Canadian Majority's survival. One of the fightingest is C-FAR (Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform), which has a monthly newsletter (subscription \$12) and emits a steady stream of books and pamphlets exposing the antiwhite politicking of the Canadian government, while offering cogent suggestions for preventing the country from going altogether down the drain.

One C-FAR pamphlet, "The Canadian Lifeboat," probes deeply into the immigration mess to document the significant correlation between high nonwhite immigration rates and low Canadian birthrates. Recalled from the dust of libraries are the writings of wise old Canadians and non-Canadians who predicted the dire results of nonselective immigration, but were and are reviled for their warnings even though they have now come true. It's the Cassandra story repeated a hundred times.

The second pamphlet, "Foreign Aid and Western Security," dwells on the pernicious habit of the Canadian government of feeding the hand that bites it. With the blind support of the churches, the tax money of hardworking Canadians has been transferred into the itchy palms of assorted nonwhite or barely white dictators and corrupt bureaucrats who have made certain that only a very small proportion of the loot trickles Reaganistically down into the pockets of their starving populations. As author James P. Hull points out, despite all the hundreds of billions of dollars that Canada and the other Western nations have given the black and yellow world since 1945, there are many more poor and disadvantaged people on the planet today than then.

C-FAR pamphlets are available at \$1 each, with sizable quantity discounts. A third pamphlet on sociobiology is now being printed. Write Citizens for Foreign Aid Reform, Box 332, Rexdale, Ontario M9W 5L3 Canada.

**Britain.** Manny Carpel, the Jewish torch artist who burned down the printing plant of the nationalist-oriented Historical Review Press in Sussex, has been released on parole. A professional burglar, arsonist and housebreaker, Carpel worked for the Jewish sheet *Searchlight*, which specializes in stirring up racial hatred against Britons and Arabs. David Irving's magazine *Focus* has offered £100 for a photo of Carpel.

\* \* \*

The world seems to have forgotten that Britain has custody of one of the gunmen who shot Israeli Ambassador Shlomo Argov in the head on June 4 as he was about to enter his luxurious limousine. This was the shot that Begin used as an excuse to launch his long-planned, scorched-earth

assault on Lebanon. Begin blamed the PLO, of course, but a search of the assailant's lodgings revealed that he had also included the chief of the London bureau of the PLO on his hit list. While the other members of the hit team remained at large, the apprehended gunman had been identified by the British government as Hassan Ahmed Said, a Jordanian student. The announcement was made before it was discovered he was carrying a fake passport.

Since then, Number 10 Downing Street has remained strangely silent. Wouldn't it be surprising if the assailant turned out to be an Israeli agent or an Arab in the pay of Israel or even in the pay of the KGB? It would probably be more surprising if such surprising news was ever made public.

**France.** The French Catholic weekly *Témoignage Chrétien* is what the press describes as "prestigious," which means that it has connections in high places and is considered reassuringly "liberal" and safely "progressive." But all this respectability didn't amount to a hill of beans when the journal ran an editorial linking the bombing of Beirut with "operations carried out by the Israeli authorities and Israeli settlers who believe they are allowed to kill their Arab neighbors because they are the Chosen People." For this simple and easily verifiable statement of fact, editor Georges Montaron was fined \$300 for inciting racial hatred against French Jews.

Shortly afterwards *L'Opinion*, the government newspaper of the former French colony of Morocco, appeared with an editorial stating that the Holocaust was a hoax and that Begin was more vicious than Hitler. Since the French law protecting Jews (but not Frenchmen) from criticism does not extend to African countries, international Jewry was perplexed as to how to punish Morocco for this exhibition of journalistic *lèse majesté*.

Perhaps Reagan will turn over the U.S. Air Force base in Morocco to the Israelis so they can fly in some F-15s and plaster



Casablanca and Fez hospitals with American-made incendiary bombs.

**Netherlands.** For some reason, petty anti-Semitism seems most likely to break out at football and other sporting events in Europe. In Brighton, England, where the local soccer team is called the Seagulls, young fans have favored the chant of "Seagulls! Sieg Heil! Sieg Heil!" for several years now. No one tries too hard to stop them, even when hundreds of hands go up in synchronized Roman salutes.

Israeli basketball teams are growing weary of tours in Italy and Greece, when a certain recurrent word -- "oven" to be precise -- in the fans' taunts would make only a Betty Crocker feel at home. Even Holland, the land which made "niceness" a way of life, is not immune from this kind of vicious silliness. At a recent football game between Utrecht and Amsterdam's Ajax club, 260 riot police were called out to confiscate knives, bludgeons and anti-Semitic banners brought along by the partisans.

It seems the Ajax fans, who inhabit a city with a newfound reputation for sophisticated degeneracy, had previously taunted the Utrecht loyalists, calling them "peasants." Their country cousins retaliated by calling the Ajax players "Jews" and showing the Swastika. Amsterdam's Jewish major, Willem Polak, did not like that, so this year he called out the cops in advance. Even so, one banner flaunting the hooked cross and some unkind words for Jews got past the thin blue line and wound up featured in a local newspaper. This caused the usual furor, with the public prosecutor ordering the police to find and punish the teenaged culprits. Anyone acquainted with teenage psychology knows that it was like throwing fat on the fire.

**Middle East.** The two-year-old war between Iran and Iraq has delighted many Israeli observers. "It is a pleasure to see them killing each other," the Israeli chief of staff, Lt. Gen. Rafael Eitan, said last spring. "Let them continue to do so." Israel has joined the Soviet Union as one of Iran's major supporters during the war, providing the Khomeini regime with perhaps \$100 million in ammunition and parts. Iran has never fought the Israelis, while Iraq has participated in three of the four major Arab wars against them. The reasoning in Jerusalem may be that Iran is too distant to pose much danger.

Khomeini's struggle with Iraq is religious no less than military. Edward Mortimer's new book, *Faith and Power: The Politics of Islam*, throws light on the matter:

Sunni Islam [the dominant, orthodox kind] is the doctrine of power and achievement. Shia Islam [Khomeini's brand] is the doctrine of opposition. The

starting point of Shi'ism is defeat; the defeat of Ali and his house by the Omayyads [the original governors of Mecca] . . . Central to Shi'ism's appeal, especially for the poor and dispossessed, is the theme of suffering and martyrdom -- a theme reminiscent at times of Christianity.

Shia Islam has usually been the preferred faith of Levantine failures, outcasts and ethnic minorities. The non-Semitic Iranians, outnumbered in the world of Islam by the Arabs, took to Shi'ism naturally. The one Arab country where Shiites are in a (bare) majority happens to be Iraq. There Sunni leader Saddam Hussein's brutal crackdowns on Shiite demonstrators -- culminating in the 1980 torture and execution of Sayed Baqir Sadr, a Shiite ayatollah who supported Khomeini's theocracy -- have made the Shiite majority a fertile ground for religious revolt.

Another center of latent Shiite strength is the fractured state of Lebanon, where perhaps one-third of the three million inhabitants follow Shia. Ironically, Yasser Arafat's Fatah guerrilla organization was seen by most Arab regimes as the pragmatic, relatively unfanatic *Sunni* center of the PLO. Now that he is out of Lebanon, local Shi'ism may be strengthened. As one Sunni Arab politician observes, "Khomeini has shown that the Shiite religious movement is the only successful mobilizer of the masses in the region today." The Sunni elites, who served as "the natural interlocutors for the West and the avenues for Western intrusion into the region," are seen as compromised, if not schizoid, by growing numbers of reactionary young people.

**Israel.** The attitude of some Israelis toward the Palestinians was crudely summed up by an insecticide manufacturer who distributed thousands of postcards to soldiers at the Lebanese front with the slogan, "Israel's army exterminates the terrorist; Yaron exterminates household pests."

A lot more than terrorists were exterminated during Israel's summer offensive. According to a detailed survey of police and hospital records, conducted by the independent Beirut newspaper *An Nahar*, 17,825 persons were killed and 30,203 wounded (excluding Israeli casualties). This total was *before* the Beirut Massacre and other September bloodlettings. *An Nahar*, one of the most respected newspapers in the Arab world, said the highest death toll occurred in Southern Lebanon, where 1,709 civilians died alongside 5,862 troops from the PLO, the Syrian Army and various private militias. The Israelis, who still insist on the Six Million myth, continued to give far lower casualty figures for their foes.

**Kenya.** Last summer's suppressed coup was accompanied by widespread looting and ransacking of Asian homes and shops. Kenya's 80,000 Asians, less than 0.5% of the population, control about 90% of the wholesale and retail trade. They are responsible for roughly one-fourth of the annual gross domestic product. Without them the economy would collapse. But the psychological damage caused by the coup may never be repaired. Asian women and girls were raped. Asian men shipped their families out of the country at the first opportunity. The government remained silent through it all.

One member of the Kenyan parliament, by no means atypical, says, "Politically they are anathema, and [Uganda's Idi] Amin was right to get rid of them, but economically we can't afford to do it. The Asians do all the things that keep life going here. Even if Africans take over, it will be a generation before we reach the same level in the economy." A generation?

**South Africa.** On July 30, Prime Minister Pieter W. Botha outlined plans to modify the apartheid system through "power-sharing" with the country's 2.5 million persons of mixed race ("coloreds") and 800,000 Asians. The black majority, 21 million strong, would be unaffected.

The proposed changes amount to the "left-wing coup" which South African racialists have warned was coming for some time. The prime minister's office would be replaced with a presidency holding wide powers and *not responsible to Parliament*. This president would be elected by an electoral college consisting of 50 whites, 25 coloreds and 13 Asians. Botha and his fellow appeasers explain that this ratio would "guarantee" white control of the government.

At the present time, the white population of South Africa is split almost evenly between those who wish to go on conceding ground and those prepared to finally draw the line, come what may. Conversely, the other racial groups are united against apartheid nearly 100%. Even the Indian minority, fearful as it is of a black takeover, hates its present second-rate status still more.

What the 50-25-13 ratio would mean in practice is that, if the 50 white electors voted 44 to 6 for a pro-white position, there would probably be a 44-44 deadlock in the college (6 white renegades plus 38 minorities). A mere handful of white liberals, mostly Jews and wealthy Anglophones concentrated in two or three large cities, would become the nation's swing vote, its decisive balance of power. The Afrikaner majority of whites would stand little chance of overriding them. Quite simply, state control would shift from the coalition of Afrikaners and conservative Britons which has held power since 1948 to a coalition of coloreds, Indians, Jews and urban white proditors. Worse yet, that power would be much more concentrated because the president would no longer be

responsible to the all-white parliament (nor to the projected all-colored and all-Indian parliaments).

On July 31, Botha took this nefarious plan to a special "federal congress" of his ruling National Party and won its overwhelming support. Meanwhile, those who bolted from the Nats in protest last spring (the new Conservative party) and those who bolted several years ago (the Herstigte Nasionale party, or HNP), quietly seethed. Their day of glory came on August 18, in one of the truly pivotal elections of South African history, which was held in the Germiston district, near Johannesburg, to fill a vacancy on the Transvaal provincial council.

Germiston was considered a poor area for the Conservative party to have its first test of strength. Sure enough, the National party won, with 3,867 votes, but the Conservative candidate received 3,559 and the HNP man got 1,638. Together, the two prowhite parties got 1,330 more votes than the ruling sellout party -- and not in an outlying farm district, but in the industrial heartland of Witwatersrand.

It is no wonder that the right wing increasingly fears a "constitutional coup" by Botha and company. The so-called "power-sharers" know they must act, and act

decisively, before the next national elections, or face being shoved under by a prowhite avalanche.

**India.** After 35 years of independence, India is far from building the "noble mansion" which its first prime minister, Jawaharlal Nehru, called for. His daughter, dictatress Indira Gandhi, has remade the nascent democracy into an effective one-party state. The fairly credible daily, the *Statesman*, recently quoted a price of \$160,000 each paid to eight elected state assemblymen for switching to her side. Some thought the figure high, but few doubted the fact of the payoff.

Mahatma Gandhi's grandson, Rajmohan Gandhi, maintains that the nationalist leaders who once dominated Indian public life have nearly disappeared: "Our caste, language or ethnic group commands and exhausts our emotions. The symbols of India as a whole are vanishing." Many foreign observers find the total lack of social responsibility among Indians to be their most striking characteristic. Stuart Auerbach, who just completed three years as a correspondent in Delhi, writes:

Indians shamelessly elbow each other aside in waiting lines, throw garbage wherever they are standing, block public

thoroughfares for weddings and private festivals, and befoul walls and streets with urine even though public facilities are nearby.

Raj Thapar, editor of *Seminar*, a magazine specializing in Indian social commentary, describes it as a "unique, unparalleled capacity to disregard everything outside the self -- as if the earth was created especially to receive Indian dirt."

According to a Western-educated Indian psychoanalyst, the one standard of responsible adult behavior in India is "lifelong obligation to kith and kin. Dishonesty, nepotism and corruption as they are understood in the West are merely abstract concepts . . . irrelevant to Indian psychosocial experience." India is Sicily carried to the nth degree. Everyone beats down on everyone beneath him, and fawns and trembles before his supposed betters. The wealthiest 1% of the people are worth twice as much as the poorest 50% -- and the world's third largest pool of scientists and engineers is unable to change things. Everyone agrees that this is partly because no larger ideals exist to which a common appeal might be made -- for the simple reason that *everyone is so different*. With its hundreds of tribes, languages and castes, India is the America which may yet be.

## Stirrings

### Rescuers of History

The Institute for Historical Review (IHR) held its Fourth International Revisionist Conference in Chicago's Bismarck Hotel over the Labor Day weekend, even as Zionist thugs were shooting up IHR headquarters in Torrance, California. The shooting incident occurred in the early morning of Sunday, September 5, and was followed by the usual threatening telephone calls from boasting terrorists. At least a dozen shots were fired, demolishing two windows and damaging a door. Fortunately, no one was present at the time.

The star attraction of the conference was Tyler Kent, the American State Department official who was kidnapped in May 1940 by British police and held for five years and seven months without a chance to defend himself. Kent's "crime," actually a supreme act of heroism, was his attempt to alert the U.S. Senate that Roosevelt and Churchill (then a British private citizen) were conspiring via secret messages to drive Prime Minister Neville Chamberlain from office and get first Britain and later America into a war with Germany. As Kent remarked, "At the same time that Roosevelt was saying 'I hate war,' he was preparing to make sure war came."

One of the men to whom Kent passed his information was Capt. Archibald Ramsay, whose membership in Parliament could not save him from being jailed without trial for four years and four months. According to Kent, it was the Anti-Defamation League

-- he calls it the "Defamation League" -- which "spread the lie" about Ramsay, himself and others like them. "The world has paid a high price" for the Zionists' misrepresentation of their motives, Kent added, in the understatement of the century.

The establishment is finally getting around to remembering Tyler Kent. He was recently interviewed by the British Broadcasting Corporation, and a few book-length studies are in the works. In light of Lyndon Johnson's disgrace by Vietnam and Nixon's downfall in Watergate, it is important to remember that Franklin D. Roosevelt acted illegally on a far wider front over a far longer period of time than any other president, and that he lied about his activities far more brazenly. Tyler Kent has made it clearer than ever that FDR was war-mongering long before September 1939.

Other IHR speakers also denounced Roosevelt's deviousness. Dr. Andreas Wesserle described him as a "merciless and unscrupulous power man" who willingly sacrificed entire nations to please his manipulators. Mark Weber, who is writing a book which promises to leave the orthodox Holocaust narrative in tatters, called attention to some little-known Polish documents captured by the Germans in 1939 which illuminate the Allied war path. James J. Martin, the dean of living American revisionists, dedicated the conference to the memory of Charles C. Tansill, author of *Roosevelt's Back Door to War*.

The intrepid Swedish researcher, Ditlieb Felderer, gave a slide presentation of pictures from the so-called Majdanek "extermination" camp in Poland. He said that the gas cylinders which guides tell tourists were used to exterminate Jews were actually manufactured in 1965. The date is printed right on them but few people bother to look.

Arthur Butz, author of *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, also tackled the Holocaust problem. "We require a speedy exposure of what really happened in the past," he said, "because the West is being destroyed by 'history' that is not based on fact."

The conference's keynote speaker, and the one most enthusiastically received, was Dr. Martin Larson, who examined contemporary Jewish character and Israeli foreign policy as excrescences of the Old Testament. Larson quoted the late Harry Elmer Barnes, "Israel is Murder, Incorporated, raised to the level of a state." Larson drew contrasting portraits of the Old Testament's god of hatred and caprice, called Yahweh, and the New Testament's god of love.

All in all, the Fourth International Revisionist Conference was a most impressive affair. There was no violence, the audience was polite and attentive, and everyone who attended went home with the feeling that good people and good brains were beginning to work together to rescue history, particularly contemporary history, from the intellectual terrorists who have held it hostage throughout most of this century.

## Paisley Gets Visa

Was the State Department finally becoming human? Or was it that article in *Instauration* (Sept. 1982)? Whatever it was, and it was almost certainly not the latter, Rev. Ian Paisley, the Ulster leader who has been banned from visiting the United States for many years, was given a visa to attend the funeral of a friend in California. Any black revolutionary from Africa, any Israeli hit man from the Holy Land is given blanket permission to barnstorm through the United States to raise money to kill more whites in Africa or Palestinians in the Middle East. But since Paisley is not a black and not a Jew, since he is fighting for the self-determination of an oppressed white people, he has long been America's leading persona non grata. Does his visa represent a permanent shift in State Department policy? Or was it merely a bone thrown by the Reagan administration to Bob Jones University, where Paisley once studied and that he has been kept from visiting in outright violation of the Helsinki Agreements, which the media claim only totalitarian states are guilty of violating. After all, the Bob Jones crowd voted almost solidly for Reagan in 1980, though little good it did them. Because they believe in and practice bedroom (but not classroom) segregation, their tax-exempt status is hanging by a hair -- a hair the Supreme Court may soon cut.

## How to Deal with Sex Criminals

California's Atascadero State Hospital spends an average of \$30,000 a year to rehabilitate each of its rapist and child molester inmates. Nonetheless, four of its recent "graduates" have committed the following atrocities:

1. Killed a 2½-year-old girl six weeks after release.
2. Raped and savagely beat a 13-year-old girl two months after release.
3. Murdered a 6-year-old boy shortly after release.
4. Murdered a 14-year-old girl six months after release.

Mrs. Laura S. Sabin, founder and director of the National Association for the Prevention of Rape by Castration, Inc. (NAPRC), thinks this was "cruel and unusual punishment" for the small children who died needlessly under horrendous circumstances. Her organization's alternative treatment would not take America "back to the dark ages," as the liberals pretend. It would take us back about one decade. California, for instance, castrated some sex offenders as recently as 1970 -- until one man sued the judge and surgeon involved and won his case. The NAPRC says no less than 370 California men chose castration over prison between the mid-1950s and 1970

alone. Few regretted the decision. Not one of the 370 was ever involved in another sex crime.

The NAPRC does not advocate mandatory castration. It merely offers the sex criminal the choice between an inexpensive operation and expensive life imprisonment. (The current reality in Philadelphia, says Judge Lisa Richette, is usually "six to 24 months in jail.") The NAPRC also opposes castration in all dubious cases, as when a man has been accused of rape by a former girlfriend or by a female hitchhiker. It supports the castration legislation introduced by State Representatives Frank Shurden of Oklahoma, Joyce Lewis of Maine and Christopher Jackman of New Jersey.

Mrs. Sabin's well-argued brochure on castration is available from NAPRC, Box 532, Vineland, NJ 08360. Please send a \$1 donation to cover costs.

## Pushing Back

The Irreverend Jesse Jackson has been scaring corporate executives half-silly for the last year or so with his threats of nationwide black boycotts of their products. At long last, someone has pushed back at Jesse and his aptly named PUSH organization, and proved them both paper tigers. That someone was Chicago Mayor Jane Byrne, who (quoting from a PUSH handbill) "has repeatedly insulted the [big-b] Black community by: Putting three [small-w] whites on the Chicago Housing Authority Board . . . Giving orders for and condoning outrageous acts of Police Brutality . . . Removing Blacks from key positions on the Chicago School Board . . ."

PUSH called for a boycott of last summer's ChicagoFest, a sort of 12-day party on the shores of Lake Michigan. "Don't Buy a Ticket! Don't Accept a Free Ticket! Don't Come Near the Fest Area!" cried the PUSHers. "Our struggle for human dignity [read: for a black takeover of the city government] goes far beyond a few days of entertainment."

Well, Jesse huffed and he puffed but he sure couldn't blow the fest down. Attendance was off only 4% from 1981, and that was probably due to three days of torrential rain. It's true that most blacks stayed away, but, just as columnist Mike Royko had predicted, that only encouraged reluctant white suburbanites to attend. The whites spent more money and, as one TV newsman observed in a rare burst of candor, crime at the fest was way, way, way down.

## History for the Masses

Those interested in presenting the other side of the Holocaust story to large numbers of people will be pleased to learn that *Revisionists' Reprints* has mass-produced an inexpensive tabloid, *Holocaust News*, which consists of four large pages cram-

med with introductory-level articles like "Who Questions the Holocaust Story?" and "Mass Gassing Accounts are Scientifically Impossible." It is available for only \$10 for 100 copies, postage included. Order from *Revisionists' Reprints*, Box 3849, Manhattan Beach, CA 90266. Alternatively, \$20 will pay for having 100 copies of *Holocaust News* mailed (from California) to lists of American opinion-molders.

## Voting Against Crime

Proposition 8 won in California last June with 56% in favor. The anti-crime initiative adds a mandatory five years to the sentences of repeat offenders who are convicted of serious felonies. It also prohibits plea-bargaining in serious felony cases, abolishes the defense argument of "diminished capacity" resulting from intoxication or drug abuse, and gives victims the right to restitution from convicted criminals. The most important reform of all may be the "right to truth-in-evidence" section, which greatly expands the kind of evidence that prosecutors may introduce. Now it will be much harder to exclude evidence because of nit-picking technicalities.

Liberal forces are well organized to attack Proposition 8 on a variety of legal and constitutional grounds. They fear that it will catch on nationally like California's Proposition 13 tax revolt.

## Circuit-riding Realist

Gordon Liddy's nationalistic speeches continue to win applause on America's Majority campuses. Recently the Watergate veteran spoke on "The Illusions of the American People" at Mercersburg Academy.

The world views us as helpless. On the average, 90% of our military men failed simple IQ tests. We fixed the problem . . . we stopped the tests . . .

In President Kennedy's time, when the Soviets moved missiles into Cuba, we told them to get out. They did. [Did they now?]

In President Carter's time, when the Soviets moved 3,000 combat troops into Cuba, we told them to get them out. The Russians told us where to go. The troops are still there.

Another disaster was the Freedom of Information Act, which has wrecked U.S. espionage capabilities in much of the world. Since the act's passage, "15 friendly nations have shut off reciprocal information with us." They simply don't want their sources revealed. "We no longer can be trusted, even in the eyes of our friends."

When Liddy was finished, the entire Mercersburg student body gave him a standing ovation. How does one translate these small-town Pennsylvania feelings into lasting punch in the urban centers of power? And, no less important, why do professional iconoclasts like Liddy usually steer clear of the *really* harsh aspects of American life?