

δύστανε, μίρας ὅσον παροίχει

Instauration®

VOL. 23, NO. 7


JUNE 1998

IAN SMITH



FIGHTER FOR A LOST CAUSE

The Safety Valve



In keeping with Instauration's policy of anonymity, most communicants will be identified by the first three digits of their zip code.

How about a summer camp for Dispossessed Majorityites? 190

For his peace of mind and as a reward for a lifetime of effort for his people, let us hope Carleton Putnam has gone to a heaven of racially compatible spirits. 328

A Japanese just off the boat can get a contract preference at the expense of a white male veteran of WWII. Woe to the pols opposing this, as the number of aware whites is insufficient to reelect them. 910

How right was a senior European diplomat when he said, "The U.S. is isolating itself more successfully than it is isolating Iran." 953

One of the most effective ploys in the Jewish bag of historical tricks is to tie up opponents of the Chosen in legal proceedings. Jews can get away with this because of their money and their omnipresence in the shyster profession. Forcing your enemies to spend all their time in court is a time-honored way of Jews who wish to silence their critics. 329

In regard to Instauration's remarks about playwright Bertolt Brecht (May 1998), he was one of those Jewish-

acting, Jewish-looking, Jewish-thinking, Jewish-loving creatures who say they are non-Jews. 560

In all the talk about the slave trade, one never hears that African blacks may have been happy to be shipped to the New World. They sensed that white masters couldn't be half as vicious as the Negro tribal chiefs they were leaving behind. 933

Not too long ago Christmas was Christmas. Now it's "The Holiday Season," with Hanukkah getting an equal amount of media attention. At Easter we find Passover being given equal time. By losing the uniqueness of its holy days, Western civilization is losing its very essence. 118

Every other week another bimbo comes out of hiding to announce she's slept with the President. Isn't it time to test Clinton for AIDS? 455

Aren't the Jews ever blamed for anything? 930

Hoorah for India, who, after conducting several nuclear tests and being on the receiving end of America's displeasure, very politely told us to mind our own business. We may foist sanctions on India for its nuclear arsenal, but not on Israel for its far bigger one. 912

Perhaps the most glaring example of language twisting is the media's calling the ADL, the nation's most powerful gang of censors, a "human rights organization." 899

A recent visit to the west coast of Florida was a pleasant surprise. The sand and vacationers are overwhelmingly white. A few minorities are in evidence on weekends, but not enough to spoil one's holiday. While Tampa and St. Petersburg have a noticeable number of Negroes, few make their way to the beach. I suspect that this is due to a number of factors: (1) for the most part the beach communities are separate municipal entities and many are located on barrier islands;

(2) public transportation from Tampa and St. Pete is sparse; (3) tolls on the causeways leading to the beaches; and (4) parking is expensive unless you're staying at a hotel. While all the above can be annoyances, they are a small price to pay for peace of mind during your vacation. 752

Bold prediction! Within my lifetime (I'm 48), Cinco de Mayo will be a bigger holiday than July 4th. Sound far-fetched? Remember when Washington's Birthday used to be a bigger day than Martin Luther King Jr. Day? 224

CNN deplored that large percentages of Americans disbelieve various aspects of the Holocaust. Some 21% doubt that it ever happened. That figure would certainly be higher in an anonymous poll. Perhaps the continuing overblown media stress on the event has made some people suspicious. For the record, I believe that the Jewish Holocaust occurred. But so did the Ukrainian Holocaust, whose death toll topped the advertised Jewish one by one million. 866

Almost every week I get a call from a prominent newspaper to take advantage of its almost giveaway subscription. The sales pitch comes to an abrupt end when I say I am fed up seeing all the paper's stories about the Holocaust. 115

CONTENTS

The Great Betrayal.	4
Fair Harvard's Jewish Jubilee. . . .	10
She's a Jewel.	11
Out of the Woodpile.	12
A Prayer for War.	13
CEOs' Charity Aids Leftists.	14
Affirmative Action Outrageousness	14
Five Brothers Died in FDR's War.	15
The Litigation Racket.	15
Backtalk.	16
Inklings.	18
Cultural Catacombs.	20
Primate Watch.	21
Talking Numbers.	22
Waspishly Yours.	23
Satcom Sam.	24
Notes from the Sceptred Isle. . . .	26
Elsewhere.	27

Instauration

is published 12 times a year by
Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.
Box 76, Cape Canaveral, FL 32920

Annual Subscription

- \$35 (third class)
- \$45 (first class)
- \$48 Canada
- \$50 foreign (surface)
- \$67 foreign (air)

Single copy price \$3, postpaid
Magazine is mailed in plain white envelope


Wilmot Robertson, editor

Make checks payable to Howard Allen.
Florida residents, please add 6% sales tax.

Third-class mail is not forwarded.
Advise change of address well in advance.
ISSN 0277-2302

©1998 Howard Allen Enterprises, Inc.

The Safety Valve



□ I detest basketball, which Instauration has rightly termed "African jump ball." But since the Final Four of college basketball was played here in San Antonio in March I thought I'd tune in to see what took place before tip-off. As it turned out, the North Carolina team was just being introduced. Out on the court bounded a 6'9" Zulu, Ademola Okulaja. With a name like that I just knew he had to be an exchange student from Nigeria or some such place. Well, he was foreign all right, but he doesn't call the Dark Continent home. The announcer called out his hometown—Berlin, Germany!

782

□ Why do self-hating females, who seemingly find dark males attractive, so often attempt to look whiter than they are by becoming bottle blondes?

463

□ How can so many people get worked up over the Clinton/Lewinsky situation? Monica is a nice little Jewish girl who has been involved in liberal agendas all her life. She was a dedicated volunteer worker in Clinton's reelection. She did not go to the Oval Office 35-plus times seeking special favors from the President. She was just being interviewed in depth for a Head Start job.

813

□ Whites may indeed be erased from the planet, but if so not by blacks but by browns or, most likely, yellows.

111

□ I recently spent three weeks near a U.S. Army base in Germany. Common sight on the base and nearby town: knuckle-dragging blacks next to lovely blonde mates, several mulattos in tow. Saddest case: a strappingly handsome Nordic, second husband of a slutty Chicana. In addition to their kid, she had an earlier offspring sired by her first hubby, a black. Would have been nice if he had wed the tall lovely German lass sharing the next-door apartment with the black father of her brood!

130

□ The Republicans are too stupid to realize that they do not have to appeal to blacks or Hispanics by throwing borders open or ratcheting up affirmative action. More than some whites, many people of color want to live in a country where morality means something, a notion re-

garded as heretical by the prime movers of the Democratic Party. Gay sex/marriage/adoption—okay. Make fun of religion—okay. A majority of people of color are opposed to homosexuality. If only the G.O.P. was not too bemused by inside-the-beltway standards to realize it.

379

□ Speaking of the Aesthetic Prop (Instauration, May 1998), I caught part of the Miss USA pageant a few months ago. In the beginning there was no shortage of minorities competing for the title. When I tuned back towards the end of the evening, the five finalists were all white. The camera scanned the judges, which included two Negro men. Perhaps they were voting with their libidos instead of their race-consciousness.

257

□ It seems like a long time ago when I used to get political pamphlets in English only. Now that I've finally gotten used to receiving literature in English and Spanish, there is a new wrinkle. My latest political pamphlet for the Texas primary election arrived in Spanish only. *No problema*, since I can read Spanish. But I can also read between the lines. Time to quit the Southwest?

754

□ Donny Osmond's claim that Michael Jackson "looks whiter than I do" proves only that Marie's brother is in need of both glasses and a lesson in racial biology. Maybe some kind subscriber could send Donny a copy of John Baker's *Race*. Osmond's attempts to revive his career by descending into obnoxiousness and crudity is upsetting to this former fan. I always used to say that if the Osmond family turned decadent, I'd leave the U.S. How's life in Argentina these days?

122

□ Usually suicide is quick. No one deliberately kills himself in slow stages: shooting a foot, then a leg or taking just small drops of poison at a time. Western society is different. We have struck ourselves a mortal blow whose effects are so slow that we do not even realize we are already as good as dead.

916

□ I'm surprised at the sexual gossip about the Clintons. It's so tame! When prestigious gay Democratic congressman blowhard Barney Frank answered an

ad containing the words, "Hung like a horse," he got a live-in boyfriend, who then operated a male prostitution ring from Frank's basement. By the standards of their party, the Clintons have been almost puritanical.

200

□ I thought this country could not get more absurd. Was I wrong! I heard a talk by a Harvard professor who says "she" is a man. No surgery involved. "She" still has that stuff which in less enlightened times would make her female. Harvard is treating her as "she" wishes. Even better, "she" retains a women-only grant, while "her" driver's license says she is a male.

018

□ Few feminists buy Clinton's "never happened" story. Feminist maxi-guru Gloria Steinem explains that Bill groped, then backed off, so everybody should shut up. She had a different take when Clarence Thomas supposedly told dirty jokes to Anita Hill. That was serious and should have disqualified him from being a member of the Noxious Nine.

855

□ The New Jersey Supreme Court ruled that the use of the words "jungle bunny" and "nigger" are racist and cannot under any circumstances be directed by Euro-American public employees at African-American employees. This raises a few questions. Assuming this decision will set a precedent and also assuming we are all equal under the law, will it be considered a racial slur if a person refers to a Euro-American as a "Honky," "Mick," "Kraut," "Limey," "Pollack" or, G-d forbid, a "Kike"?

087

□ I have a book, *Free Negro Owners of Slaves*, by Carter Woodson, a Negro historian, published by the Negro University Press of Westport (CT). Listed are names of many free Negroes who owned slaves. The source was the 1830 U.S. Census.

724

□ Movies, TV, even video games are saturated with instant sex and violence. In "serious" discussions on the tube, traditional morality is the subject of derision. Our mentors leeringly admit that Clinton is a lying adulterer. So what! "Everybody does it." The wonder is not why there is so much violence, but why there is not more!

668

The Great Betrayal

When the Unilateral Declaration of Independence (UDI) was declared in Rhodesia it was subverted and eventually brought down by the British government, acting in concert with black Marxists, Communist-backed terrorists, the Organization of African Unity (OAU), and surprisingly the "Apartheid" South African government. Ian Smith, who believed in a Great Britain that no longer existed, saw this and subsequent events as a great betrayal.

The tragedy that befell Rhodesia was partially the result of Ian Smith's initial uncritical trust in the promises and fairness of the British Government and its administrators. Smith described his and his nation's character in a few words that said everything:

"You Rhodesians are more British than the British." So often I heard that during the war years 1939-45. It was a comment which pleased Rhodesians. To think that we were not British would be ridiculous. After all, what is our history? Rhodes' dream of a British route from Cape to Cairo.

The disillusion that Rhodesians would later experience can only be compared to the sense of betrayal traditional Catholics felt after Vatican II, when they woke up to learn that their Holy Mother the Church was no longer what she had been for centuries. Catholics had not changed their beliefs, the Church had changed hers. Smith's mindset was formed by the greatness of the British Empire in its imperialistic heyday when half the globe was under British rule. It became his misfortune to have to deal with an England that had already, under Eden at Suez in 1956, proven that Britain could no longer call the tune on the

international stage. The leaders in the homeland were now only capable of governing willing and compliant subjects not rebellious ones.

Abundantly aware of his Scots-British heritage, Ian Smith retained an idealized notion of what it meant to be British in developing Africa at the apogee of British Imperialism in the early 20th century. "The British Empire," Smith and others were convinced, "was the greatest force for good the world had ever known." A small island off the coast of Europe, this mighty political, economic and military atom had spread Western Christian civilization over half the globe, introducing standards of freedom, justice, education, health and hygiene that the natives had never dreamed of.

Britain's elite, led by such notables as Cecil Rhodes, Lord Milner, Arnold Toynbee and Baron Rothschild, had formed

a secret society—the Society of the Elect—dedicated to bringing all of Africa under British rule. The axis around which everything would revolve was the planned Cape to Cairo railroad of which Rhodesia was the linchpin. Lord Milner expressed most clearly the fervor and messianic devotion to the realization of the Society's goal of economic development in Africa:

I am a British nationalist. If I am also an imperialist, it is because the destiny of the English race has been to strike fresh roots in distant parts. . . . My patriotism knows no geographical, but only racial limits, I am an imperialist and not a Little Englander, because I am a British Race Patriot It is not the soil of England, dear as it is to me, which is essential to arouse my patriotism, but the speech, the



Smith stood for all Rhodesians, whites and blacks

tradition, the spiritual heritage, the principles, the aspirations of the British race. . . Our first great principle is "Follow the race." The British State must follow the race, must comprehend it wherever it settles in appreciable numbers as an independent community. If the swarms constantly being thrown off by the parent hive are lost to the State, the State is irreparably weakened. We cannot afford to part with so much of our best blood.

It was this British spirit that Ian Smith mistakenly believed still existed. But such visionaries were no more. Following two fratricidal wars, which Britain itself initiated by declaring war against Germany, a natural and willing ally, the flower of British manhood and its Empire were gone. Proud nationalism gave way to a pale internationalism. Ironically it was the same elitist Milner Group which, blinded by arrogance or hubris on a national scale, led the British into murderous conflicts and bankruptcy. Following these disasters it was Smith's misfortune to have to deal with politicians like Harold Wilson and R. A. Butler and the "lords of a lesser England": Carrington, Sandys, Home, Soames and Owen. As for the absence of great British statesmen in the Rhodesian affair, the historian Kenneth Young said it best: "The spirit and courage that made Britain great were not extinct; they had simply emigrated [or been killed in the World Wars]."

All of the European countries had lost their empires or were on the verge of losing them by the end of WWII. More recently even the U.S.S.R., heir to the Russian Empire, fragmented. Western Christian civilization, which Smith revered so much, was in rapid retreat.

Since Ian Smith and most of his early comrades were born and educated in Africa, they were deeply influenced by Cape society, which was still energetic and healthy. As members of the British Empire, gentlemen lived under an unwritten code of behavior: law and order in society; discipline in schools; never let your team down. *In extremis* it may even be necessary to die for your cause.

Smith himself attended South African schools and Rhodes University, where he took his degree in Commerce. He was an active sportsman: rugby in winter, cricket in summer and rowing whenever possible. Of simple origins, he lived by Juvenal's principle, *mens sana in corpore sano*, regrettably unaware of the corruption and venality of the members of the privileged classes with

whom he would eventually have to deal.


When WWII erupted Smith immediately joined the British Air Force. He served first in the Middle East and eventually in Italy, where he fought bravely until he was shot down. Exhibiting the same grit and doggedness that his later contemporaries would have to contend with, he made his way back to the Allies and resumed his flying.

In August 1948, Smith made the career decisions that were to determine the course of his future life. He bought a farm, married and began his political career by becoming a member of the Rhodesian Parliament. At about this time Rhodesia was looking forward to dominion status.

Rhodesia had never been directly ruled by Whitehall. It was settled by pioneers from the Cape and governed under Roman-Dutch, not English law. Britain only assumed nominal control over Southern Rhodesia from the British South Africa Company in 1923. The Rhodesians kept control of their internal affairs. Indeed, they had always enjoyed a kind of *de facto* independence, which, when the time was appropriate, they endeavored to make *de jure*.

In Smith's opinion a fatefully wrong decision concerning Rhodesia's future was made in 1922 when the Rhodesians rejected the offer of General Jan Smuts, then Prime Minister of South Africa, to join the Union of South Africa as its fifth province. Had the Rhodesians elected to do so, Smith argues, the Boers would never have been able to take over the country. The Rhodesians would have been a part of a larger British-run South Africa, with greater economic opportunities and a greater British interest in supporting its African possessions. White immigration from Europe would have accelerated. Under British rule, tribal and racial differences could have been better managed.

A second fateful decision pertaining to Rhodesia's future, in Smith's view, was the establishment and subsequent disestablishment by the British of the Federation of Southern Rhodesia (later Rhodesia, eventually Zimbabwe), Northern Rhodesia (later Zambia), and Nyasaland (later Malawi). When in 1962-63, Britain decided to grant Nyasaland and Northern Rhodesia independence without proper consultations with Sir Roy Welensky, then head of the Federation, Southern Rhodesia was left in the awkward position of having only limited self-government. Welensky voiced his resentment of the British decision, referring to English deceit, duplicity and treachery, but to no avail. In response the Rhodesians took matters in their



own hands, established a new party, the Rhodesian Front, and began the quest for full independence in earnest. After all, the British had through the years assured the Rhodesians of full independence one day. At the Victoria Falls Conference in June 1963 the British Minister for Central African Affairs, R. A. Butler, had been even more specific, telling the Rhodesian delegation: "I am in the pleasant position to be able to tell you that Her Majesty's Government has given the deepest consideration to your request that Southern Rhodesia will get independence no later than the other two territories."

When, some months later, South Rhodesian Prime Minister Winston Field, Ian Smith and Roy Welensky confronted British Prime Minister Alec Home about Rhodesia's independence, Home confessed that although he personally was in agreement with Rhodesia's right of full independence, he was fearful that the OAU, the Afro-Asian bloc in the Commonwealth, and the members of the Non-Aligned Movement (of which Marxist Robert Mugabe was then chairman) would object. In any case Home could not make a decision until after the impending election in which Harold Wilson was the Labour Party candidate. If he won, Home said, he would grant independence. If not, the issue would have to be taken up with the new government. (Why the honorable gentleman did not do this when he had the power has never been explained. After all, the OAU and the Afro-Asian bloc did not vote for British Prime Ministers.) Ominously, Home warned the Rhodesian delegation of the dangers in any unilateral declaration of independence.

When socialist Harold Wilson took over as British Prime Minister from Home and the Rhodesian-born Smith succeeded Field as Rhodesian Prime Minister in April 1964, the hopes for Britain ever consenting to Rhodesia's independence were about nil. Increasingly, under the pressure of black nationalists like Nkomo and Sithole as well as the OAU and the UN, the British advocated more black representation in the new government, leaning to slogans like one-man one-vote. Smith observed that, though it never works, it is a consistent ingratiating tactic of white liberals to acquiesce to almost all demands of black extremists.

Wilson once told Smith: "For you and me to come to an agreement is no problem. What we have to do is produce an agreement which I can sell to the rest of the world, and in particular the OAU." To which Smith commented: "That bunch of Communist dictators." Wilson's response: "You cannot divorce yourself from the world we live in."

The British wanted nothing to do with the decisions made at the long-established *Indabas* (congress of native chiefs and headmen of Rhodesia). The British preferred to defer precisely to the demands of those who had the least support of the native tribes and who were certain to wreck what was left of the Empire.

But now, for the first time, Whitehall had to deal with someone whose roots were not in Britain but in Africa.

Smith and his fellow white Africans, with their realistic knowledge of Africa and its needs, favored a gradualist approach to increasing the number of blacks in high office. They were sincere in their approach and had already done much for black advancement. They knew that an immediate black nationalist takeover would be as disastrous as it had been in Ghana in 1957, Nigeria in 1960, the Belgian Congo in 1960, followed in quick succession in Tanzania, Zanzibar, Uganda and Kenya. The story had always been the same: tribal violence and massacres, political opponents imprisoned, streams of dispossessed white refugees, rampant corruption and one-party dictatorship. Only Portugal and South Africa supported the Rhodesians in their gradualistic development of African leaders.

Smith quotes Nigerian Nobel Prize Laureate Wole Soyinka to make his point:

African dreams of peace and prosperity have been shattered by the greedy, corrupt and unscrupulous rule of African strongmen. The dream has evaporated because of the treachery and betrayal of leaders with their pursuit of power and wealth. One would be content with just a model cleaning up of the environment, development of opportunities, health services, education, eradication of poverty. But unfortunately even these model goals are thwarted by a power-crazed and rapacious leadership who can only obtain their egotistical goals by oppressing the rest of us.

Convinced finally that Wilson would never accede to Rhodesian independence, the Smith government on November 11, 1965, chose the dangerous route of Unilateral Declaration of Independence (UDI). The proclamation echoed the spirit and text of the American Declaration of Independence. It began:

Whereas in the course of human affairs history has shown that it may become necessary for a people to resolve the political affiliations which have connected them with another people and to assume among other nations the separate and equal status to which they are entitled. . . .

Smith stressed his determination that there would be no diminution of African advancement and prosperity and that it was the whites' intention to bring the blacks into the government on a basis acceptable to them. He concluded his remarks:

To us has been given the privilege of being the first Western nation in the last two decades to have the determination and fortitude to say: "So far and no further." We may be a small country, but we are a determined people who have been called upon to play a role of worldwide significance. We Rhodesians have rejected the doctrinaire philosophy of appeasement and surrender. The decision which we have taken today is a refusal by Rhodesians to sell their birthright. . . . We have struck a blow for the preservation of justice, civilization, and Christianity—and in the spirit of this belief we have thus assumed our sovereign independence.

The reference to Christianity must have galled the Communist bloc and even made the Anglo-American Establishment a little nervous.

Britain declared UDI illegal, demanded that voting rights ensure eventual rule of the country by the majority blacks, and called upon the UN to impose sanctions, including an embargo on oil shipments. Several diplomatic attempts were made by both sides to come to a settlement. The first was made in 1966 aboard *HMS Tiger* off Gibraltar, the second aboard *HMS Fearless* in 1968. Both attempts failed—in Smith's opinion—because Britain would not budge from its basic demand, namely, no independence before African majority rule. Smith decried Wilson's kowtowing to black nationalist and OAU demands as unrealistic, insisting instead on Rhodesia's successful gradualistic approach to the empowerment of the country's blacks. Finding Wilson's and his liberal associates' apparent guilt complex with regard to past British colonialism rather bizarre, Smith viewed colonialism positively as the spread of Western Christian civilization, with its commitment to education, health, justice and economic advancement into "darkest Africa," where there were no written languages, no medical facilities, no currency and where economics was still at the level of barter.

The embargo was mostly overcome with the assistance of French, Japanese, Italians and other trading nations. When the U.S. cut off all shipments of transport, tractors, farming machinery and earth-moving equipment, other nations filled the gap. When the U.S. stopped importing and processing high-quality Rhodesian chrome, the U.S.S.R. sold the U.S. an inferior grade at twice the price. More threatening to the Rhodesians, however, was the increase in cross-border terrorist raids, especially from Zambia, aided by Britain. Perfidious Albion was showing its treacherous side.

Meanwhile the Rhodesians were hardening their position. A new constitution was being finalized that, among other things, would declare Rhodesia a republic. Representation in Parliament was to be proportional to income tax contributions, thereby preventing full black representation. Smith objected to this racial division, preferring a genuine meritocracy guaranteeing equal rights for all civilized persons. He was overruled on the grounds that it would take too long for the blacks to make any impact in Parliament. On March 1, 1970, the new constitution went into effect.

When the Conservatives won the British general election a few months later, with Edward Heath as Prime Minister and Alec Home as Foreign Secretary, hopes rose again for a settlement. By March 12, 1972, an agreement appeared to have been reached. The whites accepted it; the blacks did not.

All hopes for a Rhodesian settlement were dashed when the Portuguese government was overthrown by a left-wing military coup on April 25, 1974, and South Africa was forced to provide more protection to its northwestern and northeastern frontiers against terrorist attacks orig-

inating in Mozambique and Angola. The new situation compelled the South African government, under Prime Minister Vorster, to institute a policy of detente with the black states to the north at the expense, of course, of Rhodesia. Through the years the Rhodesian and South African Security Forces had cooperated in confronting the terrorists. Even Vorster once said:

Sure we'll support you because the higher to the north we can hold the line against communism, the better. I think the Zambezi is a better line than the Limpopo, let's work together.

In Smith's opinion the reason for South Africa's turnabout was fear that its own apartheid policy was in jeopardy—a policy, incidentally, Smith disagreed with because it alienated the races when they should be trying to get along with each other.

As the Rhodesians were soon to learn, the South African detente policy corresponded in large part to the British appeasement of the black extremists. The South Africans proceeded to abruptly withdraw their police detachments from Rhodesia and to release terrorist leaders from detention. In due course, under a South African initiative, arrangements were soon made for all contending parties and states, black and white, to meet in an attempt to resolve the Rhodesian problem at Victoria Falls Bridge in August, 1974. The talks collapsed and Rhodesia lost some of the gains of previous years.

The reason for the sudden shift in South African policy from support of Rhodesia to detente occurred at about the same time Smith was asked to attend vital talks in Pretoria with Vorster and Henry Kissinger, who had come with proposals of his own. America had become increasingly concerned about Communist inroads and successes in Africa and apparently concluded that the time was ripe to offer advice. Kissinger admitted right off that he had come on a sad mission, namely, to preside over the demise of Rhodesia. He argued, rightly as it turned out, that it would be better for Rhodesia to settle now because if Carter were to win the upcoming presidential election in the States it would be much more difficult. Moreover, Kissinger continued, the Western world, including the U.S., had become too soft and decadent to resist black pressure for long.

In effect, something like the British and black nationalist plan would have to be accepted immediately. Kissinger outlined the steps Smith would have to take or face complete isolation and defeat. The first step was to set up a council of state consisting of three whites and three blacks, with a white chairman. They would be given two years to work out a new constitution, which had to lead to majority rule. The plan had the backing of Kaunda and Nyerere, thus guaranteeing its acceptance. The free world would provide a trust fund of \$2 billion to guarantee pensions and foreign exchange for those who wished to leave the country. When Smith said he would have to consult with his government and obtain a two-thirds vote in the

Parliament for acceptance, both Vorster and Kissinger seemed surprised and annoyed.

The military authorities in both South Africa and Rhodesia balked. Their security forces had been doing very well against the terrorists. The Rhodesian Selous Scouts had just had their most successful cross-border raid into Mozambique, destroying a terrorist camp and killing 500 of the enemy with no casualties of their own. As for the South Africans, their forces had also become more aggressive. In a very successful incursion into Angola, their forces were within striking distance of Luanda only to have them recalled immediately on American instructions. Had the South Africans been permitted to take Luanda, Savimbi would have become the popular leader with the support of the free world. The Russians and Cubans would have had to retire from the scene. But detente—appeasement—prevailed.

Within a very short time after the Kissinger visit, another conference was set up in Geneva in 1976 for all parties to discuss and hopefully agree to the U.S. plan. By that time, however, Jimmy Carter had been elected president. Kissinger was out and Cyrus Vance was in. The Labour Party was back in Britain. Immediately and not unexpectedly the British caved in to more demands of the black nationalists. When the exasperated Rhodesian delegation returned to Salisbury, Smith tried to explain to Ivor Richard, the British representative, that pandering to the arrogance and excesses of black extremists only encouraged them to make more outrageous demands. Conciliatory gestures and concessions were usually seen as weakness by peoples outside the Western Christian orbit.

Despairing of any settlement involving external countries and British advisors, Smith attempted an "internal settlement" with only black and white Rhodesians present. Learning about this, the British tried to set up their own conference in Malta to which they invited Nkomo and Mugabe, who were now operating outside Rhodesia as part of the "Patriotic Front." In March 1978, an "internal settlement" was signed in which Smith and three popular black leaders would share control of the government until power was transferred to the black majority. The agreement was rejected by guerrilla leaders.

In the country's first universal franchise election, April 1979, Bishop Muzorewa's United African National Council received majority control of the now black-dominated

Parliament. In June 1979, however, the U.S. announced it would still not lift sanctions, despite the fact that Vance and Andrew Young seemed satisfied with Rhodesia's progress. Kissinger's warning about the difficulties of dealing with President Carter proved true. Smith writes bluntly about the American president:

Carter's hypocrisy and rank dishonesty were unbelievable and unforgivable. . . . It was obvious to any thinking person that he had only one objective in mind: winning himself black votes in the coming presidential election.

Even though Bishop Muzorewa was officially head of the government of national unity, internal and cross-border terrorism, mostly originating and orchestrated from Mozambique by Robert Mugabe with Communist support, intensified. What disgusted Smith most of all was that the terrorists were also receiving moral support from Britain and the U.S.

Being inexperienced in African politics, Bishop Muzorewa all but disregarded the advice of Smith and fell for the guile of Lord Carrington. But with Smith removed and a more pliable Muzorewa in charge of Rhodesia, now becoming known as Zimbabwe, the British convened another meeting, the Lancaster House Conference, of all the aggrieved parties, including the external factions. The conference dragged on for months, with British diplomats usually reconciling differences in favor of Mugabe and Nkomo and away from Muzorewa. When Smith warned the British representatives that the way things were proceeding Rhodesia would wind up with a Mugabe government, the erudite Peter Carrington responded:

My dear Mr. Smith, I want to assure you that our whole strategy has been formulated to ensure that your prognosis will not eventuate. Quite the reverse. We have no doubt that your next government will be formed

by a combination of Muzorewa, Nkomo and Smith. Moreover, should your worst fears materialize with a victory for the external factions, the leader will not be Nkomo and not Mugabe. Even Nyerere has confirmed to us that all of them have accepted that Nkomo, as the first leader of African nationalism in Zimbabwe, will be the leader of the first government.

Mugabe, mostly through intimidation of the populace, which the British had promised they would not permit, won hands down. With the combined wisdom of Carrington, Jimmy Carter and Pik Botha, the dice were loaded against Rhodesia. Smith commented, "The Communists had been trying in vain to destroy Rhodesia. They have now succeeded."

Smith considered the failure of the British government to abide by the terms of the Lancaster House agreement



Robert Mugabe, dictator of Zimbabwe

(to protect voters against intimidation) as one of the most devious and dishonest actions in history. Smith could only blame Carrington's underhandedness, noting bitterly: "During my world of politics I have come into contact with my fair share of devious characters, but I regard Carrington as the most two-faced of them all." Smith could only smile when he heard Secretary of State Haig, in another context, refer to Carrington as "a duplicitous bastard."

Smith continued to represent the white community so stubbornly and so forthrightly in Zimbabwe as the head of the opposition that Mugabe eventually had him expelled from Parliament in 1986.

To Smith, the main villain responsible for the betrayal of Rhodesia was Britain. With an almost total ignorance of African realities and with an incomprehensible subservience to the OAU and the Afro-Asian bloc, Britain subverted a just and legitimate Rhodesian government in favor of a Marxist stooge. It seemed to Smith that Britain wanted nothing more than to rid itself of its African responsibilities at the expense of the resident whites who would be the ones to suffer most by the sell-out.

The second villain, in Smith's view, was South Africa. Scrambling to salvage its own apartheid system, it helped serve up Rhodesia as a sacrificial lamb in a pathetic attempt to placate the blacks further north.

It is sad, Smith notes, that the once highly respected British Commonwealth, which stood for the principles of democracy, justice, human rights and free enterprise, has become a total fraud. Today, the majority of African countries enjoying membership are either one-party or military dictatorships. Sad too, in Smith's eyes, is that even the Queen, for whom he had tremendous respect, can no longer speak her own words. She has now become the mouthpiece of British party politicians. Even if the government were to become Communist, Smith laments, she would have to utter their sentiments and platitudes.

The current state of affairs in Zimbabwe is fast approaching that which prevails in the perpetually benighted states to the north: high living for Mugabe's clique, creeping impoverishment, rampant bureaucracy, a bloated army, white emigration, budgetary problems, mounting debt, even food shortages. All of which incites racial hatred against whites and the confiscation of white farms. From having been for many decades an asset to all Africans, black and white, Zimbabwe is today a deficit state requiring all manner of aid.

Nor are all of Mugabe's critics white. Early on in his administration, he used his North Korean-trained brigade to massacre thousands of Matabeles—a major opposition tribe. Smith would not be surprised to see black tribal warfare break out at any time.

President Mugabe, having put Rhodesia on the international dole, now claims the West is trying to "recolonize" the country economically. He told a group of visiting Chinese: "As Third World countries, those who dominated us politically in the past now want to dominate us economically." If by "recolonization" Mugabe means better living

conditions, better economic conditions, better schools, better management, less corruption and less incompetence, then many black and white Africans would passionately favor "recolonization."

Smith believes more fervently than ever that the Rhodesian evolutionary, rather than revolutionary, approach to black advancement is the only correct solution to the race problem. He rejects the claims that Rhodesia was ever racially biased against blacks:

[The] new constitution, far from trying to entrench our white people, did the reverse, and facilitated and encouraged the participation of our black people. The constitution was accepted by and carried the signatures of representatives of the British government, the Rhodesian government, and the black nationalist leaders. It enshrined the principle of "unimpeded progress to majority rule" and the British representatives involved in drawing up the constitution estimated that it would culminate in a black majority government within ten to fifteen years. If this is the manner in which white Rhodesians attempted to perpetuate their rule of the country, their incompetence, not to say stupidity, was most remarkable.

Characteristic of the good nature of the man, Ian Smith, the white African, concludes his reminiscences on a hopeful positive note, putting his confidence in a fellow African, Nelson Mandela, whom Smith calls Africa's first black statesman—a man who thinks of the next generation rather than of the next election, as do most black African politicians. Pessimists will recall that Smith had hoped that Mugabe would cooperate with him. Alas, after a few years Rhodesia had become another one-party, dictator-for-life African state.

Sub-Saharan Africans, white and black alike, Smith believes, should look to the south with fresh eyes, to Nelson Mandela's new South Africa, and devote their energies to the development of an African Common Market as a powerhouse for all of Africa. Black Africans should also realize that the OAU is not really a black African organization. It is an Arab-dominated group, whose membership includes Egypt, Libya, Tunisia, Algeria and Morocco, and whose present chairman is an Arab. Not a single African attended its recent summit in Morocco. British subservience and American deference to the OAU probably has more to do with the 50 bloc votes that organization wields in the UN than for any genuine concern for black Sub-Saharan Africa.

In summary, the Anglo-American Establishment not only did not support Ian Smith's effort to establish an African state based on the principles of European Christian civilization in Rhodesia, it actually worked to destroy it.

200

Originally scheduled to be published by another publishing house in late 1995, The Great Betrayal by Ian Smith was finally published by Blake Publishing, London, in 1997, after the author refused to make changes requested by the original publisher.

Fair Harvard's Jewish Jubilee


The news that Professors Diana Eck and Dorothy Austin, a lesbian "couple," were now serving as "co-masters" of Harvard College's Lowell House raised few eyebrows in the media, and evidently fewer at Harvard. Doubtless the N.Y. Times was, for once, on the mark in its reportage of the appointment's impact at America's oldest university. Harvard was split, according to the Times of April 15, between those, like black studies professor Cornel West, who hailed the event as a glorious breakthrough, and those who, like Harvard spokesperson Debby Ruder, sniffed: "The fact that they are a same-sex couple is the least interesting thing about them."

Time was when Harvard's old-line alumni would have roared their disapproval, withdrawn their support and called for the dismissal of Harvard president Neil Rudenstine, the man who made the appointment. (Of course, in

making housemistresses were making news at lovely Lowell House, it seemed that a real threat was arising to Harvard's drawing power on alumni dollars. Harvard junior Justin Danilewitz, a reporter for the student-run Harvard Crimson and a member of Harvard and America's most important minority, had sounded the shofar in alarm in the pages of Commentary (April, 1998). Danilewitz claimed that while competing for an executive position on the Crimson, he had been told that there were already too many Jews writing and editing at the paper.

Quotas were back, anti-Jewish quotas, at the Harvard Crimson!

As Instauration readers no doubt suspect, Harvard, that formerly Congregationalist, Brahmin, WASP, Majority citadel of higher learning just upstream from the city once called "the Athens of America," became an adjunct of the



Harvard University Graduate Center, fancy and expensive facilities for minority rabble-rousers

those days Harvard's president would not have been a Jew, or, in the unlikely event he had been, would not have dared to pull such a stunt.) But you can bank on it that not very many Harvard alumni made any protest at all.

It would be interesting to know the last time Harvard's fundraisers truly worried about purple-faced old grads thundering that they wouldn't "send another penny" to Moscow on the Charles or writing the university out of their wills after some finally unbearable anti-Majority outrage. Doubtless that sort of Harvard graduate has either died out or long since stopped giving. If the "coming out" as a homosexual of the longtime pastor of Harvard's Memorial Church, Negro Peter Gomes, a few years back didn't drive off the last of Harvard's pro-Majority alumni contributors, what would?

At about the same time the newly appointed home-

Wailing Wall sometime in the past several decades. The exact point in time, if there was an exact point, is a matter of opinion. This writer locates the outward manifestation of the Jewish conquest back in the early 1980s when, after decades of whining, wheedling, lobbying and threatening, the Harvard Hillel House at last gained admission to the sacred precincts of the Harvard Yard. Long forced to languish (like most of the rest of the university) outside the Yard, Harvard's leading Jewish organization entered the tradition-hallowed grounds of the college with a tootling of outlandish instruments and a flourishing of Hebrew scrolls that brought to mind the entry of the Jews into Stuttgart at Süß Oppenheimer's behest in the Third Reich's most famous anti-Semitic film. No people can be more triumphant, no people can be more spiteful than the Chosen.

As long ago as the 1960s, Jewish professors already

made up 60% of the faculty of arts and sciences, and probably claimed a like percentage of professors at the law school. The medical school has long since caught up, of course. Whether over a third of Harvard College men and (for over a quarter of a century now) women are still Jewish I am unable to determine, but strongly suspect. Well before that, as early as the 1920s and 30s, Jewish professors like Felix Frankfurter were schooling their Gentile proteges, such as Alger Hiss, in the deeper meaning of law, history and economics. In the years prior to that, as is well known, Harvard president Abbot Lawrence Lowell felt threatened enough by the incursion of Jewish undergraduates to set up a quota to thin their numbers.



Ex-grad Alger Hiss

As a result of the Jewish dominance, nearly every vestige of national, racial or group loyalty among the dwindling Majority contingent that still attends Harvard has been rooted out or driven underground. Majority males, the education of whom is the reason for Harvard's existence, according to its ancient charter, increasingly pass for exotic specimens among the Jews, Asiatics, Negroes, Indians and Third World types shoehorned in with this or that quota disguised as an exercise in "student diversity." The bloodless liberalism stirred now and again by a pinch of philanthropy (sometimes a very large pinch, to be sure) of Harvard's late Majority wardens has been replaced by relentless multicultu-

ralism and freakish sexual manifestations.

One can object, of course, that Harvard, with its Calvinist, philo-Semitic, abolitionist, Anglophile and pink sentiments over the centuries was never much of a pro-Majority institution anyway. Or it can be argued that for such a Majority as once ran Harvard to have fumbled it away to the Jews says little for it. I can only counter that having the place that turned out Henry Cabot Lodge and Lothrop Stoddard and took in J.B. Watson and E.O. Wilson, as well as taught the scholars and presidents and divines, in Majority hands is as vital a sign of Majority health as any. And where, today, is the Majority counter-Harvard?

Nowadays, aside from following the tedious varsity athletics, the Harvard-watching worthy of the name is tracking the rise of the antipathies of the various other minorities against the Jews. Since for now Harvard Jews stand in relation to the Orientals and various other "lesser breeds without the law" as the WASPs of Lowell and Eliot and Conant's ilk once loomed before the Jews—as the keepers and custodians of Harvard tradition.

Ah, but the blacks! The blacks!

I hastened to read the rest of the Jewish lad's Commentary article, the one in which he implied he been driven off the Harvard Crimson by two competitors who'd snarled that, in effect, there were already too many New York Jews on the board.

The two students, it turns out, were themselves Jews, and they were elected co-chairmen of the Crimson.

MORIARTY

She's a Jewel

Jewel Kilcher, 23, is a shapely, blonde beauty who was reared on an Alaskan farm. Her *Pieces Of You* CD has sold more than 4 million copies. A more sensitive, attractive, intelligent example of young white womanhood could hardly be imagined. Jewel recorded *Pieces Of You* when she was 19. She wrote a dozen of the songs and co-wrote the other two on her CD. On the title track she sings:

*You say he's a Jew, does it mean that he's tight?
You say he's a Jew, do you want to hurt his kids to-night?
You say he's a Jew, he'll never wear that funny hat again.
You say he's a Jew, as though being born were a sin.
Oh Jew, oh Jew, do you hate him
'Cause he's pieces of you?*



The liner notes include: "Thank You/Thank you to: Danny Goldberg, the most soulful daddy-o in the record business, your guidance and support are invaluable. . . . Inga Vainshtein, the best, most stupendous manager on earth. . . . Eric Green-span, winner of the most likable lawyer award. . . . Ron Shapiro, Laura Gold and Brandy Rosenberg."

Later in her CD, *Daddy*, Jewel sings:

*Well last night I saw you
sneak out your window
With your white hood,
Daddy.
What's that say about
you?*

It's sad to hear a beautiful Nordic uphold the Jewish party line.

420

Out of the Woodpile and Into the Woodwork

How many isolated incidents does it take to make a trend? I can't give you a hard and fast number, but I know a trend when I see it. What I'm saying is the drift towards interracial mating in the media is taking a new turn.

Do a little channel-surfing among the rabble and gabble TV talk shows and before long you will spot one with the theme of "My Father Hates My Black Boyfriend" or "My Mother Won't Accept My Biracial Baby." As irksome as these shows are, they at least acknowledge that the sight of a black man with a white girlfriend is controversial. No matter how staunchly the Jewish producers/hosts push the one-world/one-race theme, that they continue to do shows on miscegenation indicates that the subject is far from socially acceptable. An impressionable white child who happens upon such a program may hear the racial equality message, but the emotional undercurrents indicate that it is still taboo.

What is happening now, however, is far more insidious. Interracial coupling is constantly portrayed but no longer commented on or dwelled upon. I offer the following examples:

•For years my local paper has done a Sunday article on an area couple about to get married. Until recently, these human interest stories dealt with same-race couples. Week after week, their tales of how they met and how true love blossomed were microscopically recounted. I knew that some day this would come to an end. Sure enough, one fine Sunday morning I scanned the paper and came across a white male/Asian female pairing—still the most "acceptable" form of interracial coupling and the safest way to test the waters. I figured the real shocker must be right around the corner. Week after week I checked the paper and finally there it was: a black man and a blonde love match—albeit the blonde was scrawny and unattractive. Of note is that the subject of race was never brought up. The picture alone spoke volumes. While careers, long-distance romance, previous marriages and other stumbling blocks were touched on, the biggest stumbling block of all was never mentioned. If it had been, it might have implied something was "controversial" about such a pairing.

•I recently received an unsolicited health magazine in the mail. As I flipped through it, all of a sudden I wasn't feeling so healthy. In an article about exercises for pregnant women, there was a white woman, obviously expecting, working out with her body-builder hubby, who was, you guessed it, on the shady side of the racial spectrum. Again no mention of race.

•Killing time at a bookstore during lunch hour, I leafed through a remaindered book, some sort of New

Age health manual. I came to a section on massage and found myself being rubbed the wrong way. There was a series of pictures of an attractive white woman giving a loving massage (and getting one) from her significant other, who just happened to be. . .right again!

•In the movie, *Palmetto*, Woody Harrelson's girlfriend has a sister who just happens to be married to a black cop. So far as the plot goes, there was no compelling reason whatever for this arrangement. If the cop were white, the story would not be affected one whit.

•Another movie, *U.S. Marshals*, features the ebony-colored Wesley Snipes as a fugitive. His girlfriend is a beautiful European (maybe French or Italian). But wait! It's a double feature! Hero Tommy Lee Jones has a Latina girlfriend. Again, absolutely no narrative significance to these interracial couplings. No editorial comments are made about them. They have absolutely nothing to do with the plot!

Today interracial mating is deemed so natural it isn't even worthy of comment. In all of the above examples, there are no overt political agendas, no appeals for interracial harmony, no pleas for egalitarianism. It's just part of the woodwork. After all, folks, what's the big deal? Hey, this is the 90s, how could anybody be offended by that? Get with it, white man! Non-traditional casting has been big in the theater for years. You're not a bigot, are you?

The truth is, the film bosses know exactly what they're doing. Take it from someone who used to work in advertising and knows that the details of every print ad and TV commercial are scrutinized with the utmost care. The people who make these selections know there are some groups they dare not offend. The Chosen, of course, are at the top of the list. The Negro is not far behind. Surprisingly the Chinese are beginning to make a strong showing, as can be surmised from their flicks.

•The latest James Bond film, *Tomorrow Never Dies*, pairs off the usually libidinous British agent with a Chinese Kung Fu femme. Unlike previous Bond films, there is no bedroom scene. All they do is warm up the love stuff a little at the end.

•The mirror image of this occurs in *The Replacement Killers*, in which Hong Kong action hero Chow-Yun Fat is paired off with American actress Mira Sorvino. The two leads shoot, gouge and punch their way through armies of bad guys, though when the film ends the two go their separate ways.

•In *Mr. Nice Guy*, the latest Jackie Chan opus, although the acrobatic Sinoid hero has access to an Aussie redhead and a Negress with a British accent, his heart belongs to his Chinese girlfriend.

Sorry, no interracial love connection. Why? Might be offensive to the Asians. In films made for a global marketplace, it's bad business to alienate a racially conscious audience, if it's sizable enough. Remember, the Red Chinese blew a gasket because of Martin Scorsese's critical portrayal of them in *Kundun*, the recent movie about the Dalai Lama. Good thing he didn't include any interracial sex scenes or the movie might still be in the can.

The bottom line also plays a big part in the racial selection of models for advertisements. Melanoids are certainly no strangers to TV commercials. As long as motivational research supports the proposition that blacks favor products in which they see themselves pictured, they are not likely to disappear. Note, however, that you do not see interracial couples debating the merits of Fab, hoisting Miller Lites, or tooling down the road in a Jeep Cherokee. Here they're not pitching a social message; they're trying to sell you a product. Gotta believe that all those tests they run pertaining to pupil dilation, blood pressure and galvanic skin response prove that viewers get bad vibes from viewing interracial couples and tune out the commercial message. For that same reason, you will never see a faggy pitchman or dykey spokeswoman in a commercial. When it's a matter of sales, big business, ad agencies and casting directors can be very unpolitically correct.

So once again, the eternal question: short of an across-the-board boycott, what's to be done? Canceling subscriptions to offensive magazines is one step. Since mainstream magazines exist largely as vehicles for ads, there is no great loss there. For the same reason, you should avoid your daily newspaper. Not a bad idea, now that most dailies are selling for 50 cents and Sunday papers are up to as much as \$1.50. At \$4.50 a week x 52 weeks, that's a saving of \$234.00! Unfortunately the daily paper is still the best source for movie times and features, and the moviegoing habit is tough to break.

When I see a preview for a film and there is an obvious antiwhite theme, I make a mental note to stay away. Few people are going to stumble into a showing of *Amistad* without being aware of the subject matter. But when there's no warning, when the racial content is embedded in the background of a thriller or a comedy, what can you do? You've already bought your ticket. You're comfortable. Then along comes an offending scene that ruins the movie and maybe your entire day. Do you really think it's a good idea to go back to the box

office and honestly tell them why you want your money back? I suspect contemporary cinema moguls have realized that the best way to slip their message across is in the mass-market movies that generally eschew sociopolitical messages. A cinematic sucker punch, as it were.

In combating this growing trend, we might do well to note how other special interest groups deal with offensive material. I used to snicker when I came across polemical movie reviews. They seemed so persnickety. Leftists, Christians, feminists—even pedophiles—review movies according to their own special tastes and by drawing attention to scenes pertinent to their agendas. For that reason I would invite all Instauration readers to volunteer information about their outings at the movies. Given the lead time between the release of a movie and publication, it may not save us grief at the movie theater, but at least it'll give us fair warning when it comes to video rentals.

I'd like to believe that making a little bit of difference in the corporate balance sheets would have some effect. Maybe that's just wishful thinking on my part. But I do know that revenue or lack of same is one of the few things that the Semitic solons of Hollywood will respond to.

JUDSON HAMMOND

A PRAYER FOR WAR

In peacetime the militant man wars with himself.

—Nietzsche

You who drew well each fiber in the heart
Each vein, each vanity in the mind of all
You had blown fire on the smug and cool
And dead of soul, and laughed. My god you laughed
Sir, are you dead? Has some weird ailment shut your nose and eyes
Or are you old and drowsy, bored stiff with praise and needs

I and my kin, old toad, can crack your arid dreams
We the weapon, powder and pin, the force
To strike, to melt the bloated pretense down
To its base clay, beloved earth
We smoulder while the markets rage
The lambs wax plump and dance with plagues
Freak diversions, ennui of beasts
Sir, rise from this death and breathe out winds
That sweep, that cleanse, the wild settling of scores
And scars to rightly smite this desert land

We are the powder miserably dry
Bloodied on our own swords, and just one plea
Give us our war, a chaos for the fat
Lest age corrode our rage, and we too crawl to sleep

V.O.

CEOs' Charity Aids Leftists

There is a bill in Congress that would force publicly held companies to disclose how much money they give to "charities." Introduced by Representative Bill Gillmor (R-OH), the bill has drawn a hurricane of protest from the affected parties, namely the donating corporations and the recipients of the "charitable" giving.

The protesters argue that having to disclose who is receiving this money would have a "chilling effect" on corporate hand-outs, a claim that is unquestionably true. The corporations argue that the law would add to their costs and would lead to special interest groups targeting them for donations.

What is really going on here? Is the March of Dimes worried that General Motors and Coca-Cola are going to cut them off without a cent? Is the American Cancer Society concerned that research will come to a screeching halt as funding dries up because Xerox and IBM have to confess that they are throwing wads of cash at these sinister eleemosynary bowl operations?

For a long time now, corporate America has been the most important source of funding for a huge number of minority, liberal, alien anti-Majority think tanks, pres-

sure groups, agit-proppers and political action groups. Fantastic sums of shareholders money have been funneled to radical leftists and minority con men, and all of it has been used, in one way or another, against the interests of the American Majority. If forced to publish the figures on how much they are giving and to whom, CEOs would look like they had been in an omelet fight.

Why do the big corporations do this? Part of it is laziness. Busy execs simply don't have the time or inclination to investigate all of the greasy palms clutching at their \$1,000 suits. It is easier to pay the beggars off in the hope that they will quit bothering them. Another important factor is the placing of liberal extremists in "community relations" positions. These people, almost all minority group members or Hillary Clinton clones, work like busy beavers to secure funding for their pet projects.

CEOs desperate to ensure that their companies have a "good public image" listen to these sly serpents and sign off on their recommendations without comment. A number of CEOs, dingbats and airheads all, actually believe in some of these "causes" and willingly and with malice

aforethought campaign for a large hunk of money that belong to the shareholders.

Rep. Gillmor, the bill's sponsor, and others like him, make a convincing argument that a publicly owned company has no business making charitable contributions to anybody. The shareholders should be given the money and they can decide where they want to spread it around, if there is any to spread around. This is anathema to liberals. They know perfectly well that once the American people get their hands on the money they and their friends won't see a penny of it. Ma and Pa Kettle would never give money to gay rights groups, Hispanic racists and "civil rights" activists.

All Instaurationists should strongly support this bill. I am always reluctant to urge people to "write their Congressmen," as it's usually a complete waste of time. This is different. For purely selfish reasons the Republicans might well pass such a law, which can only hurt our enemies.

You may be certain that no big corporation is donating heavy dollops of the green stuff to this magazine or any other group that is vaguely pro-Majority. We have nothing to lose. They have plenty.

N.B. FORREST

Affirmative Action Outrageousness

In the government office where I work, affirmative action has all but destroyed organizational integrity. One female manager, promoted throughout her career strictly on the basis of her sex, extends her sexual bias even to refusing to dock her female employees for sick leave when they stay home for weeks at a time. Despite such outrages, Billy Clinton is reported to be readying another assault on the federal civil service's merit promotion program.

At the bottom of all the sociological folderol over affirmative action is the hypothesis that America's racial outsiders were once denied equity in hiring and promotion because the boss's son always got the cushy job. Possibly so. But there was only one boss's son. And there are 50 million minorities and 100 million

more women clamoring for their personal slurp at the trough of privilege.

To their credit, some minority members reject affirmative action: elderly blacks who remember the days (before affirmative action) when race relations were better. Many Latino small businessmen also remember those times. To Asians the very idea of affirmative action is at once comical and revolting.

A friend of mine who is the personnel administrator of a large Japanese electronics company tells me that nobody from outside the yellow-skin orbit is promoted to senior management. American employees who don't like these terms are canned.

In their candid moments the Japs justify this practice by insisting that only they know about production, productivity and profits in the face of the odd direction

that America has taken in the racial and sexual gamesmanship.

The Senate has given the nation five more years of 10% minority set-asides in the catch-all transportation funding bill that guarantees an endless army of incompetent blacks and browns the right to hold up the nation for "their cut of the action." Anyone familiar with the way such racial set-asides work knows that minorities only participate by lending their dark faces in a legalistic ruse that allows clever whites with whom they are affiliated (more often than not the Chosen) to get the contracts. For this racial rental agreement, the taxpayer pays the freight, the minority in question takes home something for nothing and liberals feel that they have done something "just."

I.H.

Five Brothers Die Simultaneously in FDR's War

Not long ago I happened to read an article about the five Sullivan brothers who were killed in November 1942, when their destroyer was sunk off Guadalcanal. About halfway through the account, a terrible thought intruded into my consciousness. Consider the following:

- *The Sullivans were a typical rural Iowa family.*

- *Iowa, then as now, was one of the whitest spots on the North American continent and therefore fairly liberal (i.e., Democratic).*

- *Being liberal, Iowa almost certainly went for Franklin Roosevelt in the 1940 election, the one in which "Fair & Square Franklin" vowed never to send young American men like the Sullivans off "to fight in any foreign wars!" Behind the scenes, of course, Roosevelt was abrogating his solemn, isolationist vows, taken in March 1937, at his second inaugural,*

by feverish machinations which would



Jaunty warmonger

ensure America's entry into WWII on the Allied side.

- *Being Irish Catholics as well as Iowans, the Sullivans almost certainly voted Democratic in November 1940.*

The conclusion to be drawn from the above is as terrible as it is inescapable. In November 1940, the Sullivan parents surely cast their votes for the violent deaths of their own five sons, deaths which came exactly two years after Roosevelt's 1940 election victory. Some or all of the five young men may actually have voted for their own demise at that time.

Considering that millions of Majority members voted similarly, can we really feel remorse for Northern European people who act so blindly? Dare we hope for the future of a people who allow themselves to be manipulated by a media, whose owners are violently obsessed with promoting their own interests?

782

The Litigation Racket

The lawyers are back on the front pages. Their incredible greed to get their grubby hands on billions of dollars in fees from the tobacco settlements have drawn the attention of the aware public, whose ranks seem to dwindle with each passing year.

The numbers involved are enormous. In the Florida case they're talking of awards up to \$15 billion, with pettifoggers claiming up to a third of whatever amount is decided upon. Each dollar paid to the shysters is a dollar subtracted from the people injured by tobacco.

These tobacco fees, however, are just the tip of the iceberg in the hundreds of billions of dollars citizens have to pay these barristers. Virtually every item you buy has an extra, invisible price tag to cover the cost of liability insurance the company must have to protect itself. I have read that up to 80% of the cost of a football helmet can be allocated to lawyer-inspired liability insurance.

Don't forget that the President, governors, Congressmen, state legislators and judges are for the most part lawyers. They

enact and enforce laws designed strictly for the purpose of screwing the public.

The great financial breakthrough—a mammoth one—was the connivers' doing away with the statute of sovereign immunity. Some years ago a citizen could not sue a government agency because it would be the equivalent of suing the taxpayers. When the concept of sovereign immunity was overturned, the lawyers had the keys to the ultimate deep pockets, those belonging to taxpayers. Take the Rodney King lawsuit in Los Angeles. Taxpayers did not beat King, but they were stuck for millions of dollars, the lion's share of which went to King's lawyers.


One of the worst aspects of our recurrent legal nightmare is the contingency fee arrangement where the lawyer takes an injury case for a percentage, usually one third plus expenses, of any award made to the injured party. The lawyers, some of them electronic ambulance chasers who pitch their wares on TV, first convince the alleged victims they have been wronged, then sign them up to shake

down insurance companies. If it goes to court the lawyers select poor, ignorant jurors who are easily convinced to "stick it to the rich corporations and insurance companies." Some seven-figure awards frequently border on the bizarre.

Another source of ill-gotten gains for the attorneys is the now infamous class action suit, which is pure extortion. A group of people is supposedly wronged by a large corporation. The lawyers then sue the corporation on the group's behalf. Restaurant chains are a favorite target. If the suit is successful, the claimants get a few thousand dollars at most, while the lawyers take their traditional third and more from the TOTAL settlement. They get millions, even tens of millions, for their cut. One lawyer in the asbestos class action suit had enough money to bid \$100 million for the Tampa Bay football team.

A highly regarded and respected lawyer friend of my generation told me that when he went into the law it was a profession. Now, he says, "It's strictly a racket without any merit to justify itself."

323



Alternative to a Mini-Boerestaat

With regard to Instauration's news item about South Africa (Feb. 1998, p. 27), there have been many attempts on the part of Boers to found various "mini-republics" as a solution to their impasse. Some conservative parties and rightist movements here have done the same. At least 25 different maps have been drawn for various "Boer states" over the years. While the intent is meritorious, the effect is absolutely nil.

All British governments have supported the money power. An egregious example was the British declaration of war against the Orange Free State and other Boer areas at the behest of Cecil Rhodes, who was financed by his Oxford pal, Lord Rothschild. In Britain, Tory and Labour governments alike have striven to undermine white and especially Afrikaner hegemony in South Africa.

It is no secret that the Mandela government is simply a pawn of the Brits and Americans. To believe that the wily Mandela or his masters would ever consider allowing a *Boerestaat* is naive in the extreme. Nor would the British government dream of paying reparations for the 26,000 deaths (4,000 women and 22,000 children) in British concentration camps during the Anglo-Boer War. We, their descendants, don't have enough melanin.

The concept of a minuscule Boer Republic is symptomatic of an Old Testament-type withdrawal syndrome. No such mini-state in the South African arena has a hope of survival, since it would be surrounded by hostile black tribes. The massive theft of stock, where whole herds are rustled at a time, and the murder of white farmers on the scale now perpetrated by tribesmen of the "independent" ethnostate of Lesotho, have become a fact of life. The entire economy of such a state would have to go into protecting its land-locked borders and a possible black-sanctioned "corridor to the sea." There is no guarantee it would be a "whites only" state, forbidden by South Africa's new constitution, or that whites in other parts of South Africa would be attracted enough to go and live there.

Many sub-strategies on the part of whites—tax evasion, an *Atlas Shrugged* withdrawal and the spontaneous crystalization of a system-within-a-system—are already happening. In addition groups of intellectuals are adopting the strategy of the French New Right. The best of the rightist parties here is increasing its support base. All grist to the mill.

The main thrust of intelligent South Africans is a striving towards regaining a white South Africa with its former borders and outlets to the sea: a truly viable *volkstaat*. The Mandela regime cannot last much longer. The economy, social welfare, education, law and order, criminal justice and defense are all in crisis. The taxman cannot collect taxes. The police and courts cannot get convictions of dangerous criminals. Over 100 municipalities are bankrupt. Race conflict pervades many race-mixed schools. Scholars riot and kidnap school inspectors and headmasters. Schools are trashed. Drug and gang warfare have become unmanageable. The crime rate skyrockets with daily murders of farmers, policemen and tourists. Affirmative action, corruption and industrial unrest are the order of the day. Strikes in essential services and massive robberies guarantee economic collapse.

Could any government endure under these circumstances? Nelson Mandela's main claim to fame is that he has been able to hold together a bag of disparate parties and movements, each with its own agenda. When he retires as head of state later this year, the stressed-out Thabo Mbeki, his successor, will be unable to keep a grip on these mutually antipathetic elements. The gov-

ernment will implode and others (India and China come to mind) will be eyeing the vacuum. Then may come the true confrontation.

Those with a vision of another kind of future South Africa are quite determined that it will be a genuine ethnostate, with blacks repatriated to their traditional ethnic homelands (with compensation for jobs lost and help and training to set up viable economies). They will be free to sink or swim according to the choices they make. Illegals will be sent packing. Blacks will no longer be the white man's burden that they are today, with a few million whites bearing the main tax burden for close to 60 million blacks, at least half of whom are foreign illegals, some from as far away as Nigeria.

The main difference between this vision and the separate development policy of Dr. Verwoerd is that his policy was not completed. There were too many South Africans intent on keeping their cheap black labour, which slowed down the return to the homelands. We now see the mortal danger. An ethnostate simply has to provide its own labour. In the short term, immigration will be welcomed from racially compatible white states abroad. In the medium term a higher South African birthrate will have to be encouraged.

Inevitably some nervous souls will point to the "fear" of renewed sanctions and pressures on the scale we were subjected to before the treasonable capitulation in 1994. However, with the results of that capitulation staring us in the face, including cultural suicide, we have indeed experienced the alternative to apartheid!

Nearly every white South African male over the age of 26 is a trained soldier, hardened in warfare conditions—a legacy of the two-year army conscription policy of former governments. Probably half of the whites today own and are trained in the use of (registered) hand weapons. They will never surrender these, even if ordered to by the present regime. Up to now the white South African, especially the Afrikaner, has been inclined to trust his political and church leaders. Because of the treachery perpetrated by so many of these leaders, this is ancient history. Other factors, too numerous to list here, can be added to the plus side of what is at present simply widescale passive resistance.

White South Africa will not, indeed *cannot*, accept the travesty called the New South Africa. But a Mini-*Boerestaat* is not the way to go.


SOUTH AFRICAN RACIAL NATIONALIST

Lorden Critiqued

I applaud your selection of Ted Turner as Majority Renegade of the Year. I nominate Bill Gates as a prime candidate for this year.

Peter Lorden's article is, alas, not without backhanded praise of Goldhagen for what Lorden terms a "well-documented" presentation "beyond question" of the "many massacres of Jews" and the complicity of ordinary Germans in what Lorden uncritically terms the "Holocaust." Although he at least dubs the Six Million figure "questionable," he gives Goldhagen's venomous screed a veneer of respectability it does not deserve.

Lorden's article does bring out some trenchant points, but his libertarian bias is apparent in his reference to the "two tyrannies," as if that vast Stalinist Gulag known as the Soviet Union, in all its unspeakable drabness and horror, was in any way comparable to the enormously popular regime of Adolf Hitler, whose social and economic achievements were conceded even by his en-



emies. Regardless of anti-Christian comments by various Nazi officials, the Catholic and Evangelical churches did better in Germany under National Socialism than in the agnostic and atheistic Weimar era.

Lorden repeats the old saw about the affinity between Nazism and Bolshevism, which Hitler is supposed to have stated. But this spurious quotation originated in the fiction of Herman "Münchhausen" Raushning, whose ghostwritten rubbish was exposed for what it is by Swiss historian Wolfgang Haenel (see *Journal of Historical Review*, Fall 1983 and Winter 1985).

Lorden tries to minimize the Jewish role in both the Versailles diktat and the Bolshevik (as opposed to the Menshevik) Revolution. As to Versailles, Dr. E.J. Dillon, a participant in the conference, writes in his *The Inside Story of the Peace Conference* (1920) that Jewish "advisers" to the various Allied "statesmen" dominated the proceedings, Ron Chernow's assertions about the Warburgs notwithstanding.

As for the Jewish role in the Bolshevik incubus, Lenin was financed in exile by the Swedish-Jewish banker Olaf Aschberg through the latter's agent, Alexander Helphand (Israel Parvus), and the famed "sealed train" could only have passed through Germany with the knowledge and consent of the head of German Intelligence, none other than Max Warburg! Regarding Jacob Schiff, the *New York Journal-American* (Feb. 3, 1949) points out, "Today it is estimated even by Jacob's grandson, John Schiff, that the old man sank about \$20 million for the final triumph of Bolshevism in Russia."

Mr. Lorden, Jewish plutocrats are canny enough to wear many hats and to have their fingers in many pies!

900

Nothing Great About Us

Zip 347 has some thoughts on "this once great nation." I can't comment on "great nation" since I haven't seen one. Potentialities aren't actualities. I must admit that I would not recognize a great nation if I ran into one, but that's another matter. In my opinion, great nations do not engage in stupid and wasteful world wars. The real test is what kind of environment young people find before the age of 30.

785

Hypothetical Hammond

I read Judson Hammond with interest, but he does indulge in some intriguing "what ifs." Unfortunately "what ifs" are about what never was. That doesn't go far.

520

Forrest Clarifies His Americanism

A reader recently wrote in complaining about the fact that N.B. Forrest had said that, despite his devotion to his own region and people (the South and Southerners), he would always put America first, all Americans should. The reader's comment, "Say it ain't so, N.B."

O.K., it ain't so. At least it ain't what I think you were thinking. The reader was disturbed at the idea that Forrest would pledge his loyalty to the multiracial stew of 1998 America. If that was his simple answer, the reader would have good reason to be appalled. N.B. Forrest descending to the level of a weeping, moaning Promise Keeper, a mouth-breathing Oprah Winfrey fan, a wide-bottomed couch potato munching Cheetos and cheering on Dennis Rodman, a high school diversity and multicultural ed-

ucation counselor. . .Stop! Stop! It is too gruesome for words!

Have no fear, dear reader. As an Instaurationist, you should know that when Forrest uses the term "America" or "Americans," as he did in that particular article, he is referring only and exclusively to Majority America and Majority Americans.

Taking the broad view, Forrest supports the fight of our people wherever they are: Europe, South Africa, South America, Australia and so on. For the time being, however, we are fighting our battles mostly in our own backyards, as much as we might sympathize with our brothers elsewhere. The unpleasant fact is that we have damn little strength to spare for them, as they have little to spare for us at this point in history. We are on our own until we can build a solid base somewhere that can pull us together in a struggle for final victory.

Listen, folks. America is our country. Our ancestors built it. If we are to survive, we must take it back, inch by inch. Never, ever forget that. And don't forget who you are. We bear the proudest title in the history of the world, "American." Nobody is going to take it away from us.

N.B. FORREST

About the Forest Service

In regard to Mr. Fitzrobert's cautionary message (March 1998), I am glad that someone has pointed out that not all federal employees are, or even plan to be, parasites.

When I first started working for the U.S. Forest Service back in 1964 it was one of the few departments that seemed to be concerned with getting the work done and still making a profit. Although we had to leave the compound at 7: 30 and did not return until 5: 30, we were only paid for an eight-hour day. If there was a forest fire, we were only paid straight time, not time and a half, for each hour over 40. When our work required us to camp out in remote areas for one or two weeks, we had to provide our own food, clothing and rain gear (in an area that had an average rainfall of over 90 inches per year).

I suppose the environmentalist will shudder, but at that time the Forest Service was implementing what was considered good silvicultural practices by the universities teaching forestry. It was not until the mid-70s that affirmative action started to get its clawhold on the FS administration and policies were directed more towards peoples' personal feelings rather than to the proper management of growing and caring for trees. It was then that quotas of women and minorities became the key goal, along with the ostracism of white male technicians, who comprised the mainstream crews of fire suppression, timber cruising and surveying. By the early 90s it was determined that women were more than 50% of the workforce and therefore should no longer be considered minorities. In the future only those who were black, Hispanic, Oriental or Native Americans would be considered for filling any vacancies. Obviously this had an extremely high impact on the general morale of the Service as a whole. The few times I ever saw an exception to this rule was when the only applicants were white and had college degrees.

I personally feel that our federal employment system has drastically changed in the past 25 years. It is now ruled by an incompetent spineless group of inefficient liberals trying to prove that they have heartfelt compassion for the underdogs. They receive awards, get their pictures in the Dept. of Agriculture's newsletter and a handshake from the President and Dan Glickman, the Jewish Secretary of Agriculture. There are also many others in the Service who work hard, accomplish worthy goals and take great pride in their efforts to do a good job. Unfortunately, unless they have done something to assist minorities up the ladder or to save an endangered specie, you will never hear about them.

972



Clinton Fiddle-Faddle

• Journalist Jeffrey Goldberg writes: "There has been a fair amount of subterranean Jew-talk about the Lewinsky case, Jew-talk being the behind-closed-doors assessment of damage done to the Jewish people by errant members of the tribe." Goldberg goes on: "American-Jewish culture is such that pulpit rabbis, if they enjoy being employed, can only speak in the most diplomatic terms about the moral failings of their congregants. This is one of the great failings of American Judaism." Christian preachers constantly ram their congregants' sins into their consciences, but Jewish religious leaders can't criticize their own flock for fear of losing their jobs!

• On his trip to Africa, Clinton received communion at a Catholic mass, although a non-Catholic like the President is not, according to church law, supposed to nibble a wafer or sip wine in that time-honored sacrament.

• Clinton's cringing comments in Uganda about America's role in the slave trade left a great deal to be desired. He made no mention of the African chieftains who sold their own people to Arab and black slavers. Notably absent was any remark by Clinton about the reign of terror Uganda experienced under Idi Amin, who is credited with having killed 500,000 of his countrymen.

• Basically *l'affaire Lewinsky* is much ado about nothing, a tempest in a teapot, though it's ironic that no one since Queen Esther has done more for Jewry than Clinton. Yet it is a Jewess who is putting his job in peril.

• Schmuck is one of the ugliest words in the ugly language of Yiddish, a linguistic vulgarization of German. It is the salutation Monica Lewinsky used in her gooey correspondence with the President. When a pushy Beverly Hills Jewess addresses the President of the U.S., "Dear Schmuck," what does this say about the addressee? Knowing Clinton's adulation of anything and everything Semitic, he may actually take Monica's sobriquet as a compliment.

• Most Jews have stuck by Clinton in his time of troubles, one prime reason being that Lewinsky is a member of the Tribe. But there have been a few defecations—columnists Abe Rosenthal and William Safire, to name two. The most serious falling out so far has been caused by Clinton's failure to invade Iraq. The war-

mongering crowd almost but not quite had the high-tech armada moving north.

• Watch the Jewish adulation of Hillary fade into the woodwork, now that she has called for the establishment of a Palestinian state.

Good Man Quits

The transformation of the U.S. Armed Forces into a multicultural zoo is proceeding apace under the government's race and sex laws. In his farewell speech upon retiring from the Coast Guard after 32 years of service, Capt. Paul J. Prokop gave as his reasons for quitting:

Unfortunately our commandant is accelerating us head-long down the path of political correctness. Primary consideration in selecting officers for assignments and promotion are now gender and race. . . . I have come to realize that I am far out of step with my superiors and can no longer support them or this organization that I value and love.

Who Will Be Monitored?

The Wolf-Specter Bill now being considered would create a special State Dept. office to monitor religious persecution. Abe Foxman of the ADL has problems with it. "It needs a more gradual approach. When you're trying to change people's hearts and minds you've got to give them more mobility." Abe, Abe. We all know how much maneuvering room you give non-Jews in this country. One automatically speculates as to how Christian proselytizing in Israel will be handled by these would-be monitors. Last year Israeli rabbi Ovadiah Yosef held a public bonfire of Christian missionary tracts, loudly calling on the post office to treat them as if they were pornography.

One After Another

Whenever there is an opening in the higher reaches of government, one automatically wonders what member of what minority Clinton will choose to fill it. Now that budget chief Franklin Raines has left to become chairman of the Federal National Mortgage Assn., where he will be making more than \$500,000 a year, who will take his place? Following the rule that only a minority can replace a minority, Raines's deputy, Jack Lew, a dark, Orthodox Jew and onetime Tip O'Neill gofer, got the nod. One of Lew's main claims to fame has been his assidu-

ous efforts to restore benefits to legal immigrants.

Crime Replay?

Joseph Maberry was convicted of raping at knife-point a Wesleyan coed back in 1989. Imagine the consternation of today's students when they saw the same man stalking the dormitory halls again. He had been released late last year after serving a nine-year sentence. College officials notified 2,700 undergraduate students and 800 faculty and staff members by e-mail of the unwelcome visitor who now lives with his mother only one block from campus. Despite Wesleyan's "safety first" campaign, the Connecticut Civil Liberties Union was very bitter that the name and address of the rapist had been publicized.

Hoax of the Month

University of North Carolina hoopster Makhtar Ndiaye, a Senegalese, suddenly burst forth with the news that University of Utah basketballer Britton Johnsen, a white, had called him a "nigger." (Instauration is one of the few publications that dares spell out the word.) As could well be imagined, the press blew this up into a big deal, leaving the routine impression that an innocent black had been the subject of a vile, racist taunt. It took the media two days to catch up with the real story—that Ndiaye had made the whole thing up. Johnsen, branded a racist and facing the destruction of his career, felt a little better when the truth came out—but not much better.

Liar Loses in Court

Because a Princeton official passed on an unfavorable report about Rommel Nobay, of Kenyan heritage, he had difficulty getting admitted to medical school. The fact was that on his various applications he had lied about the date of his birth, about his race and about his academic achievements. Instead of apologizing for his prevarications, Nobay had the chutzpah to sue Princeton for invasion of privacy, a suit that was eventually thrown out by a disgusted judge.

Pollardists Up the Pressure

The "Free Pollard" movement is gathering steam. White House mail, encouraged by Al Gore, is full of semi-demanding, semi-begging pleas to free the Jewish superspy so he can move to Israel where a hero's welcome awaits. At present Pollard's cell in a North Carolina prison is being turned into a tourist attraction where high-and-mighty Israelis have been congregating




for the last year or so. Among the pilgrims: Yuli Edelstein, Israel's Minister for Absorption; Yaakov Ne'eman, Finance Minister; Limor Livnat, Communications Minister; plus the former Chief Sephardic Rabbi; etc., etc.

Here we have our great Middle Eastern "ally" dispatching some of its highest dignitaries to meet and schmooze with a man who has stolen some of America's top military secrets, including nuclear ones, and handed them over to a rogue country that sooner or later will be nuking one or more of its neighbors. Whereas Pollard practically has the run of his prison, Mordechai Vanunu wastes away in solitary confinement in a cage-size Israeli prison cell. One spy steals secrets from a so-called friend; the other reveals Israel's vast and menacing nuclear capabilities to the world. The bet is that Pollard will get out long before Vanunu.

Bush Dynast Panders

Texas governor George W. Bush, pushing hard for the G.O.P. presidential nomination, is going overboard in his pandering to Hispanics. Greeting leaders of the Re-



His favorite color is brown

publican National Convention Site Selection Team in San Antonio, Bush's effusive praise for intrusive brown brethren from south of the border bordered on the emetic. Hoping to send "a strong message" to the party and the country about these "marvelous, flag-loving citizens," Bush said that the Alamo City is a place where "Hispanics and Anglos coexist and flourish." He griped that all too often the G.O.P. was "accused of being unthought-

ful and insensitive to Hispanics." Translated, this means the Republican Party has a poor record for falling all over itself where Hispanics are concerned. Unlike the Democrats whose harvesting of votes by shoveling out mountains of lucre to both legals and illegals from Mexico—money stolen from the pockets of white working folks—the Republicans have tried to hold the line on minority giveaways. They also have been quite reticent about allowing too many people of color into the upper echelons of the party.

Bush, a Rockefeller Republican if ever there was one, would sell out his own race in exchange for a swivel chair in the Oval Office. His prating about Hispanics and Anglos "co-existing" is right on the mark, since closet liberals, like their more visible cohorts, envision a time when not just white Texans but whites in such places as Birch Lake (MN), Cedar Rapids (IA), and Elk Run (MT) will be equally blessed with the opportunity to live cheek by jowl with brown Latinos.

A few more decades of such "cultural enrichment" and the country of George Washington will be indistinguishable from that of Benito Juarez.

782

Old-Timey Flick

Being sorely pressed for entertainment one night, I happened to watch an old "B" western on the late, late show. Entitled *Northwest Stampede*, it starred one-time Warners ingénue Joan Leslie as a lively ranch "foreperson," who competes against her handsome employer in a Canadian rodeo. The paper-thin plot was filmed against the background of the Alberta 1948 Calgary Stampede. It was this juxtaposition that got my attention. In all the color shots of the events—the parade, the fairgrounds, the rodeo itself—I could see nothing but good-looking people of Northern European descent. It was like some marvelous time capsule.

By chance, a few days later another TV channel aired a program about Alberta that showed a recent Calgary Stampede. Even allowing for Canada's nation-destroying immigration program, the contrast was stunning. Instead of row upon row of white spectators happily enjoying a uniquely white-created entertainment spectacular, the stands and grounds were filled with every race imaginable—browns, yellows, blacks, dark whites—sporting saris, turbans, burnouses, sandals, forehead

caste dots, sombreros, Carib straw hats, calypso clam-digger pants and Sikh beards.

Good grief! Had it really changed that much in only 50 years? From bliss to Babylon in half a century!

782

Ivan Not So Terrible

How can Jews ever explain what they did to John Demjanjuk, sentenced to death in Israel after being accused of killing Jews in WWII? Nazi hunters in Washington deliberately withheld evidence that might have cleared Demjanjuk from the very start. Instead they kept mum as he was taken from his home in Cleveland and transported to Israel, a country which didn't even exist at the time he was supposed to have committed his crimes. Once he was in the Zionist state, he was subjected to an excruciating, drawn-out trial that condemned him to death. Finally because of a scarcity of credible evidence the Israeli Supreme Court had no choice but to free him. After seven years in an Israeli jail, he was allowed to return home to the U.S. His American citizenship was not restored to him until a few months ago.

Jews are expert in getting reparations for crimes against them. They are less adept in paying reparations to people they have wronged. If Demjanjuk sent the World Jewish Congress, Israel or the U.S. Justice Dept. a bill for \$5 or \$10 million, does anyone think he would ever see one cent of it?

Tiger Counts, Winners Don't

More press coverage was devoted to Tiger Woods in the recent Masters golf tournament than to those who trounced him. Tiger has won only one major event since the Western Open last July. In this year's Masters he tied for eighth place. In golf these days, if you have the right skin color, you win even when you lose.

Buried in Silence

I was surprised to see in a book remainders catalog one of Paul Theroux's latest travel books, *Pillars of Hercules*. Granted his tone has become increasingly sour lately, he still manages to capture other cultures in all their dirt and chaos. Buying the book, I realized when I reached the section on Israel why it had been consigned to the bargain bin. Theroux dumps all over our gallant "brethren," from the extravagant aid, rude citizenry, treatment of Palestinians, right down to the lousy architecture and what passes for an art collection in their museums. Will Theroux finally learn his lesson on this one?



High Culture

•As the U.S. sinks further into barbarism, it is no surprise that barbaric practices such as body piercing are becoming ever more popular. Knoxvilleian Jason Price, self-dubbed a "Master Body Piercer," is happy to pierce ears, lips, navels, noses, nipples, tongues and genitals for a modest fee, provided his human pin cushion is 16 or over.

•What's next for New York City? A Museum of Sex. Daniel Gluck is teaming up with Alison Maddex, known for her vast collection of phallic art, to build a museum "to run the whole gamut of understanding culture through sex." Not exactly an appropriate enterprise for the "People of the Book."

•In the State University of New York at New Paltz, "performance artist" Shelly Mars staged a homo S&M spectacle which drew shrieks from the Chosen. A character dressed in Hasidic garb and side curls is clambered upon and beaten by Ms. Mars, attired only in her gotchies. Then the Hasid drops his trousers and is simulation-sodomized in a brutal manner by another character, who simultaneously horsewhips his assaulter. The audience laughed and cheered. Local rabbi Eliezer Halevi squawked, "Freedom of speech does not allow lesbians to start a Holocaust in a crowded SUNY theater!" Ms. Mars, in case there is any doubt, just happens to be a Jewess.

Open Door Stays Open

The Sierra Club should be one of the few remaining bulwarks against immigration, which is destroying the environment it is dedicated to protect. Sadly, a majority of members voted 3 to 2 not to stand up against the alien invaders. Since only 72,000 of the 550,000 members of the club voted, it could be assumed the anti-immigrationists were much more numerous than the media let on. The majority of non-voters were not necessarily pro-immigration, but were probably too lazy or too intimidated to make their views known. Perhaps when large areas of this country sink into Third Worldism, these Majority layabouts will get off their duffs and turn the Sierra Club around. But by then it may be too late.

The Greater Threat

No white pol has the *cajones* to criticize Julian Bond, the new head of the NAACP, who seems to specialize in praising the

ADL and downsizing Louis Farrakhan. Sitting beside Bond at a recent high-level NAACP meeting was Abe Foxman, Jewish racist par excellence, who smiled broadly when Bond accused the Nation of Islam of anti-Semitism. Farrakhan, of course, is no friend of the Majority. Neither is Foxman. Of the two, Foxman's spy gang is doing us the most harm.

Charlotte in Limbo

Busy destroying the American criminal justice system (the O.J. trial is a black mark in the history of jurisprudence), Negro groups are now going after art. Some folks wanted to erect a statue to Queen Charlotte, wife of Charles II, who gave her name to the borough of Queens in Long Guyland. Some \$2 million was raised before nosy blacks found out what was going on. Without any proof, they claimed that the Queen's fortune derived largely from the slave trade. The plan was to erect a statue about half the size of the Statue of Liberty on a site looking across the East River towards the UN building. Until blacks started bitching, leading New York politicians had approved the project. Now everyone is having second thoughts.

Sleazemaster Indicted

Larry Flynt is without doubt the most repulsive culture-mulcher in the U.S. Nothing in print can match the repugnance aroused by flipping through the pages of his magazine, *Hustler*. That he was shot in the back and paralyzed from the waist



Guttersnipe Flynt

down was only part of the punishment he deserved for pursuing his fecal lifestyle. When a court overturned his conviction for obscenity in 1977, Flynt thought he was in the clear. A recent Jewish movie featured him as a sort of crusader for free speech. Thankfully the law is finally catching up with him. He and his brother have been charged with selling a hard-core video to a 14-year-old boy.

Anti-Racist Racists

Jefferson Fish, Robert Sussman and Jonathan Marks are three of the more prominent Jewish anthropologists who preach that there is no such thing as race. Since all three belong to the world's most ethnocentric population group, it's strange they should talk so ferociously against the mechanism that binds their Tribe so closely together. Or perhaps it isn't so strange. One of the rules of Jewish evolutionary strategy is to attack any manifestation of racial consciousness on the part of non-Jews for fear it might eventually lead to a bout of anti-Semitism.

Jewish Logic

In Sara Horowitz' new book, *Voicing the Void*, she criticizes the "false opposition" between history and literature, insisting that history alone cannot speak for Jews victimized by the Nazis. It is only by combining history with "personal and collective acts of imagination" on the part of survivors, she argues, that we can recover the experience of the Chosen martyrs. Verbal discourse, she insists, has lost the power to say what happened in history's darkest period. The Holocaust has contaminated even the names of places. Simple words like "hunger" and "thirst" cannot mean now what they meant in the ghetto or in the camps. We must be wary and work "against language," even go "beyond" language, lest it corrupt not only our sense of what happened, but also our responses to the catastrophe and its victims. Fundamentally what Ms. Horowitz wants to do is exhibit gory photos and works of "art" and spin wild survivor yarns. That is how she wants history to be treated in the future.

Press Freedom. Hah!

Nine students at a Miami high school were jailed overnight for printing a "homemade" magazine that had some unkind words for Jews and blacks. Freedom of speech be damned! Five of the nine were girls. Many arrestees were honor students. Three had Hispanic names. One was an Asian.

Harvard Miscegenator

Harvard history professor Stephan Thernstrom opined: "I think interracial and interreligious marriages are a good thing, and certainly the latter, because I'm involved in one." Wife Abigail is a Choseness who raptured over her girlhood school in New York City, where "only one of her teachers was not a member of the Communist Party." Abigail is the neocon who "talked back" to Clinton during one of his racial peace-pipe smokers.

Primate Watch



New Yorker **Richard Blau** kept a young Southeast Asian woman as a sex slave for ten days in his closet, chaining and handcuffing her, while submitting her to all kinds of indignities. After pleading guilty, Blau got 19 years to life. The crime was exposed when Blau offered a friend the opportunity to enjoy the sexual services provided by his prisoner.

#

Isador Gomez Medina and **Esteban Galvez Solano** were given 11 years each for staging auto accidents to collect insurance money.

#

The erstwhile Agriculture Secretary **Mike Espey's** chief of staff, **Ronald Blackley**, was handed 27 months for lying under oath. He denied that he had received \$22,000 from companies doing business with the government. The trial date for his boss, who received \$35,000 worth of favors from various firms, has not yet been set.

#

Randy Don Landin, an employee of Honeywell, strangled his girlfriend who also worked for the company. Out of jail after serving four years for murder, Landin applied for his old job at Honeywell and got it. Recent federal and local laws are making it difficult for business firms to refuse to hire criminals with long rap sheets.

#

Jane Fonda told a UN gathering that Georgia resembled a Third World country and had "starving people" living in "tar-paper shacks." It was somewhat ungrateful of her to say these words since husband Ted made most of his billion-dollar fortune from selling Atlanta-based CNN to Time Warner. **Ted Turner** long ago turned against his race. Is he now joining wife Hanoi Jane in turning against his native South?

#

The late Secretary of Commerce, **Ron Brown**, as colored as his name, probably escaped jail when he died in a plane crash in Bosnia two years ago. His onetime black paramour and

business partner, **Nolanda Hill**, in court on conspiracy and tax fraud, said Brown charged companies \$50,000 for seats on his trade missions. The money was supposed to have fattened the treasury of the Democratic Party. A living black Cabinet member, Secretary of Labor **Alexis Herman**, may be the target of an independent counsel's investigation for alleged influence peddling.

#

Linda Jones, onetime mistress of **Henry Cisneros**, former Secretary of HUD, has been sentenced to 3½ years for trying to hide the hush money she received from her former Latino lover.

#

Bella Abzug, a stentorian Jewish feminist and cultural bottom feeder, died in April. She was almost as ugly in appearance and manners as her spiteful colleague, **Betty Friedan**.

#

Halfway to Los Angeles on an American Airlines flight from New York, **Marcelle Becker**, widow of an insurance tycoon, got in a fight with a stewardess over seating arrangements for her eight-pound Maltese. At one point Becker threatened to break a window, open the hatch and destroy the plane and all on board. On the ground she was charged with "interference in the performance of duties" of a flight crew member. She in turn is suing for emotional distress and the later death of her dog, who was apparently traumatized by the high altitude skirmish.

#

A cohort of **Brooklyn rabbis** is being investigated for hiring goons to beat and torture Jews who won't let their wives get a *get* (religious divorce).

#

Jewish **Judge Mariana Pfaelzer** is continuing her war against California Proposition 187, which bans welfare benefits for illegal immigrants. First she declared it unconstitutional. Now she has ordered all state and local agencies in California to see that no

illegals are deprived of their monthly handouts. Prop 187 was passed overwhelmingly by California voters, but direct democracy has no effect on Madam Pfaelzer. She is the law, not a majority of California voters.

#

Bishop Henry Lyons, accused of stealing vast sums of money from his National Baptist Convention, has now hired a team of ten lawyers. Prominent among them is **F. Lee Bailey**, who has called his new client, "my kind of guy." Bailey himself has served a stint behind bars.

#

One hour after police were called to stop a riot in Seattle, **looters** were parading into a local shoe store and hauling away everything in sight. As in the L.A. riots, the police seemed quite uninterested.

#

The Washington Post weekly edition (Feb. 16) reviewed a book by **Nathan Miller** about the ten worst presidents. Not included was Democratic icon **JFK**, who made the White House into a semi-bordello, the star performer being a Mafia moll. Like **Hillary**, **Jackie O** didn't seem to mind her husband's obsessive philandering, even under her own nose.

#

Although she made a down payment to a supposed hitman to kill her husband and a lawyer, **Ruthann Aron**, a smalltime Maryland Jewish politico, was saved by a hung jury.

#

Another face has been removed from the crime gallery that runs Dodd County (Miami). **Commissioner Bruce Kaplan** had to resign his office after it was discovered he had made false financial reports. Kaplan was known for winning elections by calling his opponents "anti-Semites."

#

After having his \$32-million contract reduced to \$17.3 million and his one-year suspension reduced to seven months, black basketballer **Latrell Sprewell** hopped in his \$127,000 Mercedes and crashed into a Toyota Corolla, flipping it over and injuring its driver and passenger.

Talking Numbers

1.83 71 12 100.013 .03% 43 31425 7

30,000 of the boys in U.S. juvenile and other detention centers are likely to be raped each year.

#

More than 100 new kosher identification symbols appeared in food store aisles in 1997. Both Jewish and non-Jewish consumers greatly prefer kosher to non-kosher grub, thinking it is somehow "cleaner." At present there are 304 kosher symbols in the marketplace, each representing a different agency or a rabbi with the authority to grant kosher certification. Four biggies—OU, Star-K, OK and Kof-K—dominate the racket, but hundreds of smaller certifiers are challenging them.

#

Jews won big with Clinton's \$1.73-trillion budget. Some welfare payouts were cut, but a new \$535-million food stamp program directly benefits new "refugee" citizens. \$70 million will be specifically allocated to resettling Russian Jews. (How many more of them are there?) \$24 million will be funneled over a period of four years to an international fund to aid Holocaust victims.

#

After years of legal wrangling the \$1.25-billion Doris Duke Foundation finally opened for business. The first grants—\$18.6 million—were largely dedicated to improving the environment. President of the foundation is Joan Edelman Spero.

#

In 1995, 679,768 babies were born to Hispanic women in the U.S.—18% of the country's births that year.

#

From 1919 to 1967 Chicago had 1,000 gangster killings. Only 13 of the perpetrators were ever convicted.

#

The Arab population of Los Angeles is currently estimated at 283,355. Detroit, once the "most Arabic" of U.S. cities, now comes in second with 219,765. New York City's Arab population is 162,692. Altogether these numbers represent a lot of Holocaust

deniers and Palestine boosters. Jews must be beginning to wonder if their relentless pressure to break down immigration barriers in the last 100 years was a wise move.

#

1 Filipino-Amerindian hybrid and 40 blacks have filed an \$82-million lawsuit against Boeing. The airplane manufacturer is charged with nepotism, wrongful discrimination and sexual harassment in 3 of the company's plants in Washington state. 82% of Boeing's workers are non-Hispanic whites; 6.3% are black.

#

A bill to ban racial preferences in the transportation industry was defeated 58 to 37 in the Senate, despite Supreme Court rulings against quotas. 15 Republican senators joined the Democrats in defeating the legislation. The naysayers included renegade Majority Senators John McCain and John Warner. Joining them was the ineffable minorityite, Al D'Amato.

#

An embryo kept in a deep freeze for 7 years is now a healthy 8-lb. baby. The mother gave birth to its fraternal twin the natural way, which means that the U.S. now boasts a set of twins who were born 7 years apart.

#

Random House, America's leading book publisher, has been bought by the German media giant, Bertelsmann. The price tag was \$1.4 billion, which went immediately into the deep pockets of Jewish mogul S.I. Newhouse, Random House's boss. The sale leaves Jewish-controlled Simon & Schuster as the biggest U.S. book publisher. S & S, also Jewish-owned, is now being eyed by scammer Michael Milken.

#

1 out of 3 families in Latin America were mugged or robbed last year.

#

In 1997, 3,890 blacks, Latinos and Amerindians were offered admission to California's 2 top state universities, UCLA and UC-Berkeley. This year

only 2,145 were admitted. The arithmetic shows how affirmative action, now supposedly banned in state businesses and institutions, has in the past squeezed out qualified whites.

#

In 1996 handguns were the weapons of choice in 2 murders in New Zealand, 15 in Japan, 30 in Britain, 106 in Canada, 211 in Germany and 9,390 in the U.S. The firearm-related death rate among American children under 15 is almost 16 times the rate among children in the 25 other industrialized nations combined. (The Economist, April 4, 1998)

#

25.8 million people holed up in the U.S. last year were born abroad. Close to 35% of them never completed high school.

#

U.S. District Court Judge Sam Sparks agreed that 4 white students applying for admission to the University of Texas law school were turned away because of their skin color. Instead of awarding them the \$5 million they were seeking, he gave them the miserly sum of \$1 each. The judge was kinder to the plaintiffs' attorneys, who were allowed to pocket \$766,000.

#

987 homos were thrown out of the U.S. Armed Forces in 1997, compared to 617 in 1994.

#

Senator Richard Shelby (R-AL) has received a total of \$153,825 from pro-Israel PACs. Guess how Shelby votes when matters affecting Israel are under consideration.

#

Carl London, serving 31 years for rape and check forgery, has accumulated \$111,056 in his prison trustee account.

#

Minority students with a little help from a few mentally skewed Majority members have stormed and occupied University of Massachusetts (Amherst) offices 18 times since 1972. In the most recent occupation students demanded a radical increase in quotas for nonwhites and a radical decrease in quotas for whites.



Waspishly Yours

If Paula Corbin Jones could make Clinton's knees shake, is it any wonder that a kosherette like Monica Lewinsky could shiver the very timbers of our shaky ethnic state?

St. Monica, incidentally, was the mother of St. Augustine, whose interpretations dominated Christianity until the advent of St. Thomas Aquinas. Although educated in Milan, Augustine was born in Numidia (roughly modern Algeria), where he returned to become Bishop of Hippo. (Is that why I think of him as a hippo-crite?) In his youth, he sired an illegitimate son (he couldn't be bothered with the fish-bladder prophylactics of his day), became a Manichean and got religion. Manicheans believed that all matter is evil. The flesh is material, ergo. . .

Augustine is regarded as one of the great Christian theologians. His influence on Luther, an Augustinian monk, was profound. Many consider Calvin's theology an "application" of Augustinian thought. Let's admit it, Augustine's *Confessions* is not a "good read." Don't embark on this nightmare of paranoia, predestination and apocalyptic punditry unless you have lots of time, a strong taste for paranormal memoirs and a talent for dense, subtle logic. Augustine proclaims in his *Confessions* the profound guilt he felt over having stolen a pear from an orchard. *Do I dare to eat a peach? . . . I shall wear the bottoms of my trousers rolled. . . .* (I edit for the sake of brevity. I wish Augustine had.)

St. Monica is revered for having prayed for 20 years for her son's conversion. But who can say whether chicken soup is more powerful than Bible lore in weathering a sense of guilt? The point is that Augustine was a white North African and Monica Lewinsky is a Jewess. Was it inevitable (predestined?) that Monica and Clinton should converge? Just as St. Monica knelt in prayer for her son's sake, Monica wore out her welcome and her presidential knee pads in prayerful obeisance to Bill Clinton's subtle, hair-splitting distinctions between "real" (Manichean) and mere oral sex.

Fellatio is tantamount to nothing more than eating a stolen pear. Real sex is the equivalent of theologically destroying the early heresies of Pelagianism and Donatism. (Pelagianism still survives in the plagiarisms of Rev. M. L. King.) St. Augustine rejected his early Manichean beliefs, but what did he know about heretics like Monica Lewinsky, who corrupted St. Monica's life-long missionary position? Surely, Willie Clinton only got what he deserved since he loves to surround himself with African (Ron Brown) hypocrites and Monicas who have fallen from the Chosen faith, all the way to their knees. The Lord works in mysterious ways.

When she left the Brighton Beach of Brentwood for Washington, do you think Monica was predestined to tote those presidential knee pads along to pray for Clinton's conversion? Doesn't he already love Chosenites and Afro-Americans? Hasn't he already bizarrely abased himself before the world and the continent of Africa? (Didn't Willie's ways in Africa give you the willies?)

Was Monica merely trying to convert him from his Kathleen Willey ways with Paula Jones? Was Monica strewing Gennifer flowers in his path to lure him into a New Jerusalem (before the gross Netanyahu nets it all)? We all know that Clinton blows hot and cold, hither and yon, in his politics. So was Monica on her knees in a prayerful attempt to stiffen his spine, to reconvert him to the cause of Democratic compassion?

I'm curious about the argument that Clinton's private life is his own affair. No Hollywood movies are ever made about the five "good" Roman emperors. Does Hollywood excuse Caligula and Nero because their foreign policies were commendable even if their private lives were not? The next time you hear of a Catholic priest altering the virginity of his altar boys, will you delude yourself that it doesn't matter because he performs his priestly functions to perfection?

The closest we ever came in the West to Plato's "philosopher king" was the Roman emperor Marcus Aurelius. Philosophy is boring, however, and goodness even more so. So why make a movie about good people, when we can glory in the Hebrew-Marxist distortions of history like *Spartacus* and *Ben Hur*? Why don't they ever make a movie about slaves owned by the Hebrews?

Moses never called a parliament. Neither did David nor Solomon, according to the late Rabbi Meir Kahane. God spoke from a burning bush and that was that. How could the Roman rules of evidence compete with Moses parting the Red Sea or Elijah flying directly into heaven in a chariot of fire?

In *Ben Hur*, Charlton Heston (flying Arab colors, if you can believe it) triumphs over Roman tyranny in a chariot race. In Lew Wallace's book, the Jewish Ben Hur becomes a devout Christian and devotes his life to spreading the Good News.

Before Clinton apologizes for anything, maybe Africa should thank England for the English language and thank Europe for Albert Schweitzer and Robert Koch, conqueror of cholera, anthrax and TB. (What slumming American blacks brought to Africa was the rumble in the jungle, a.k.a. Mohammed Ali v. Smokin' Joe.) So who should apologize? (Do you think this distortion of history is what Monica was praying for, while trying to convert Slick Willie back to the straight-and-narrow missionary positions of modern history?)

Nero longed to be a poet and was forced to commit suicide, without Dr. Kevorkian at his side. Caligula, who may have had a brain tumor, also hoped to teach the Romans the horrors of arbitrary despotism and was assassinated for his pains. So what was Monica on her knee pads praying to teach her emperor? To make slick Willie see the error of his ways? Could Monica the maiden ever persuade Willie the worldly to seek salvation on the road to Damascus?

Do you think Monica was hoping to make Willie see that separating one's public and private life can help corrupt the culture to the point where 11- and 13-year-old boys will feel justified in blowing out five candles? Do you think Monica was praying for money (a better job at the Pentagon, say) or for the souls of those innocents who had been blown away in Jonesboro?

Why did the Romans connive to rid themselves of the corruption called Nero-Caligula? Did either Cato or Cicero ever declare that the stock market is going through the dome, the granaries are full, the Colosseum is packed and Vesuvius is quiet (although the Jews were being their usual revolting selves in Palestine)? What does Nero's private life matter, what do bribery, incest and murder matter, so long as the economy is good?

So pray, Monica, pray. Even if you can't convert Clinton, polls show that the corrupt Roman populace is with you all the way.

V.S. STINGER

Reggie White, the black football star, was in line for a National Football League commentator's job on CBS. No more. In a speech before the Wisconsin Legislature he defined homosexuality as a "big sin." White had hardly finished speaking before CBS censors let it be known that the job offer was rescinded.

Dan Rather and his bunch of electronic warriors on CBS were so sure the U.S. was going to war with Iraq that they staged a rehearsal. The program was mistakenly sent to a satellite, which bounced it back to a few low-powered TV stations. Mystified couch potatoes could be forgiven for thinking that the war had already begun and Saddam was being blasted to kingdom come. Dan raged and frothed about aerial bombardments and weapons of mass destruction. The whole incident proved once again that there's only a slip of the lip difference between truth and lies on American television.



Injun Dan's phony war


Roseanne, who has a new show scheduled for this September, wowed them at a conclave of the National Association of Television Program Executives in New Orleans last January, with these nuggets of nugatory nihilism:



Roseanne loves Jerry

I have five kids from three different men, I come from a trailer court as a Jew passing as a Mormon in Salt Lake City, my sister and brother are both gay, I am a woman with multiple personalities, and I've been reunited with my eldest daughter whom I gave up for adoption and was found years later by the National Enquirer.

As expected, Roseanne had kind words for Jerry Springer, whose show is the trashiest of them all. "He's so cool," gushed the fat lady. But Roseanne did say (reluctantly?) her "entertainment" wouldn't focus on "pregnant strippers on crack." Promises, promises.



Even lower-browed than Roseanne, if such is possible, is Howard Stern, who will become a late-night fixture on CBS. When asked about how his show should be rated, he suggested it be given a "V" for vagina. Is it purely coincidental that the lowliest bottom feeders on TV are pure or adulterated Semites?

At a press conference announcing his new show, Stern said that television broadcast standards are "at an all-time low and I'm here to represent [that]. It's a miracle I prayed to God for this. . . . We'll have sex and nudity and lesbians."

Although Jews represent less than 1% of Britain's population, they are all over British TV. Ruby Wax, hostess of a BBC TV talk show, displayed her good taste by featuring an interview with O.J. Simpson. At one point the Juice pretended to stab Ms. Wax with a banana. She thought it was uproarious.



O.J. stabs again


From *Zip 782*. The A&E network recently had an episode on its *Biography* series devoted to super-predator Meyer Lansky, who made a career out of breaking every law his host country had ever enacted almost from the moment he toddled down the gang-plank holding his mother's hand. After 45 minutes of cataloging the misdeeds of this venomous killer, the narrator solemnly intoned that Lansky was "deeply troubled" by the rise of Hitler, "because the Jewish people were again suffering oppression."

On Fox-TV news Catherine Crier asked the yarmulke-wearing Israeli ambassador to the U.S. why Israel, which had a lifetime habit of ignoring UN resolutions, should not be condemned, as Saddam Hussein had been? Visibly annoyed by her temerity, the Jewish envoy changed the subject. Like most reporters who bring up the touchy subject of Israel, Crier did not follow up.

Washington State has an initiative like California's Proposition 209, which outlaws or is suppose to outlaw affirmative action. For supporting the referendum, talk show host John Carlson of KVI Radio, Seattle, found that his contract was not being renewed. On Martin Luther King Day a gaggle of 2,500 Negroes demonstrated against Carlson, flaunting placards insinuating that he was a member of the KKK.

From Satcom Sal. The press made much of Christopher Darden's decision to forgo the law for acting. Sure enough, the erstwhile Simpson prosecutor was in a TV murder mystery that very evening as a courteous and competent chief of detectives. The passable plot involved two white lovebirds who conspired to kill the woman's abusive husband. They were tried, but only the man was convicted. Just as you were about to agree with the decision, because the female was a pretty decent sort, the fadeout showed her sitting in an outdoor café in Bavaria, glass in hand, coyly sipping wine with Darden! I wish I could say Maalox helped.

From Zip 201. With his usual grave, but at the same time Howdy-doo look, Ted Koppel said on a recent *Nightline* that half the black males in D.C. are in trouble with the law—in jail or on probation. You can hear the same statistics on Jesse Jackson's show. What you will never hear is the slightest indication that blacks are in anyway responsible for their condition or could do anything to solve the problem.



Nighttime sage Ted Koppel

CBS made a great to-do last year about luring Bryant Gumbel away from his catbird's seat on NBC's *Today* for a stipend of \$5 million a year. At last report Gumbel's show, *Public Eye*, placed a



Gumbel's not worth it is beyond comprehension.

If it takes a change of Jewish producers to make *Public Eye* a success, what does this say about Gumbel himself? Isn't he supposed to be the centerpiece, the driving force, the stellar attraction of what purports to be his own show? Apparently his only value is that he is a liberaloid Negro vocalizer. What emerges from his vocal chords is hardly worth a fraction of what he is being paid.

In an attempt to save *Public Eye*, it was moved to another day and given an extra hour (one time only), so Gumbel could better display his alleged interviewing skills. Guests included flesh peddler Hugh Hefner, Defense Secretary William Cohen and his 25% (or 50%) Negro wife. The ratings hardly budged.

In March, CBS handed over two hours of precious primetime to a commercial-free program celebrating the 50th anniversary of Israel. Cheerleaders for the Zionist state included such full Jews, part-Jews or professional Jewish boosters as hosts Michael Douglas and Kevin Costner, and guests Arnold Schwarzenegger, Winona Rider, Stevie Wonder and Fran Drescher. How did this multi-million-dollar windfall for Zionism come about? Put it down to Jewish



Schmoozer Schwarzenegger

networking. The head of CBS-TV is Leslie Moonves, who just happens to be the great-nephew of David Ben-Gurion, Israel's first prime minister.

CBS is owned by Westinghouse, not a Jewish company. Were shareholders consulted about this significant loss of commercial billing? They were not. Nor were the shareholders of General Electric, owner of NBC, consulted about giving hours of commercial-free time to *Schindler's List*, though Ford reportedly picked up part of the tab.

Notes from the Sceptred Isle—John Nobull

When I attack British nationalism, I am thinking of that little rat William Hague and his Conservative Party, not the British National Party, which is fighting the good fight, though denied the oxygen of press coverage and gagged by the Race Relations Act. Like Tony Blair, Hague represents the notion of an increasingly integrated (i.e., miscegenated) British society. He showed where he stood when he dressed up in jeans and went off to the Notting Hill Carnival with his lady friend, Ffion Jenkins, now his wife. The Tory leader has his equivalent of Blair's Peter Mandelson, a certain Danny Finkelstein, head of research for the Conservative Party. Hague is best described as a marionette and ventriloquist's dummy in the hands of the largely Jewish committee which orchestrated his election.

Hague represents British nationalism insofar as he is against Sterling being included in the Euro. In that respect only, he is in the same league as the genuine British nationalists (including supporters of Jimmy Goldsmith in the last general election). Jews overall hate the Euro. Israel-Nachrichten (the Israeli German-language daily) claims that the Euro will be "soft like jelly," and fears that Jewish "money and wealth will be destroyed with the prosperity of Germany." Well, the Euro is certainly not in Germany's interest, but it is very much to the advantage of several other European "nations" that have shown they are quite incapable of restraining their governments from borrowing more and more money until they will soon no longer be able to service their debts. The Euro will have much more stability than any individual currency can have, unless gold is allowed to rise in value, which may well happen when Jews get hold of as much Swiss and other gold as possible. But this will undermine all currencies, not just the Euro.


Germans have little left in the way of gold reserves, partly because they redeemed every single eastern mark with a western one, thus enriching Communist bureaucrats like the disgusting Gregor Gysi, who passed on much of the money to Communist parties in other parts of Europe. More important, the 11 Euroland countries will have a much bigger export trade than the U.S., which may well result in the Euro replacing the dollar as world reserve currency. That could happen shortly

after the year 2002, when the Euro becomes the only currency in those countries. That was all the time it took for the pound to be replaced as the world currency by the dollar in 1931. Jews, who hold nearly every single important post in the U.S. government except the presidency, clearly have an interest in maintaining the hegemony of the dollar. So they want the Euro to fail and are working hard to undermine European unity by pushing for the inclusion of Israel's ally, Turkey, in the EU.

If the Euro does manage to replace the dollar as world reserve currency, it will mean \$500 to \$1,000 billion switching from the dollar, enough to weaken the American economy, just as the southeast Asian economies were weakened by Soros and his pals. It would also, I believe, create the conditions for the breakdown of the U.S., even possibly the re-creation of an American nation dominated by the Majority. (How I bless that word. It enables me to talk about such subjects without immediately alienating my liberal interlocutors with words like whites or Aryans.)

The case for Sterling as an independent currency is dramatically weaker. NAFTA or no NAFTA, the Federal Reserve does not even allow the Canadian dollar to be subsumed in the U.S. dollar, let alone other currencies. Britain, it so happens, is the largest trading partner of Euroland. This will make Sterling's joining the Euro even more likely. Remember that the independent British and Italian currencies lost 30% of their value when sold short by Soros and his pals in 1992. This hasn't been forgotten. They might manage to sell the Euro short, with the help of the Federal Reserve, but that would take some doing, unless it was already severely undermined. The larger the economic entity, the greater the stability of its currency. The constituent currencies of the Euro have fallen by 20% against the dollar over the past two and a half years. Only now are they strengthening again.

I regard this background information as essential, if I am to make my case for an alternative British economic system, which I intend to do after discussing the devolution of the British Isles.





Canada. The Six Million bandwagon had a couple of flats recently. Four Canadians (two of them Jewish) were arrested and suspected of being involved in such terroristic acts as mailing razor blades and a pipe bomb to Ernst Zündel, the world's most irrepressible anti-Holocauster.


From a subscriber. The colony of New France that fell to the British in 1760 consisted mainly of a narrow strip of land along both sides of the St. Lawrence River. Most of present-day Quebec consists of territory given to it by the Canadian federal government. Although the territory is rich in resources, it's largely unsettled and unintegrated. Nearly two-thirds of Quebec's land mass is home to only 10,300 Cree, 8,600 Eskimos and 7,600 non-aboriginals, the latter mostly Quebecois. The aboriginals, claiming to "own" this vast area, are adamantly opposed to Quebec's independence, all the more so because aboriginal claims of victimization and entitlement have found a much more receptive audience among Anglo-Canada's deracinated elite.

Canadians who expect all aspects of life to conform to liberal ideology are alarmed by the Pope's choice for Canada's newest cardinal. In January the Vatican announced that Aloysius Ambrozic would be given the red hat. Born in Slovenia in 1930, he came to Canada in 1948. His 1990 appointment as archbishop of Toronto offended those who thought the job inappropriate for someone with strident anti-Communist views. Since then he has further shocked the chattering classes by calling homosexuals "poor devils" and Francisco Franco "not a bad fellow." In a 1993 magazine interview he stated that, owing to Arab immigration, the white race in Europe is "becoming extinct." Not your ordinary garden variety of Catholic.

The town of Oliver has become a whipping boy for Marxist lobbyists for harboring an Internet provider who is not afraid to have as clients websites that "give the other side of the story." In an effort to combat this flare-up of censorship, freedom of speech advocates called for a meeting in the Oliver community hall. Inevitably it was banned by a fearful mayor, who grovelingly obeyed edicts of the Canadian Jewish Congress. Since Oliver has been called the "hate capital" of Canada, the townspeople thought they had a right

to be heard. Refusing to be muzzled, they moved the meeting to the community hall steps, where feisty Doug Collins gave a feisty speech against the minority inquisitors.

Malcolm Ross, a New Brunswick school-teacher, out of a job for daring to question the Holocaust, has been getting a measure of revenge against the speech-suppressors. A judge ordered one of his defamers, cartoonist Josh Beutel, to pay Ross \$7,500 for calling him a Nazi. In Canada, as everywhere, Jews and leftists of every stripe have been able to call any person they disapprove of a Nazi or Hitlerite and get away with it. From now on, at least in New Brunswick, professional smear artists will have to watch their tongues.



Is *The Black Star* by Canadian Paul-Émile Borduas art?

Europe. The European Union is making it clear to Jews that it is no longer satisfied with restricting its contribution to the misnamed "peace process" in the Middle East to financial aid. Future economic cooperation with Israel will be linked to the Zionist state's willingness to allow European nations a voice in negotiations. Israelis are not happy about this. They are well aware that Europeans are more balanced on these matters than the U.S.

Britain. Now that Random House has been bought by Bertelsmann, a German publisher, what will happen to Gail Rebeck, the head of Random House's British subsidiary? In that capacity she was and probably still is the most influential voice in British publishing, lording it over such well-known firms as Century, Jona-

than Cape, Heinemann, Hutchinson, Bodley Head and Chatto. Hers is a rather powerful position (monitoring perch) for the daughter of Jewish immigrants from the Baltic countries. How long will she last under Bertelsmann, whose English-language operation would be bossed by Peter Olson, an American ex-lawyer, who is married to a Russian? (What kind of a Russian?)

Germany, which lost WWII a half century ago, is now winning the literary war.

Britain's 216 richest Asians own companies that do £7.5 billion worth of business annually and employ more than 300,000 people. One of the richest, Reubin Singh, only 21, already owns a stable of luxury cars valued at £450,000.

Nick Griffin, one of the most vocal members of the British National Party, was given a nine-month suspended sentence, plus a hefty fine for writing some truthful words about race in the magazine, *Rune*. Some black nationalists were flown in from Florida at the BNP's expense to endorse Griffin's separatist ideas. In any event, it's becoming more and more evident that truth in the totalitarian democracy of Britain comes with a price tag.

In the U.K. there are now as many Muslims (1.3 million) as there are Methodists.

Scotland. Scots are all steamed up by the way Hollywood has portrayed William Murdoch, first officer on the ill-fated *Titanic*. The man who drowned while trying to save as many lives as possible was depicted in the film as a coward and gunslinger.

The Scots of Dalbeattie, a lowland city, has the highest esteem for their native son, who 86 years ago died when he was swept overboard while helping to lead passengers to the lifeboats. One of his many heroic acts was to give his own life jacket to a passenger who had none.

The movie portrayal of Murdoch was so distorted that a representative of 20th Century Fox, the company that made the film, was sent to Dalbeattie to offer a profound apology to the locals.

Switzerland. In spite of the continuing Jewish shakedown of Swiss banks for allegedly stealing or hiding Jewish assets during WWII, in spite of another incident of blatant Mossad wiretapping in the Swiss capital of Bern, Swiss President Flavio Cotti went on a special "friendship trip" to Israel.



France. Good old "democratic France" is at it again. Fined, jailed and severely beaten over the years, Robert Faurisson was recently fined \$3,300 for questioning the sacrosanct Six Million number.

Sweden. Blasphemy from two Nordic geniuses:



[Hitler] was a fighter, a fighter for humanity and a proclaimer of the gospel of justice for all nations. He was a reformative figure of the highest rank and it was his historical fate to have had to function in a time of unparalleled vileness, which finally brought him down. That is the way the ordinary Western European might look upon Adolf Hitler. And we, his close adherents, are bowing our heads at his death.

Nobel laureate Knut Hamsun



I retain a deep and inextinguishable memory of Adolf Hitler and consider him to be one of the greatest men to whom the history of the world can point. Now he is dead. But his work is destined to live on.

Geographer and explorer Sven Hedin

Germany. German bureaucrats want to throw Jean-Marie Le Pen out of the European Union in which the Front National has 11 members. Le Pen's crime was once again to have repeated in a speech in Munich some months ago that the Holocaust was a "detail" of history, which infuriates mediocrats who define it as the overriding event in human history.

Radical rightists are on the move. A German party, DVU, obtained about 14% of the votes in an election in the Saxony-Anhalt region, giving it 14 seats in the 99-seat State House. This is one more proof that there are some solidly organized rightwingers who can win a slice of the vote in various European countries. In

France the Front National generally gets 15% of the vote. In Austria, Jörg Haider's Freedom Party gets up to 22%. In Italy the National Alliance has obtained 15.7% in a 1995 election. As for Saxony-Anhalt, where the unemployment rate is 22.6%, it's no surprise that many citizens are fed up with the economic situation. They are particularly unhappy that immigrants from Eastern Europe have been taking what few jobs there are available. Since the two mainstream parties are making no appreciable effort to cure the situation, the field is open for the emergence of third parties. The job situation is so bad that many citizens of Saxony-Anhalt, which was part of East Germany, actually look back on Communist days with a certain amount of nostalgia. At least they had work then and their country was not filling up with non-Germans.

Poland. It's heartening to read about one case of resistance to Jewish political, economic and religious demands. The case in point concerns the Polish town of Oswiecim (once known as Auschwitz). After Pope John Paul II went there in 1979 to conduct a mass, locals raised a 26-foot cross to commemorate his visit. Jews have been doing their best to remove it ever since. Though more than 100,000 Poles are supposed to have died at Auschwitz, somehow Jews are the only certified martyrs.

Russia. Two Russian intelligence officers have been indicted for spying for Mossad. They transferred classified photos taken by Russian satellites to an Israeli diplomat stationed in Moscow.

On the basis of research carried out by retired Soviet Colonel Fedor Sverdlov, in cooperation with the Yad Vashem Institute in Israel, about half a million Jews served in the Red Army in WWII. According to Sverdlov, who was a professor of history at the Soviet Frunze Academy for 30 years after the war, some 170,000 of this half million were officers, including 305 Jewish generals and admirals. During the purges of 1936-39, Stalin removed 80% of the Red Army command staff, 40,000 of whom were shot, along with 180 Jews. A large percentage of the Chosen in the Soviet military before and during the war served as political officers or commissars. As Sverdlov says: "The combination of Soviet and Jewish patriotism raised the consciousness and awareness

of the Jews in the struggle against the German invaders."

Through the efforts of Senator Frank Lautenberg (D-NJ) and his Lautenberg Amendment, almost all Russian Jews, including former Red Army men, are automatically classified as refugees and eligible to enter the U.S. as such. Senator Paul Wellstone (D-MN) is now trying to make all onetime Red Army members living in the U.S. eligible for U.S. veterans benefits.

Israel. While Israel was celebrating its 50th anniversary, Palestinians were remembering the an-Nakha, the catastrophe of 1948, when an estimated 726,000 Palestinians, the great majority of the Arab population of the Holy Land, fled or were driven at gunpoint out of their homes and villages in Palestine. *Washington Report on Middle East Affairs, April 1998, p. 12.*

For hacking his way into secret Pentagon files, the Jewish media were almost ecstatic about Ehud Tenenbaum, an 18-year-old whiz kid. Netanyahu described Ehud's skills as "damned good." Instead of being sent to jail for betraying important secrets of Israel's so-called "ally," the new folk hero was only put under house arrest. Meanwhile, he has been offered all kinds of lucrative jobs, interviews, even a book deal.

Between February 3 and March 4 of this year, Israelis blew up 21 Palestinian homes, two of them in East Jerusalem, the rest in the West Bank. The demolition squads made 36 Palestinians homeless.

United Nations Secretary-General Kofi Annan gave vent to this opinion about Bibi Netanyahu, whose party "take from Palestinians their homes, their land, their jobs, their residence permits—their very dignity."

South Africa.

- In the last two years 260 policemen killed themselves. Some 10,000 are absent on any given day. About 450 leave the service each month.

- The African National Congress revealed that its membership in Gauteng (Johannesburg and surroundings) has dropped drastically from 120,000 in 1996 to 44,000 this year.

- In 1997, 6,855 South Africans emigrated to Europe, North America and Australia. The others went to various parts of Africa, the Middle East and several other countries. More than 2,500 doctors who graduated in South Africa during the last 35 years have emigrated.